



Employment

5J: A place to work

257 responses

Response counts

Section	Count
Comments received	5
Question 23: What sort of businesses would you like to see and why?	85
Question 24: What sort of businesses would you not like to see and why?	81
Question 25: Do you think green tech companies should be encouraged to locate here?	86

Comments received

(R28/session 60868; Horsham District Council)
Created August 2nd 2022

It is understood that, due to substantial existing evidence base on employment prepared by the SDNPA and the surrounding authorities, no separate evidence was collected on employment for this AAP. Development of this site to include employment uses, as part of a mixed scheme in line with the SDNPA Local Plan, the Upper Beeding NDP and historic HDC aspirations, is welcomed. The different scenarios, and different levels of employment floorspace provision, which have been tested through the transport study to identify the impact on traffic movements, noise and safety, are noted.

(R17/session 60852; Fittleworth and District Association)
Created August 2nd 2022

High-tech manufacturing would almost certainly offer more quality employment than warehousing and distribution.

(R43/session 60885; member of public)
Created August 2nd 2022

I have worked at the cement works for [REDACTED], most businesses started moving on to the site from 2002. Southern Transit operates 8 home to school services for WSCC, 24 hour Southern Railway replacement services, and general operational support to Go-Ahead London, schools and businesses between Sussex and London. We employ 15 drivers and 4 engineers. The cement works site is becoming increasingly popular with commercial

vehicle operators due to the alarming rate of the loss of commercial sites in the local area. For instance, Shoreham has reduced 4 brownfield sites adjacent to Adur in the last four years all the tenants have moved to the cement works. The cement works has no operating hours regulations, which being in a rural location, avoids residential complaints, which of course are difficult to avoid when operating lorries and buses which are governed by driving hours regulations that in essence take priority, so drivers need to be able to access their operating base at all times to remain within the law when working for 'around the clock' businesses. Many of Southern Transit services are out of hours, so vehicles are often accessing the site during the night. The proximity to the A27 and A24 is a huge advantage to avoid traffic congestion around Worthing.

(R52/session 60895; Shoreham Society)
Created August 2nd 2022

Housing with shops and access to local nature walks would be good Name redacted, June 5, 2022 at 8:33 am

(R23/session 60863; Hampshire County Council: Economy, Transport, Environment)
Created August 2nd 2022

5.0) New employment use: (Question 25: Do you think green tech companies should be encouraged to locate here?) 5.1) We welcome the statement in 5.1.8 of the AAP that: 'In summary, it has long been recognised that Shoreham Cement Works could deliver a significant quantum of new employment land and jobs centred on a greener economy. It is difficult to estimate how much land or how many jobs could be provided and it is one of the purposes of this AAP is to address this issue.' Because of its relative remoteness from residential areas and the enclosed bowl form site B, the Cement Works, seems to offer ideal opportunity for industrial /commercial use. 5.2) Section 5.11 in the AAP says that there are 'a number of options arising from the viability evidence: -A 100% private market scheme to maximise viability -A mixed tenure development with a small proportion of onsite affordable homes -Development required to provide a financial contribution in-lieu of providing onsite affordable housing -Employment uses promoted to maximise viability (B2 general industrial and/or B8 storage and distribution)' Concentrating on future B2 and B8 use has significant advantages on a site which is remote from populated areas and relatively enclosed and the Cement Works site, unsurprisingly, is ideally suited to those uses. Residential use raises issues of accessibility and sustainability and could easily result in an inappropriate, car-dependant development. Employment use, perhaps with a few live-work units, could meet the aspiration to create a greentech hub. We suggest that a fourth scenario concentrating on employment use be considered alongside the other options. It would still allow public access for informal recreational use to Riverside, the Bowl and the Moonscape.

Question 23: What sort of businesses would you like to see and why?

(R85/session 53501; member of public)
Created June 7th 2022

Sports ie Dry Ski slope , abseiling / climbing school.light engineering.

(R206/session 53526; member of public)
Created June 7th 2022

Outdoor, environment based business

(R196/session 53606; member of public)
Created June 8th 2022

Start up spaces with no business rates. Environment friendly businesses

(R208/session 53703; member of public)
Created June 8th 2022

Creative business would be great. Artists, wood craft, iron craft, glass blowers, weavers, clothes makers, designers of anything, bring back the traditional crafts!

(R203/session 53949; member of public)
Created June 10th 2022

Those that are genuinely carbon zero, and don't generate a lot of traffic

(R153/session 53964; member of public)
Created June 10th 2022

Local businesses focussing on green energy in conjunction with a good plant nursery

(R112/session 54076; member of public)
Created June 11th 2022

Wildlife management.

