

SOUTH DOWNS LOCAL PLAN EXAMINATION

Hearing – Day 5

Wednesday 5 December 2018

South Downs Centre, Midhurst

**Matters 10-11 combined
Individual Settlements and Sites - I**

10.00 am

Steep - Easebourne and Midhurst - Stedham

2.00pm

Sheet – Selborne– West Meon

AGENDA

General Notes

- i. This agenda is provisional and flexible.*
- ii. It is not intended to repeat introductory matters covered in the Guidance and Draft Matters and Issues [INSPS3-4] and on Day 1 but to proceed as quickly as possible to the substance of the Agenda.*
- iii. Participants, including the SDNPA, named in [italic square brackets] may be invited to open the item concerned but this is optional.*
- iv. Where Main Modifications are proposed by the NPA these may be discussed as appropriate.*
- v. Discussion of any of the settlements listed below may, as appropriate and raised in the original representations, include:*
 - whether the settlement boundary is appropriately defined,*
 - whether Local Green Spaces are appropriately designated,*
 - the relationship of the provisions of the Plan for the settlement in relation to the relevant Local Neighbourhood Plan,*
 - whether appropriate protection is provided for 'niche' interests.*

Morning Session

1. Steep

Participants

92 – Steep in Need Charity

309 - Thomas Harding obo Save our Village Green

a. SD93 – Church Road

- i. Would the development of 12 houses on this Open Space be in keeping with the character of Church Lane?*
- ii. Other issues with SD93 or the provisions of the Plan for the settlement of Steep?*
- iii. MM60, MM61*

2. Easebourne and Midhurst

Participants

45 - Pro Vision obo The Cowdray Estate

274 - Nova Planning obo West Sussex County Council & Metis Homes

326 - Easebourne Parish Council

a. SD67 – Cowdray Works Yard

Consideration of:

- i. Settlement boundary revision
- ii. Development amount and density
- iii. Access and traffic
- iv. Biodiversity impact
- v.

b. SD68 – Egmont Road

Consideration of:

- i. Amount and density of development
- ii. Access in proximity to school, parking and traffic
- iii. Biodiversity impact
- iv. Effect on Listed Buildings

c. SD69 – Former Easebourne School

- i. Loss of greenfield land
- ii. Maintenance of visual gap
- iii. Effect on Listed Buildings and Conservation Area
- iv. Access, traffic and pedestrian links
- v. Biodiversity impact
- vi. Development brief
- vii. MM36

d. SD81 – WSCC Depot and former brickworks site Midhurst

Consideration of:

- i. Specified uses
- ii. Amount of development
- iii. Location of access
- iv. Potential noise and other pollution
- v. MM50

3. Stedham

Participants

242 - HMPC Ltd obo Stedham Sawmill Landowners

381 - Woolbeding with Redford Parish Council

a. SD92 – Stedham Sawmill

Consideration of:

- i. Type and form of development

- ii. Effect on Iping Common SSSI
- iii. Traffic impact
- iv. Loss of employment
- v. Deliverability as allocated
- vi. MM59, MM76

Afternoon Session

4. Sheet

Participants

143 - WYG and Andrew Caeser-Gordon obo Residents Action Group
251 - Troy Planning & Design obo Landowners at Pulens Lane

a. SD89 – Pulens Lane

Consideration of:

- i. Access
- ii. Biodiversity impact
- iii. MM55
- iv. *Other*
- v. MM70, MM71

5. Selborne

Participants

224 - Southern Planning Practice Ltd obo Newton Valence Farm

a. SD88 – Ketchers Field

Consideration of:

- i. Location and form of development in relation to settlement
- ii. Access
- iii. *Other*
- iv. MM55

6. West Meon

Participants

176 - Susan Oinn and Richard Howard

a. SD96 – Long Priors

Consideration of:

- i. Environmental concerns
 - 1. Landscape and visual amenity
 - 2. Climate change and flood risk
 - 3. Traffic and Road Safety