

IDP Prioritisation Matrix

IBP-001-17 Play facilities for children. Outdoor exercise equipment for adults.

Criterion	Tests	Assessment (1 to 10)	Weighting	Score
Strategic value	The project supports Purposes and Duty, special qualities, PMP Outcomes and Policies. <i>Weighting on landscape-led, GI?</i> <i>Need to understand if mild improvements for multiple purposes / special qualities outweighs strong improvement of one.</i> <i>If the project links to a variety and large number of outcomes and policies it should score highly.</i> <i>If the project completely delivers one policy or outcome it should score highly.</i>	8	10	8
Partnership working	The project has been developed in collaboration with community-led plans, parish councils and other external partners. <i>The number of partners is also an indicator that a project may score more highly but this should not be the determining factor.</i>	7	5	3.5
Relationship to Local Plan	Contribution to Ecosystems Services (Policy SD2) Scale of project, for example population served, area of coverage etc. Proximity to new development So growth-supporting infrastructure directly related to contributing site is highly rated	8	40	32
Value added	Evidence of need for the project. Qualitative assessment: Has it followed best practice or exemplar projects for a higher-than-average outcome Has it followed guidance such as 'Roads in the South Downs' and landscape guidance Is there scope to link projects?	5	10	5
Deliverability and resources	Evidence that the project can be delivered with no major obstacles. Ownership by a suitable lead body. Availability of other funding sources, if appropriate.	5	15	7.5
Urgency	Linked to site-specific infrastructure needs. <i>Could urgency or site-specific dependancy override other considerations if it is critical to the delivery of a development?</i> <i>Can this relate to things such as imminent threat of loss of habitat.</i>	4	10	4
Legacy	On-going management and financial stability of the project is sustainable. Maintenance / operation / management costs are taken into account and long-term financial independence / profitability	3	5	1.5
Risk	Availability of match-funding (risk-spreading) Etc (higher the score, less risk attributed to project)	3	5	1.5
Check				63