(R94/session 54186; member of public)
Created June 13th 2022

If housing is going to be sustainable then some local grocery shopping must be provided. If the concept is to attract visitors then businesses that provide leisure opportunities and food and drink seem most appropriate.

(R142/session 54348; member of public)
Created **June 14th 2022**

Given all the 'evidence' that it says you have reviewed, I think you should propose actual business options.

(R166/session 54370; member of public)
Created **June 15th 2022**

Producers of anything, artisan businesses, training centers

(R216/session 54416; member of public)
Created **June 15th 2022**

Entertainment, sports and restaurants

(R78/session 54414; member of public)
Created **June 15th 2022**

Only businesses to support the housing. For example, a grocery store and a cafe.

(R135/session 54443; member of public)
Created **June 16th 2022**

Visitor attractions - plus food to support tourism

(R119/session 54505; member of public)
Created **June 17th 2022**

Cafe's, outside activities and entertainment, bike hire

(R164/session 54096; member of public)
Created **June 21st 2022**

None. Transport, locations etc not conducive to small business

(R129/session 54811; member of public)
Created **June 23rd 2022**

sustainable, artisan and crafts,

(R139/session 54943; member of public)
Created **June 24th 2022**

Small artisan workshops - carpenters, potters, craft workshops, artists. To encourage a creative vibe

(R186/session 55093; member of public)
Created **June 28th 2022**

Creative industries and tech. As I've said, the site would lend itself well for a film studio and/or virtual production space as well as providing much-needed Grade A office space for local SMEs and industrial space for online businesses needing warehousing space - we're

severely lacking energy-efficient industrial space in the area and done well (not ugly grey buildings) this could really help.

(R219/session 55134; Greening Steyning)
Created **June 29th 2022**

We would like to see local small businesses

(R172/session 55230; member of public)
Created **July 2nd 2022**

A cycle cafe/workshop

(R117/session 55250; member of public)
Created **July 3rd 2022**

Prioritise employment space that is linked to the National Park's priority sectors of farming, forestry and tourism

(R74/session 55269; member of public)
Created **July 4th 2022**

Businesses that support climate change and are community focused.

(R179/session 55304; member of public)
Created **July 5th 2022**

Manufacturing, Hi-tech, small business units. These provide opportunities for local businesses or high skilled jobs for local people and people moving to the area

(R201/session 55338; member of public)
Created **July 6th 2022**

None

(R183/session 55368; member of public)
Created **July 7th 2022**

Those with a low carbon footprint

(R171/session 55391; member of public)
Created **July 7th 2022**

So-called 'green jobs' there's a massive amount of domestic dwelling retrofitting to be done.

(R169/session 55398; member of public)
Created **July 7th 2022**

Businesses that would emerge as a result of an Eden-type project on the site.

(R133/session 55416; member of public)
Created July 7th 2022

Leisure snow dome or mountain bike park. Nothing in the area like this. Appeal to all age ranges

(R104/session 55482; member of public)
Created July 9th 2022

I think flexible work spaces that could be used by individuals and small businesses would make the most sense.

(R113/session 55940; member of public)
Created July 12th 2022

Leisure businesses

(R176/session 56372; member of public)
Created July 16th 2022

Small shops and pubs/restaurants (create a village feel). Please no industrial sites. This would only impact on traffic volume

(R140/session 55534; member of public)
Created July 17th 2022

Unsure.

(R136/session 56490; member of public)
Created July 18th 2022

Tourism (cafe, restaurant, small museum), light commercial, shared workspace (co-working), artist studios.

(R107/session 56637; member of public)
Created July 19th 2022

Light industrial units or warehousing / storage & distribution facilities would not require substantial parking, nor have such an impact on traffic.

(R187/session 56735; member of public)
Created July 20th 2022

Definitely your first and second options.

(R75/session 56810; member of public)
Created July 21st 2022

The whole site should be a regional waste disposal etc site as I have indicated.

(R207/session 56817; member of public)
Created July 21st 2022

Leisure Centre with a vast number of indoor and outdoor activities. Provide a fun-loving place to enjoy living life to the full. Create a healthy environment for mind and body. Provide affordable activities for everyone's age and ability with services around their needs.

(R148/session 56870; member of public)

Created July 22nd 2022

Green non polluting

(R141/session 56885; member of public)

Created July 22nd 2022

This question will be answered by what has gone before. Leisure pursuits, public spaces, food outlets, food provision, sports facilities, swimming pool, museum and maybe some educational facilities. It has the potential to be a rather special "village" provision, catering for both residents and visitors

(R168/session 56899; member of public)

Created July 23rd 2022

Tourism related - to help the public enjoy this part of the NP Low-impact industries providing local employment opportunity

(R159/session 56908; member of public)

Created July 23rd 2022

i would like to see as low key a business presence as possible. other less interesting sites can be developed for businesses. the site should be prioritised for nature and wildlife. maybe a small cafe for bird watchers

(R79/session 56961; member of public)

Created July 25th 2022

environmentally friendly ones, maybe smaller rather than medium to large

(R195/session 56984; member of public)

Created July 25th 2022

Small business units - low rise buildings with limited heavy traffic

(R101/session 56990; member of public)

Created July 25th 2022

Recreation

(R212/session 57028; member of public)

Created July 25th 2022

Those having a connection with the local area.

