East Winchester Landscape Conversation A stakeholder plan for landscape restoration Report Jun 2021

# Appendix H

Workshop 3 Transcript

## EAST WINCHESTER LANDSCAPE & ENVIRONMENT STUDY – WORKSHOP 3

Output from the discussion sessions

#### DISCUSSION SESSION 1: PRINCIPLES

#### Five principles have been identified to guide the future response to the landscape>

#### Principle 1

Connect communities

- Commitment, belonging and ownership we care for what we love.
- Appreciate and understand importance of place

#### Principle 2

Apply landscape ecological functions

- Apply focus to NE habitat restoration hotspots
- Working with geology, landform and historic land use
- Ensuring landscape level connectivity to wider networks
- Restoring landscape legibility
- Restoring habitat and strategic linkages
- Appreciate the soil resource and conservation

#### Principle 3

Improve understanding - Use technology

- Link with schools and academia
- Highlight systems and interconnectedness
- Provide opportunities to interact

#### Principle 4

Improve opportunities for health and wellbeing

- Ease of access
- Destinations, routes and experiences
- Mental wellbeing Japanese philosophy

#### Principle 5

Deliver resilience to change

- Farm businesses diversification
- Climate change (new species, impact on beech, drought, crops and invertebrates)
- Sustainable use of natural resources (soils/water)
- Promote sustainable mixed up farming

## Transcript of discussion session

Participants were split into 5 groups, each with a facilitator. they were asked to review the principles and answer the following questions:

- What do you like about this principle? (and why)
- What are you not some keen on? (and why)

Key points from the discussion were noted and transcribed for each group.

What do you like about this principle?	What are you not so keen on?
Forum could work well to achieve this	Forum needs to be accessible for all, not off putting
Forum a good way to raise awareness of what others are doing - simply being aware is good	Nice idea but can be very difficult to achieve, especially when dealing with large organisations
Forum is a good point of contact, forming links and connections, good way of making project accessible	Finding a common goal may be a challenge
Great principle - important to keep all relevant stakeholders in discussion groups eg. Farmers, planners, but each group should be fluid and adaptive to the outcome sought	Differences in opinion can be hard to overcome if need overall agreement by forum
Avoiding mistakes, reaching good decisions	Don't want to add an extra level of 'clearance'
Common sense approach	Would like to see education, knowledge exchange, as forming part of this
Understand priorities of other people	Bureaucracy? Consensus can be difficult to achieve
Discovering commonality of common interests	Resources for these partnerships?
Worthwhile principle	Challenge of getting people to agree
Internal within SDNPA and external partners	Drivers/motivations - policy and legislation - if the money is available - things get done
Good in principle	Need money/ funding - common theme throughout principle
Opportunity to link through to Parish Neighbourhood plans - driver for landscape work	Can spend too much time talking - if not lead properly
	A spinning wheel of constant talking with no action
	Who is in control - small sites required and needs to be lead
	Comms within departments not as good as it should be

What do you like about this principle?	What are you not so keen on?
	Practicalities - need consideration - how will this work?
	What is the goal? Easier to be phased as a goal/ outcome to be achieved
	Continue doing the forum. Forum must include the right partners around he table at the right level to actually achieve outcomes/ decision making/ ideas eg. Highways but not just local government officials
	Needs good facilitation and support
	Engage with farm cluster and the NDP groups - landowners not engaged
	Engaging with planning
	Who identifies who should be involved?
	Team leader for planning at Winchester City Council chat to Rangers team (Western)
	Highways too
	Developers
	Developers - CIL and other gain eg. Biodiversity net gain
	How do these conversations get brokered between the development land owners and addressing indirect impacts and off setting

What do you like about this principle?	What are you not so keen on?
Great principle!	Lack of understanding of what net gain is - need
	education on this. It's not just about tree
	planting!
Overall Support	Need set goals - be specific
In general good	Not without controversy - often linked to
	development. We don't want it only linked to
	growth!
Ambitious principle	Need specific guidance on what we actually
	want otherwise developers will go for least
	option
Achieve through biodiversity net gain	Biodiversity needs to be targeted to the area
	i.e Specific species identified
	How to quantify big net gains? And to prove it
	Lack of ongoing 'management' element in
	principle 2
	No mention to longevity and pressures to open
	access and recreation

What do you like about this principle?	What are you not so keen on?
	Needs to be legislative
	Potential conflict so is it achievable - e.g.
	Support low intensity mixed farming - is this
	achievable?
	Will be driven by climate change
	Baseline - publicise/ establish.
	Opportunity for citizen science to contribute to
	this.
	A V. clear incentive to be included
	Why low intensity? Support farming in general
	as part of the cluster. Very specific better as
	more strategic to support innovation and
	continuing effects
	Could be read as 'farming is bad' - farming
	created the landscape and should be
	recognised
	Collecting historical information. Example -
	creating a local evidence base

