

Agenda Item 17
Report PRI9/20-28

Report to	Policy & Resources Committee
Date	28 November 2019
By	Head of Governance
Title of Report (Note)	Corporate Risk Register

Recommendation: The Committee is recommended to:

1) Note the Corporate Risk Register as at November 2019

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Policy and Resources Committee has terms of reference which include “ to ensure the robustness of risk management and performance management arrangements; and to agree the Internal Audit Plan and Annual Report and receive progress and other relevant internal audit reports.”
- 1.2 The Corporate Risk Register is reported to each meeting of the Committee. From June 2016 the corporate risk register has been monitored by the organisation’s Operational Management Team on a monthly basis and issues escalated to SMT as required.
- 1.3 At its meeting in September 2019 the Committee approved a new Risk Management Policy and Guidance document which has since been communicated across the Authority

2. Policy Context.

- 2.1 Corporate Governance comprises the arrangements put in place to ensure that the intended outcomes for stakeholders are defined and achieved. It includes the systems and processes, and cultures and values, by which public bodies are directed and controlled and through which they account to and engage with their partners, communities and citizens.
- 2.2 Risk management is a key aspect of corporate governance and is one of the 7 principles in the ‘Delivering Good Governance in Local Government Framework (2016)’ developed by Cipfa and SOLACE¹ to help public bodies make open, transparent and better informed decisions that take full account of risk and opportunities.

3. Issues for consideration

- 3.1 **Appendix 2** shows the risk register in a graphical way which allows Members to see, at a glance, the likelihood and impact of risks. Explanatory information is provided at **Appendix I** to this report.
- 3.2 Significant changes to the Risk Register since it was last considered by the committee are:
 - Risk 6 – Local plan – This risk has now been removed from the Corporate Risk Register.

¹ Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy and Society of Local Authority Chief Executives & Senior Managers

- Risk 18 & Risk 21 – The titles of these risks have been amended to ensure the difference in focus (internal and external) of each risk is clear and the mitigations reviewed and updated
- Risk 19 relating to the pension fund has been removed from the Corporate Risk Register. The position with regard to the pension fund is currently positive and will be kept under review by the relevant officers. This will be put back on the Corporate risk register should the position change.

3.3 Updates to mitigations and actions, where identified, across all risks are documented in **Appendix 2** to this report.

4. Options & cost implications

4.1 Members are asked to consider and comment upon the Corporate Risk Register.

4.2 Management of risk is a key aspect of the organisation's governance and is undertaken within existing corporate budgets.

5. Next steps

5.1 Further updates on the Corporate Risk Register will be brought to future meetings of the Committee.

6. Other Implications

Implication	Yes/No
Will further decisions be required by another committee/full authority?	No
Does the proposal raise any Resource implications?	There are no additional resource requirements arising directly from this report. Any additional resources required for the delivery of identified mitigations will be subject to the Authority's usual decision making requirements.
How does the proposal represent Value for Money?	Effective risk management contributes to the efficient running of the organisation.
Are there any Social Value implications arising from the proposal?	No
Has due regard been taken of the South Downs National Park Authority's equality duty as contained within the Equality Act 2010?	There are no equalities implications arising from this report. Actions and mitigations are subject to an Equalities Impact Assessment where this is appropriate.
Are there any Human Rights implications arising from the proposal?	There are no implications arising from this report.
Are there any Crime & Disorder implications arising from the proposal?	There are no implications arising from this report.
Are there any Health & Safety implications arising from the proposal?	There are no implications arising from this report.
Are there any Data Protection implications?	There are none
Are there any Sustainability implications based on the 5 principles set out in the SDNPA Sustainability Strategy?	Effective risk management contributes to the principle of promoting good governance

7. Risks Associated with the Proposed Decision

- 7.1 There are no direct risks arising from this report. The report outlines the current major risks facing the Authority and how they will be mitigated.

ROBIN PARR

Head of Governance

South Downs National Park Authority

Contact Officer: Robin Parr, Head of Governance

Tel: 01730 819207

email: robin.parr@southdowns.gov.uk

Appendices: 1. Explanatory Information

2. Corporate Risk Register

SDNPA Consultees: Chief Executive Officer, Director of Countryside Policy & Management,

Director of Planning, Chief Finance Officer, Monitoring Officer

Background Documents: Previous Committee reports

Explanatory Information for Risk Register:

Description	Likelihood of Occurrence
Almost Certain (5)	The event is expected to occur in most circumstances.
Likely (4)	There is a strong possibility the event will occur.
Possible (3)	The event might occur at some time
Unlikely (2)	Not expected, but a slight possibility
Rare (1)	Highly unlikely. It could happen but probably never will

Category	Example Descriptor of Impact
Insignificant (1)	Basic first aid required, less than £100 financial impact, reputation remains intact.
Minor (2)	Short term injury to 1 or 2 people, minor localised disruption lasting less than 24 hours, between £100-£1000, minimal reputation impact.
Moderate (3)	Semi-permanent disability, affects between 3-50 people, high potential for complaints, financial burden between £1,000 and £10,000, litigation possible.
Major (4)	Causing death serious injury or permanent disability. Service closure for up to 1 week, significant financial burden, national adverse publicity, litigation expected.
Catastrophic (5)	Multiple deaths, Financial burden over £100,000, international adverse publicity, widespread displacement of people (over 500), complaints and litigation certain.

SDNPA Risk Appetite Statement:

The Authority seeks to operate within a limited overall risk range. The Authority's lowest risk appetite relates to safety including employee health and safety, with a higher risk appetite towards those activities directly connected with the Authority's Purposes and Duty. The Authority accepts that risk is ever present and is generally only willing to accept low levels of risk as part of its day to day business and in relation to its reputation. The Authority will normally only consider options where the level of risk can be managed to a low degree. However, the Authority may be willing to consider a higher level risk where it has the opportunity to be innovative in relation to its service delivery.