(R184/session 57024; member of public)
Created July 25th 2022

Short-term, any suitable business that would contribute to tackling climate change in its operations and provide finance (as part of it being temporary enabling development) to bolster fund-raising by the SDNPA, the SDNP Trust, supporting organisations, grants etc for the quarry's full restoration (including compulsory purchase if necessary).

(R221/session 57159; member of public)
Created July 26th 2022

Office based, light industry

(R103/session 56917; member of public)
Created July 26th 2022

I would like to see a mix of businesses from high tech, offices, light manufacturing and warehousing but I do not believe that businesses that create excessive noise, dust and disturbance should be permitted on the site.

(R124/session 57177; member of public)
Created July 26th 2022

Clean businesses which would provide employment, especially if they allow for home working

(R211/session 57853; member of public)
Created July 27th 2022

Promote businesses offering skilled and semi-skilled employment opportunities for the local community. House a number of businesses specialising in green energy, companies for research and manufacture. Business zone for start-ups, little pods for new businesses to network. Hospitality, Vineyards & winery, An Amphitheatre

(R156/session 57287; member of public)
Created July 27th 2022

Small artisan or manufacturing, some retail

(R84/session 57491; member of public)
Created July 29th 2022

Small companies pop ups

(R165/session 57543; member of public)
Created July 29th 2022

Start ups, low rent artist space, environmental initiatives, charities, health and wellbeing

(R99/session 57553; Findon Parish Council)
Created July 29th 2022

23. A mix of high wage high-tech clean industry and small independent units including arts and crafts.

(R132/session 57586; member of public)
Created July 29th 2022

High tech, office, tele centre, some retail, start up businesses

(R110/session 57734; member of public)
Created July 30th 2022

Small individual traders, some high tech offices, but not facilities.

(R137/session 57747; member of public)
Created July 30th 2022

Eco friendly and green.

(R121/session 57780; member of public)
Created July 30th 2022

Green tech

(R76/session 57798; member of public)
Created July 30th 2022

I wouldn't like any businesses to be developed here, please re-wild.

(R220/session 57858; member of public)
Created July 31st 2022

None.

(R222/session 57864; member of public)
Created July 31st 2022

Small local businesses/creative/eco-friendly. Small workforce (and less pressure on traffic)

(R96/session 57924; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

Not an appropriate site for business development. It is a unique opportunity for habitat restoration (across the whole site) and local employers are already struggling to recruit staff. The simplistic assumption that the people who live in the houses will work in the businesses is not supported by evidence - in other similar examples of mixed use development this does not occur to any significant extent

(R126/session 57939; member of public)

Created August 1st 2022
None

(R130/session 57941; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

A small industrial estate for small business enterprises. Small "boutique" shops for local artisans, cafe/ restaurant, general store/supermarket. Small hotel with South Downs Way walkers and the like in mind. Various outdoor/ indoor sports-based enterprises.

(R87/session 57957; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

Local businesses either permanently or rotate to give start-up's a chance. Crafts, cafes, restaurants, bars. All with a sustainable and transparent story to make the site a sought-after destination

(R163/session 57979; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

Less retail and more educational or entertainment focused businesses.

(R202/session 57977; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

I am not sure about business in this space other than those supporting the development of the space as a national park in mixed use

(R102/session 57990; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

Leisure orientated - to compliment the immediate environment.

(R143/session 57986; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

Outdoor activity businesses and related shops - to encourage hiking, cycling, walking, river activities. Museum / outdoor charity linked to existing local ones (showcasing). Outdoor space for small summer concerts / theatre. A high quality hotel & spa. Restaurant & bar overlooking the river - would be an amazing addition.

(R158/session 57982; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

Prioritise employment space that is linked to the National Park's priority sectors of farming, forestry and tourism; as well as employers linked to local/ sub regional climate change and nature recovery plans - contributes positively to a greener economy. The existing small scale business (bus companies etc) should be given the option to stay within the cement works.

(R28/session 60868; Horsham District Council)
Created August 2nd 2022

With regards to the type of employment space to be provided, it is considered that, due to

the nature of the site, this provision should be linked to local needs and could be a mixture of tourism and green economy uses, and create high value, high skilled jobs.