What do you like about this principle?	What are you not so keen on?
Like	There are things that exist already that we
	should/ can make better use of, better connect
	etc. e.g. Science Centre already exists,
	Sustainability Centre, existing farms etc. Need
	to consider these, especially as may be less
	expensive than something wholly new
Need for locals to care/ love the Downs	Small scale infrastructure and projects on farms
	spread across landscape - makes whole
	landscape more accessible and permeable and
	spread the word of farmers on sustainable land
	use etc. e.g. Small visitor farms in the New
	Forest are very popular
Enable people to access = important	We don't want a new place to compete with
	existing things
Supportive	Need to create links e.g. better link the Science
	Centre out into the Downs - circular walks,
	create new experiences
Hub has to have a purpose	Principle only seems to be talking about
	physical access - we need to include
	educational access e.g. School trips to farms
	and accessible planetarium at Science Centre

What do you like about this principle?	What are you not so keen on?
Destination' is key - need to understand what	Mix of public infrastructure projects and
people want	market led
Love the idea	Need to liaise with landowners/ farmers to
	provide access
Existing gateways - making best link	Conflict recreation vs conservation
City Mill, Science Centre, TIC	Difficult/ challenging to achieve
	Huge funding is required
	Can SDNPA purchase land?
	People very generous on other land
	Needs to be managed
	How to look after it when everyone is
	embracing
	Sustainable tourism - education. Public toilets
	issue - waste
	Access - walking/ cycling. Location of visitor hub
	needs carefully locating and public transport
	accessibility
	Word 'freely' causes concern for land owner/
	manager - suggest deleted
	East of Winchester photo competition to turn
	into a calendar - ways of advertising/ raising
	profile AND education of sustainable travel
	Accept clear around study area but influence
	those coming into area
	Collaborative messaging and info
	Building on existing investment?

What do you like about this principle?	What are you not so keen on?
Like	Needs to deal with transport issues - transport
	links to everything
Overall support	Need holistic view on everything and how
	linked in a sustainable transport way
This study can play it's part through climate	Existing sustainable transport links poor
issues in Winchester	
SDNPA plan on climate change	Need to raise awareness to get people changing
	habits
Baseline from which we can measure success of	Need to incorporate natural flood management
failure	
	Need specific measureable targets
	More sustainable' mode of transport, rather
	than just 'sustainable'
	Suggestion to omit 'woodland creation' from
	the principle

What do you like about this principle?	What are you not so keen on?
	Not just woodland - carbon storage through
	wetland restoration and chalk grassland
	creation
	How is this achieved
	Need to increase organic content of soil to
	increase carbon storage - need to incentivise
	farms to do this - downland restoration
	Build on adaptation strategy/ update
	Understand the specific effects of climate
	change on this specific area and respond
	accordingly eg. M3, airport
	Education is key. Must take into account
	behaviours
	Lots of stakeholders - all need to know
	baseline, targets and principles and act
	Needs to set out actions/ interventions for
	different types of people/ organisations/ land
	managers
	Park wide but different level and responses at
	different places
	WCC climate emergency - join up with SDNP -
	e.g. Science Centre Sustainable Travel Plan - no
	public transport
	National parks - common targets
	Sustainable transport - affordable
	Winchester park and ride - out to SDNP -
	Shawford Station
	Identify specific public transport routes to
	development

What do you like about this principle?	What are you not so keen on?
Like	Need to engage with young people
Overall support	Forum needs to be accessible for young people
Supportive	Need to be open to embracing change
	Need to lead on what the future is and not just
	reflecting on the past
	Risk of eroding/ spoiling landscape due to over- visitation/ recreation pressure
	How to monitor this balance - conserve landscape - use/ visit/ recreation
	Need to provide reasons for people to engage with SDNPA/ destination before people will start celebrating place
	Perception of a barrier so people don't go

What do you like about this principle?	What are you not so keen on?
	People have no reason to go into the South
	Downs
	Education is key
	People still don't know they are in National
	Park
	Sign should use the words similar to 'Area of
	Outstanding Natural Beauty'
	Be more explicit about what our offer and
	understanding special place and look after it
	Health and wellbeing element not mentioned
	eg. Health walks from Winchester
	Schools - key consideration for raising
	awareness. Inside and outside - future
	custodians
	People must take responsibility - guided
	introductions
	Information, datasets sharing for Hampshire
	area - local information - hub information
	Shared identity - locally specific