(R63/session 60907; Upper Beeding Parish Council)
Created August 2nd 2022

Promote businesses offering skilled and semi-skilled employment opportunities for the local community. • House a number of businesses specialising in green energy, companies for research and manufacture. • Business zone for start-ups, little pods for new businesses to network. • Hospitality, • Vineyards & winery, • An Amphitheatre

(R9/session 60920; Campaign to Protect Rural England (CPRE) Sussex)
Created August 2nd 2022

Do you only mean commercial business rather than public services, if so why? Health related, cultural and green focussed organisations could become possible users

(R20/session 60856; member of public)
Created August 2nd 2022

Sustainable tourism. And outdoor leisure.

(R43/session 60885; member of public)
Created August 2nd 2022

My concern with the cement works future is that any plans must in effect ensure that should the cement works site be lost to heavy vehicle operators, an alternative site within 5 miles with equal access and conditions should be provided for tenants, if we loose this site, I cannot imagine where the current operators will go and the inevitable impact on local businesses and services. I have attended various meetings and planning scenarios in the past, I've said time and time again that the cement works site would be a lot better if interim investment was made. Quick build hanger type buildings in green cladding could provide new business starter sites, these would allow companies to be able to improve the site quickly and responsibly. [REDACTED], the telecom infrastructure is still original Blue Circle and encorperates 1970s aluminium wire - that is by far from reliable. The site is unnecessarily stuck in limbo even whilst it can be used in the here and now! As much as the site is in this limbo status, no interim investment has been made to better what is currently available, yet the signs are very much that it would be even more popular for businesses - which is good for the economy. Should nothing be done with the site overall beyond its current commercial permissions, I do believe the employment and tenancy conditions could be reviewed and improved to be even more valuable to the area.

(R50/session 60893; SDNPA Specialists Team)
Created August 2nd 2022

Small scale, "clean", and not heavy in traffic. Compatible with special qualities, e.g. tranquillity.

(R69/session 60913; member of public)
Created August 2nd 2022

covered above in many ways - see 20 etc, as well as businesses that come into the site as a leisure offer, businesses that use product from the park and its surroundings

(R2/session 60855; Adur and Worthing District Council)
Created August 2nd 2022

As a general point the site should of course be seen in the strategic context of employment uses that may come forward in the wider area, including at Shoreham Airport and the former IKEA site within Adur. An updated Adur Employment Land Review Study is to be commissioned as part of the evidence base for the emerging Adur Local Plan update. This will provide evidence as to employment needs within the local area. However the Council would also suggest there is a particular opportunity to link to ongoing work around the Adur Estuary/ Sussex Bay and make provision for ecological, horticultural, and/ or green technologies.

(R21/session 60857; Greening Steyning)
Created August 2nd 2022

We would like to see local small businesses

(R226 /session 60862; member of public)
Created August 2nd 2022

If developed, a strong emphasis should be on leisure uses (eg a climbing wall) and I would hope that the frontages on both sides would remain and be restored. Further into the quarry (which unfortunately I have not visited) seems a more likely area for housing or business uses. (I suspect the former offers the most commercial value to a developer, but should be minimised as far as possible.) I wonder if there is scope for a cement or concrete museum? I think there is one in Belgium but none in the UK. The post war period saw the golden era of brutalist architecture and aesthetic use of concrete. Masterpieces of engineering went up, and sadly now are too often coming down without much regard to this heritage. The cement works belong to this post war world and while not everyone's cup of tea, it could be leisure attraction that e.g. the 20th century society and other bodies could support.

(R199/session 58013; member of public)
Created August 2nd 2022

Only a cafe to support nature reserve type of development

(R91/session 55861; member of public)
Created August 2nd 2022

Digital and creative businesses - make best use of fibre technology; high skilled and higher wages for local people Green tech businesses - emerging sector so an opportunity to support cluster growth

(R127/session 53652; member of public)
Created August 2nd 2022

I find this hard to answer as I am not local to this areas, however if there is a local desire for certain businesses then I think local people should be listened to.

(R223/session 58070; member of public)

Created **August 2nd 2022**

Leisure and tourism that are based around the national park and specific history and ecology of the cement works

(R224/session 58050; member of public)

Created **August 2nd 2022**

Small scale, perhaps even artisan trades

Question 24: What sort of businesses would you not like to see and why?

(R85/session 53501; member of public)
Created June 7th 2022

Shops.

(R206/session 53526; member of public)
Created June 7th 2022

Office blocks, industrial estate

(R208/session 53703; member of public)
Created June 8th 2022

Large corporates, fast food and drink companies, fmcg, oil and gas, car dealerships, pharma etc

(R203/session 53949; member of public)
Created June 10th 2022

Large shops, they generate lots of traffic

(R90/session 54020; member of public)
Created June 10th 2022

Tourism businesses but these are generally low skill, low pay so look at all year round tourism opportunities. Also start-up/innovation centre facilities to encourage start ups and possibly a green knowledge centre to create higher skilled and paid work to reduce commuting

(R112/session 54076; member of public)
Created June 11th 2022

Anything other than wildlife management.

(R94/session 54186; member of public)
Created June 13th 2022

A huge supermarket!

(R142/session 54348; member of public)
Created June 14th 2022

Unprofitable businesses

(R166/session 54370; member of public)
Created June 15th 2022

Not service industries or warehouses or retail outlets

(R216/session 54416; member of public)
Created June 15th 2022

Supermarkets

(R78/session 54414; member of public)
Created June 15th 2022

Any business not supporting the housing on the site.

(R135/session 54443; member of public)
Created June 16th 2022

N/A

(R119/session 54505; member of public)
Created June 17th 2022

Large scale chains

(R209/session 54553; member of public)
Created June 19th 2022

none. communication links are poor. it is in the middle of an area of countryside there is no justification to return it to a commercial location

(R145/session 54557; member of public)
Created June 19th 2022

No tattoo shops, no betting shops, no car repairs.

(R129/session 54811; member of public)
Created June 23rd 2022

anything which adds carbon

(R139/session 54943; member of public)
Created June 24th 2022

Dirty, noisy, smelly places eg car workshops,

(R186/session 55093; member of public)
Created June 28th 2022

Builders Merchants - too many frequent HGV lorries on the road. Anything fossil fuel related or [REDACTED].

(R117/session 55250; member of public)
Created July 3rd 2022

heavy polluting industry, storage and distribution

(R74/session 55269; member of public)
Created July 4th 2022

Large scale storage and distribution warehouses because they are usually ugly and contribute nothing to the built environment.

(R205/session 55260; member of public)
Created July 4th 2022

The first two priorities are the only ones that fit. Prioritise employment space that is linked to the National Park's priority sectors of farming, forestry and tourism Prioritise employment space that is linked to local/ sub regional climate change and nature recovery plans and contributes positively to a greener economy. Employment/industry that does not result in night time lighting spoiling the local dark sky is also important.

(R83/session 55267; member of public)
Created July 4th 2022

Any polluting businesses and anything that would be a blot on the landscape

(R179/session 55304; member of public)
Created July 5th 2022

Large retail parks. These produce low skilled part time jobs and huge traffic issues

(R201/session 55338; member of public)
Created July 6th 2022

All. Leave the site to nature.

(R183/session 55368; member of public)
Created July 7th 2022

I'm not sure any sort of industry is appropriate unless it's light and in keeping with the Downs setting

(R171/session 55391; member of public)
Created July 7th 2022

Warehousing / vehicle storage - too many vehicle movements

(R175/session 55405; member of public)
Created July 7th 2022

traditional farming & forestry type firms, not industrial

(R133/session 55416; member of public)

Created July 7th 2022
Soft play or age specific activity

(R104/session 55482; member of public)
Created July 9th 2022

I wouldn't like to see any large corporations getting involved with the site

(R113/session 55940; member of public)
Created July 12th 2022

Shops and cafes, they won't let.

(R176/session 56372; member of public)
Created July 16th 2022

Light industrial as this would create more traffic congestion

(R140/session 55534; member of public)
Created July 17th 2022

Industrial.

(R136/session 56490; member of public)
Created July 18th 2022

Heavy industrial, any motor-trade related businesses, manufacturing.

(R107/session 56637; member of public)
Created July 19th 2022

I would not be keen for a retail park to be established here as it encourages moer road traffic, and in turn demands a large amount of space being given up to parking.

(R187/session 56735; member of public)
Created July 20th 2022

Warehouses, aviation, construction, motor.

(R75/session 56810; member of public)
Created July 21st 2022

Waste disposal etc

(R207/session 56817; member of public)
Created July 21st 2022

Theme Park with metal structures. Completely, out of character for this area.

(R148/session 56870; member of public)
Created July 22nd 2022

heavy industrial or business reliant on heavy transport

(R141/session 56885; member of public)
Created July 22nd 2022

It is not the place mass events that attract large numbers of cars - unless associated with the site activities. It is not a place for concert arenas or Glastonbury like events.

(R168/session 56899; member of public)
Created July 23rd 2022

Storage & warehousing - excessive traffic generation and inappropriate for the location

(R159/session 56908; member of public)
Created July 23rd 2022

i appreciate it is currently being used for business purposes but i don't want to see the site re-developed into a modernised business park. it's unique nature character should be preserved

(R79/session 56961; member of public)
Created July 25th 2022

nothing noisy

(R195/session 56984; member of public)
Created July 25th 2022

Warehousing - too intrusive, and heavy traffic

(R101/session 56990; member of public)
Created July 25th 2022

Heavy industry and offices and warehouses to let

(R212/session 57028; member of public)
Created July 25th 2022

Those unconnected with the local area.