Conoral Natas Addad	Things perces the E-principles all interligies d
General Notes Added	Things across the 5 principles all interlinked
	Should we consider making some places
	'inaccessible' - to achieve net gain etc? (3,5)
	Need to protect/ maintain EU designation
	status (3)
	Priority order? Joint decision making should be
	first? Climate change should be first?
	Reactive principles - should be proactive ad this
	would then influence the approach - SDNPA
	should have an overarching climate change
	strategy that would inform relationship with
	partners and approach on issues. Example - lack
	of travel ability east - west in NP.
	Food production doesn't feature sufficiently -
	sustainable food production - SDNPA should
	have land management policy
	Seem to be mixture of mechanisms rather than
	outcomes/ objectives, seems to be in different
	orders. Mix of strategic and local.
	When talking about landscape mist talk about
	farming and food production

It was proposed that the study area was zoned and a number of initiatives were proposed for each zone. In the discussion session that followed the participants were asked to prioritise the initiatives; High, medium and low and provide a rational for their decision.



## TRANSCRIPT OF DISCUSSION SESSION

Zone	Priority; H,M,L	Explanation
Zone 1		
Initiative 1	Н	Funding? Use existing? Gateways for vehicles, cyclists, equestrian use. Protected areas - still protected? Ie. New Forest Make the most of what's already there What gateways do we want, cyclists/ horse riders/ walkers? New gateways will need money Needs to be managed - could cause problems if too many people Need to define what is meant by gateways Improve qualities of what is already there

Zone	Priority; H,M,L	Explanation
		eg. Dykes Farm Not just physical gateways but learning gateways also required to engage with people's emotions Creation and enhancement of existing gateways should be first action
Initiative 2	Н	How created? Golf course - stake holder One of the highest to get right - if you don't get it right you don't have a desirable landscape for people to visit! Needs more of a wetland farm Great opportunities with HE Need to work closely with landowners
Initiative 3	Н	Access to the area ie. Winnall Down route Equine/bridleway access Existing users included Improve existing routes eg. Dykes Farm/ Itchen Valley/ Eastern Lane Need to remember equestrians Do not neglect residents of surrounding villages for getting into Winchester
Initiative 4	L/M	Existing field into East of Winchester Buses in use, use more of/ more times - affordability Buses go into Winchester - needs to go the other way Use existing Park and Ride to access Downs Smart use of existing infrastructure Affordability
Initiative 5	M	Unrecorded routes, submit by 2026 Access and interpretation Link to local history ie. Alfred Link to existing collaborators Needs to include historic routes - need to be submitted to definitive map by 2026 Includes interpretation and access improvements eg. Shipwrights Way/ Winnall Moors Connection with Winchester Museum Need to work in partnership/ collaborate with existing
Initiative 6	H	Linked to no.2 Habitats/ landscapes to build interest in/ opportunities Same as 2 - Combine with 2

Zone	Priority; H,M,L	Explanation
		Land acquisition plan is required/ needs to developed between SDNPA/BC/HIOWWT
Initiative 7	H	Evidence for good management ie. Cut and collect Remain safe, investment and well management Links to others A quick win Requires a little coordination best easy to achieve Possible, has impractical issue if verges are overgrown (possibly??) Co-ordination between partners is key
Initiative 8	H	Maps exist, links needed Prioritisation Funding Understandable info Got mapping of net gain opportunities as should be using it Need to prioritise here as you start Could be achieved through NELMs Start small and build up
Initiative 9	Н	Related to point 2 Public awareness Same as 2 and 6 - merge all 3 - chalk grassland and wetland farms and divide into 2 habitats
Initiative 10	M	How to behave in countryside Impacts on water quality Continuous = is this sustainable Public awareness/ education Rephrase initiative Need to be careful with unlimited/ continuous access - Itchen is a valuable habitat which could be degraded Continuous is not appropriate Re-phrase - improve waterside access where appropriate along river Itchen and associated tributaries Education is essential if access is improved
Initiative 11	Н	Promote existing sites/ improving ie. Science Centre car park New and existing Improve existing viewpoint eg. St Caths Hill Create new viewpoints eg. Southern site St Catherine's Hill