(R184/session 57024; member of public)
Created July 25th 2022

Anything permanent or damaging to the SDNP and environment.

(R221/session 57159; member of public)
Created July 26th 2022

Heavy industry, distribution depot

(R103/session 56917; member of public)
Created July 26th 2022

As previously stated I would not like to see businesses that create excessive noise, dust and disturbance.

(R124/session 57177; member of public)
Created July 26th 2022

Polluting businesses

(R211/session 57853; member of public)
Created July 27th 2022

Would not like it to become a Retail Park with popular High St stores or a site for incinerating rubbish. Neither of these would meet the communities or SDNP's needs.

(R156/session 57287; member of public)
Created July 27th 2022

Heavy industry or offices, except maybe for a hot desk type space for self employed people

(R84/session 57491; member of public)
Created July 29th 2022

Big shopping center

(R165/session 57543; member of public)
Created July 29th 2022

Estate agents, Motor,

(R99/session 57553; Findon Parish Council)
Created July 29th 2022

24. Low wage, heavy industry, low skill, large retail outlets, warehousing, waste recycling.

(R132/session 57586; member of public)
Created July 29th 2022

Warehousing and distribution centres. The infrastructure would not support it.

(R110/session 57734; member of public)
Created July 30th 2022

No storage facilities or distribution centres; they are unsuited to the site, which should in the main be green.

(R137/session 57747; member of public)
Created July 30th 2022

Anything that increased the traffic along the access road.

(R82/session 57785; member of public)
Created July 30th 2022

The redevelopment should "prioritise employment space that is linked to the National Park's priority sectors of farming, forestry and tourism." I would particularly want to AVOID large scale warehousing, transportation and rust-belt industry.

(R76/session 57798; member of public)
Created July 30th 2022

I wouldn't like any businesses to be developed here, please re-wild.

(R222/session 57864; member of public)
Created July 31st 2022

Large commercial enterprises with large number of employees as likely to generate significant traffic and be detrimental to the "nature" side of the area

(R96/session 57924; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

Not an appropriate site for business development

(R126/session 57939; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

n/a

(R130/session 57941; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

Large warehouses, car repair and noisy mini-factories

(R87/session 57957; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

Chains or big retailers.

(R163/session 57979; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

Mainstream, chain retail. They are already failing in towns and their presence discourages independent shops from sharing the same space. Also, their premises are usually unsuitable for redevelopment by independent business should they leave.

(R202/session 57977; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

anything commercial, industrial, light industrial. anything not sympathetic to the space being developed as a national park

(R102/session 57990; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

NO large retail such as Tesco! (There's a huge one in Shoreham already). Need more community-orientated food suppliers from local produce - eg Farmer's Market. Leisure/outward bound activity produce suppliers.

(R143/session 57986; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

Large chain shops, shopping centre, fast food outlets, large factory type buildings. The market for office space has plummeted so there would be a risk of empty units if too much commercial / office space is built, unless it is BREEAM rated and aimed at green tech. Definitely no industrial.

(R158/session 57982; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

Large-scale retail, drive-through take-away restaurants ...

(R63/session 60907; Upper Beeding Parish Council)
Created August 2nd 2022

• UBPC would not wish to see a Retail Park with popular High St stores. • Rubbish incineration or transfer. • Neither of these would meet the needs of the community or SDNP

(R9/session 60920; Campaign to Protect Rural England (CPRE) Sussex)
Created August 2nd 2022

There are so many unattractive businesses, why not start with no estate agents, asset managers, financial services, or security firms?

(R20/session 60856; member of public)
Created August 2nd 2022

I would be wary at having any business that would increase traffic on a dangerous and over capacity existing road.

(R50/session 60893; SDNPA Specialists Team)
Created August 2nd 2022

The opposite of the above.

(R69/session 60913; member of public)
Created August 2nd 2022

large scale distribution and heavy process industries

(R2/session 60855; Adur and Worthing District Council)

Created August 2nd 2022

No comments

(R21/session 60857; Greening Steyning)

Created August 2nd 2022

Business that are inherently at conflict with the ethos of the a green living community and nature focussed site.

(R199/session 58013; member of public)

Created August 2nd 2022

I don't want large amount of housing or business premises.

(R91/session 55861; member of public)

Created August 2nd 2022

Large industrial - too much of an impact on the SDNP - space should be made to accommodate that type of industry in other areas where the infrastructure is better.

(R127/session 53652; member of public)

Created August 2nd 2022

n/a

(R223/session 58070; member of public)

Created August 2nd 2022

Those that are not environmentally sound.

(R224/session 58050; member of public)

Created August 2nd 2022

Industrial, shopping, big hotels - a good example of would be Brighton Marina where there is a big supermarket, casino, bowling alley, cinema - we avoid it at all costs

Question 25: Do you think green tech companies should be encouraged to locate here?

(R85/session 53501; member of public)
Created June 7th 2022

Yes.

(R206/session 53526; member of public)
Created June 7th 2022

Don't think there should be huge office spaces

(R196/session 53606; member of public)
Created June 8th 2022

Yes

(R208/session 53703; member of public)
Created June 8th 2022

Yes

(R203/session 53949; member of public)
Created June 10th 2022

Yes

(R153/session 53964; member of public)
Created June 10th 2022

Yes

(R90/session 54020; member of public)
Created June 10th 2022

As part of a more diverse mix, yes

(R112/session 54076; member of public)
Created June 11th 2022

No way, just wildlife. This could become the very best reservoir of wildlife in the otherwise barren SDNP.

(R142/session 54348; member of public)
Created June 14th 2022

Why would you want green tech companies? More importantly, why would green tech companies want to relocate here?

(R166/session 54370; member of public)
Created June 15th 2022

Yes

(R216/session 54416; member of public)
Created June 15th 2022

Maybe

(R78/session 54414; member of public)
Created June 15th 2022

No. If the focus is on building new houses why would you want to attract (any) tech companies? There are much more suitable sites off the main Shoreham/Brighton coast road for these.

(R135/session 54443; member of public)
Created June 16th 2022

No

(R119/session 54505; member of public)
Created June 17th 2022

Yes

(R209/session 54553; member of public)
Created June 19th 2022

no opinion

(R145/session 54557; member of public)
Created June 19th 2022

Definitely, e.g. cycle repairs, electric bikes, I-Mend type repairs.

(R164/session 54096; member of public)
Created June 21st 2022

Why would they

(R129/session 54811; member of public)
Created June 23rd 2022

only if truly green- how would you measure them?

(R139/session 54943; member of public)
Created June 24th 2022

Yes, provided it is more computer based and not manufacturing (see 24 above)

(R186/session 55093; member of public)
Created **June 28th 2022**

Yes! In fact, every business could sign a charter to agree to reduce their carbon emissions and you could hold free workshops for them to find out how.

(R219/session 55134; Greening Steyning)
Created **June 29th 2022**

Yes

(R172/session 55230; member of public)
Created **July 2nd 2022**

Yes

(R117/session 55250; member of public)
Created **July 3rd 2022**

yes

(R74/session 55269; member of public)
Created **July 4th 2022**

Yes

(R205/session 55260; member of public)
Created **July 4th 2022**

Yes but nigh time lighting should be banned or severely restricted.

(R83/session 55267; member of public)
Created **July 4th 2022**

Most definitely. The local area has had most high tech jobs extinguished and it would be great to get that type of employment re-introduced. It would be a brilliant reuse of an existing commercial site.

(R179/session 55304; member of public)
Created **July 5th 2022**

Yes, but how to encourage them?

(R201/session 55338; member of public)
Created **July 6th 2022**

No.

(R183/session 55368; member of public)
Created **July 7th 2022**

100%

(R171/session 55391; member of public)
Created July 7th 2022

Definitely

(R175/session 55405; member of public)
Created July 7th 2022

depends on the buildings rather than who's in them

(R169/session 55398; member of public)
Created July 7th 2022

Yes.

(R104/session 55482; member of public)
Created July 9th 2022

Yes, definitely!

(R113/session 55940; member of public)
Created July 12th 2022

Yes, good to grow the local economy

(R98/session 56023; member of public)
Created July 15th 2022

No, technology companies wouldn't sit right in the areas.

(R176/session 56372; member of public)
Created July 16th 2022

No, there are many empty properties around the country that could benefit from such business

(R140/session 55534; member of public)
Created July 17th 2022

Yes.

(R136/session 56490; member of public)
Created July 18th 2022

Yes very much so.

(R107/session 56637; member of public)
Created July 19th 2022

If this is viable as a commercial proposition, then yes, as it is more inline with the ethos of the SDNP

(R187/session 56735; member of public)
Created July 20th 2022

Yes

(R75/session 56810; member of public)
Created July 21st 2022

Only to deliver the waste disposal etc goals

(R207/session 56817; member of public)
Created July 21st 2022

Definately, a possibility.

(R148/session 56870; member of public)
Created July 22nd 2022

Absolutely

(R141/session 56885; member of public)
Created July 22nd 2022

Of course - it will work especially well as a 'Start-up' hub, whether this be IT based or wider attention.