Zone	Priority; H,M,L	Explanation
General Comments		Raise awareness in the inner areas to promote the outer areasApps and technology ie. for signage/ art developmentEngage emotions, memories, learning Green infrastructure = connect, continue interest, make happen within Winchester How is it tied to other partners = not one size fits allPrepare public and partners on the changes and implementation More stakeholder communication Raise awareness in Winchester if SDNP Utilising digital technology/ opportunities Engage with local artists Need to link with other documents eg. WCC Green Infrastructure Plan Who is going to deliver this/ who is going to monitor
Zone 2		
Initiative 1	M	Longer term aspiration. Needs a lot more work on what this is, is it feasible, what will it do etc etc? Is zone 2 the best place for this? Needs ongoing finance and something new is major capital investment Local resistance? Third parties would need help/ input to do this Provide information Need means of getting there sustainably What do we want the hub to do? Parking, loos, food, info? Need to define this/ it may change over time
Initiative 3	-	Why just woodland? Other habitats? Quick win? Work with farmers? Opportunities in NELMs?
Initiative 4	-	Not answered
Initiative 5	-	Not answered
Initiative 6	-	Not answered
Initiative 7	-	Not answered
Initiative 8	-	Not answered
Initiative 9	-	Not answered

Zone	Priority; H,M,L	Explanation
Initiative 11	-	Not answered
Initiative 12	-	Not answered
Initiative 13	-	Not answered
Initiative 14	-	Quick win?
		Easier to do
		More immediate impact/ quick win
		Science Centre already gets a lot of children
		in - bounce off this
		Facilitation partner (Hampshire Country
		Trust)links schools to providers
		Already visit Matterley farm
		Farm education visits - not economically
		viable for farm business, would need
		support
General Comments		Planning issues
		- Any project needs to work for the
		landowner
		- Need facilitator between land owner and
		SDNPA and other partners - project officer?
		Different initiatives fit together eg. Hub and
		children experiences
		Initiatives seem to imply there are more
		facilities in that zone than there is
		Need more research/ work on 'selling' the
		natural park to the public
		Where is gateway going? - Do people want
		this? What do they want? Feasibility,
		business case
		Is zone 2 the right place for the hub?
		Science Centre - inside environment, visitors
		drop in good weather, outside activity
		options could benefit the centre?
Zone 3		
Lonic o		

Initiative 1	H	H - Disconnected network atm L - Already have SDW and other footpaths Educating on use of paths eg. Litter And/ or condition of existing first Turn to bridleway upgrade/network Are we aware of where there are issues?
Initiative 2	Н	Nature of landscape is farmed Tension with certain crops eg. Rape crops Improved biodiversity point to be explicit
Initiative 3	Н	As a bare part of land scape and better for river

Ц	H Disconnected network atm
	H - Disconnected network atm
	L - Already have SDW and other footpaths
	Educating on use of paths eg. Litter
	And/ or condition of existing first
	Turn to bridleway upgrade/network
	Are we aware of where there are issues?
	Too prescriptive at this stage? Put in 'work
	with farmers to promote or simply say work
	with farm cluster
н	H - Sustainable
L	L - Parking blocking farming activity
Н	Increase biodiversity, economy, feature of
	NP, climate change
H/M	H/M - Connections good
L/VL (Mainly)	L/VL - Problematic eg. Concern of
	biodiversity impact
н	Funding from art
	Want people to engage with NP
	Stewardship ladder
	Add educational and or delete recreational
	Be clear about what this means eg. Must
	have loos
	New and existing
Н	Critical to all principles
	Work with farm clusters already doing this/
	endorse
Н	Lack of existing sustainability of sector to
	achieve principle
	Support for mobile abattoir
	Initiative crossed out on sheet
	New initiatives suggested:
	- Educational use of footpath network and
	permissive footpaths, schools outside of
	national park. Greater emphasis on users of
	the national park but not profitation of
	signage - High - supports achieving
	principles
	- Sustainable transport - alternative to cars
	supporting this infra, partnership with
	highways and communities to address
	disconnect. Community transport scheme,
	bike hire - High - supports achieving
	principles
	L H H L/VL (Mainly) H H H H H

Initiative 1	H L	H - Disconnected network atm L - Already have SDW and other footpaths Educating on use of paths eg. Litter And/ or condition of existing first Turn to bridleway upgrade/network Are we aware of where there are issues?
		<ul> <li>Facilities and education - toilets, cafes, village shops, waste/litter, village halls as hubs?, sustainable tourism - High - Supports achieving principles</li> <li>ELMS will pay farmers for public good, SDNP need to recognise and support this not work against - High</li> </ul>
		Concern that some villages are bisected by zones eg. Twyford not in zone 3, character rats it zone 1. Twyford is a warzone. Also has elements of all 3 zones Zone mas don't reflect experience Happy with CA boundaries Principles - climate change - declare climate emergency and should be critical Farmers are gatekeepers to achieving all of this, must work with farming community