(R168/session 56899; member of public)
Created July 23rd 2022

Yes - sounds low impact and helps meet the NP objectives?

(R159/session 56908; member of public)
Created July 23rd 2022

i don't want to see the site re-developed into a modernised business park. green tech companies are preferable to oil companies etc but again, other less unique sites could be used for business purposes

(R79/session 56961; member of public)
Created July 25th 2022

maybe

(R195/session 56984; member of public)
Created July 25th 2022

I'm not sure how they could be encouraged, but good if they could be.

(R101/session 56990; member of public)
Created July 25th 2022

Yes - definately

(R212/session 57028; member of public)
Created July 25th 2022

Yes.

(R184/session 57024; member of public)
Created July 25th 2022

Temporary uses that make a positive contribution (re Q23 comment) would be fine.

(R221/session 57159; member of public)
Created July 26th 2022

Yes

(R103/session 56917; member of public)
Created July 26th 2022

It is up to the individual green tech companies to decide but I believe that they might wish to be located on a site at the cutting edge of green technology.

(R124/session 57177; member of public)
Created July 26th 2022

Yes, so people can work from home and reduce car use

(R211/session 57853; member of public)
Created July 27th 2022

Yes, this should be marketed as a Carbon Free, Green, Eco site'

(R156/session 57287; member of public)
Created July 27th 2022

Yes

(R84/session 57491; member of public)
Created July 29th 2022

yes definitely

(R165/session 57543; member of public)
Created July 29th 2022

Sure.

(R99/session 57553; Findon Parish Council)
Created July 29th 2022

25. Green technology companies should be encouraged as part of the make-up of on-site businesses.

(R132/session 57586; member of public)
Created July 29th 2022

All tech start ups should be encouraged as they will supply access to the industries of the future and provide high quality jobs locally

(R110/session 57734; member of public)
Created July 30th 2022

No. The site is not suitable for a large expanse of manufacturing.

(R137/session 57747; member of public)
Created July 30th 2022

YES.

(R121/session 57780; member of public)
Created July 30th 2022

Yes

(R82/session 57785; member of public)
Created July 30th 2022

Possibly, but remembering that the sector is evolving rapidly and there is a risk of obsolescence.

(R76/session 57798; member of public)
Created July 30th 2022

I wouldn't like any businesses to be developed here, please re-wild. But if you had to I suppose I would mind this less.,

(R222/session 57864; member of public)
Created July 31st 2022

Yes, but only small ones

(R96/session 57924; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

Not an appropriate site for business development whether green tech or not.

(R126/session 57939; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

No

(R130/session 57941; member of public)
Created August 1st 2022

yes

(R87/session 57957; member of public)

Created **August 1st 2022**

Yes

(R163/session 57979; member of public)

Created **August 1st 2022**

Yes

(R202/session 57977; member of public)

Created **August 1st 2022**

not really unless there is a link to the national park. I dont think there is a lack of business space in shoreham, worthing, brighton and I cant see Steyning becoming a magnet for green tech startups

(R102/session 57990; member of public)

Created **August 1st 2022**

Yes.

(R143/session 57986; member of public)

Created **August 1st 2022**

Yes.

(R158/session 57982; member of public)

Created **August 1st 2022**

Yes, the development could be an opportunity to showcase this field.

(R63/session 60907; Upper Beeding Parish Council)

Created **August 2nd 2022**

Yes, this should be marketed as a Carbon Free, Green, Eco site'

(R9/session 60920; Campaign to Protect Rural England (CPRE) Sussex)

Created **August 2nd 2022**

Yes, as previously suggested Green Tech companies should have an important role and representation.

(R20/session 60856; member of public)

Created **August 2nd 2022**

Green tech. companies would suit the site.

(R50/session 60893; SDNPA Specialists Team)

Created **August 2nd 2022**

Yes.

(R69/session 60913; member of public)

Created **August 2nd 2022**

yes - see above - essential

(R2/session 60855; Adur and Worthing District Council)

Created **August 2nd 2022**

It is necessary to view this site in a strategic context against employment uses that may come forward at the Airport and on the former IKEA site, however in principle, yes. The Council is seeking to encourage a green economy and green tech companies in this location would assist the overall ambition.

(R21/session 60857; Greening Steyning)

Created **August 2nd 2022**

Yes

(R199/session 58013; member of public)

Created **August 2nd 2022**

No

(R91/session 55861; member of public)

Created **August 2nd 2022**

yes - with the support of the HEI's

(R127/session 53652; member of public)

Created **August 2nd 2022**

Some yes! A mix is the crucial part I think.

(R224/session 58050; member of public)

Created **August 2nd 2022**

Yes