SOUTH DOWNS LOCAL PLAN EXAMINATION Hearing - Day 1

Tuesday 13 November 2018 South Downs Centre, Midhurst

10.00 am

Duty to Co-operate Legal Compliance Matter 1 – Vision and Objectives Matter 2 – Strategy Matter 3 - Core Policies

AGENDA

General Notes

- i This agenda is provisional and flexible.
- ii It is not intended to repeat introductory matters covered in the Guidance and Draft Matters and Issues [INSPS3-4] but to proceed as quickly as possible to the substance of the Agenda.
- iii Participants, including the SDNPA, named in [italic square brackets] may be invited to open the item concerned but this is optional.
- iv Item 4 Matter 2 Strategy will not commence before 11.15am
- v Settlement- and Site-specific issues will not be discussed in detail but are deferred to Matter 10-11.
- vi Where Main Modifications are proposed by the NPA these may be discussed as appropriate.

Opening

- a. Welcome and Introductions
- **b.** Opening Remarks by the Lead Inspector [see separate transcript]
- **c.** Any questions on procedure

Duty to Co-operate and Legal Compliance

Participants

- 165 Savills obo Cala Homes [CH]
- 197 Kingston Parish Council [KPC]
- 224 Southern Planning Practice Ltd obo Newton Valence Farm [NVF]
- 312 Coldwaltham Meadow Conservation Group [CMCG]
- 407 Harting Parish Council [HPC]

1. Duty to Co-operate

- a. Has the Plan been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate (DtC) with prescribed bodies, with reference to the DtC Statement [SDLP.11] and the several Statements of Common Ground (SOCGs) with neighbouring and partner authorities and other bodies [SCG series] and with respect in particular to:
 - i. whether, as an outcome of co-operation, the Plan makes appropriate provision for housing to foster the

INSP.6

social well-being of Park communities and for unmet need to be met by adjoining authorities [NVF] Note – detailed issues of numerical housing need and supply are for Matter 4.

2. Legal Compliance

Is the Plan [otherwise] legally compliant including with respect to the:

a. Habitats Regulations Assessment

- i. Has the Plan been prepared in accordance with the Habitats Regulations,
 - with reference to the Habitats Regulations Assessment as updated [SDLP 05 series] and now subject to further revision taking into account all relevant legislation and case law [SDNPA]
 - 2. and with respect in particular to air quality as affecting European designated wildlife sites, including Ashdown Forest SAC [WDC]
 - 3. any other relevant considerations?

Note: This item relates to the legal compliance of the HRA. The soundness of the chosen strategy in terms of its justification and effectiveness is for Matter 2.

b. Sustainability Appraisal/Strategic Environmental Assessment/Appropriate Assessment

- i. Has the Plan been subject to adequate Sustainability Appraisal (SA), duly updated [SDLP 04 series]
 - 1. taking account of reasonable alternative development strategies [SDNPA]
 - 2. to include robust objective consideration of planning impacts of allocated sites? [HPC]

Note: This item relates to the legal compliance of the SA. The soundness of the chosen strategy in terms of its justification and effectiveness is for Matter 2

c. Public consultation process and the Statement of Community Involvement

- i. Has the Plan been prepared in accordance with the Statement of Community Involvement [SDLP.08], with respect in particular to
 - 1. the degree and effectiveness of public consultations [KPC]

d. Public Sector Equality Duty

- Has the Plan been prepared having regard to the aims expressed in section 149(1) of the Equality Act 2010, with reference to:
 - 1. the Equalities Impact Assessment [SDLP 06]

- 2. the aims of elimination of discrimination, advancing equality of opportunity and fostering good relations
- 3. the relevant protected characteristics defined in Section 149(7) of age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion of belief, sex and sexual orientation

Note: The Examination is not required directly to assess the compliance of the NPA with the PSED but this item is included to inform the Inspector in his own compliance with that Duty.

3. Matter 1 - Vision and Objectives

Participants:

- 165 Savills obo Cala Homes [CH]
- 245 CPRE Sussex [CPRE]
- 249 National Farmers Union South East [NFU]
- 307 Sussex Wildlife Trust obo Sussex, Hampshire & IOW Trusts [WT]
- 312 Coldwaltham Meadow Conservation Group [CMCG]
- 397 Claremont Planning obo EPV (East Sussex) Ltd. [EPV]
- 569- HMPC Ltd. Obo The Goodwood Estate Company Ltd. [GEC]
- a. Is the Plan based on an appropriate Vision and appropriate Objectives, with reference to established legislation, NPPF12 and guidance governing National Parks?
 - i. Should Objective 3 the Plan provide expressly for a net gain in biodiversity, also with reference to NPPF18? [WT]
 - ii. Should the Vision expressly recognise a link between cultural heritage and historic environment? [CMCG]
 - iii. Overall, is the Plan positively prepared with respect to meeting its stated objective to protect the special character of the landscape? [GEC]

Note: Policy SD9 - Biodiversity and Geodiversity - may be discussed further under Matter 8

4. Matter 2 – Strategy – Policy SD25

Participants:

- 78 Paul Campbell
- 126 Turley obo Cove Homes
- 157 Barton Willmore obo Mr Richard Harris- Land North of Cora's Walk
- 158 Rupert & Jan Grey obo Friends of Harting Down
- 165 Savills obo Cala Homes [CH]
- 212 Anna Dale- Harris
- 224 Southern Planning Practice Ltd obo Newton Valence Farm
- 230 Southern Planning Practice Ltd obo Buriton Estates
- 232 Southern Planning Practice Ltd Deansmoor Properties
- 233 Southern Planning Practice Ltd obo Famie Hodell
- 242 HMPC Ltd. Obo Stedham Sawmill Landowners [SSL]

- 245 CPRE Sussex
- 254 DMH Stallard for Sigma Planning obo Rydon Homes
- 308 Greatham Voice (Mark Rodbert)
- 312 Coldwaltham Meadow Conservation
- 397 Claremont Planning obo EPV (East Sussex) Ltd.[EPV]
- 407 Harting Parish Council
- 569 HMPC Ltd. Obo The Goodwood Estate Company Ltd.
 - a. Is the Development Strategy for the SDNP and its Town and Village Centres, put forward by Policy SD25 of the Plan, appropriate and justified by robust evidence, with respect in particular to:
 - i. the choice which has been made between alternative approaches to development distribution [EPV]
 - ii. the functional relationships between communities inside and outside the SDNP boundary [CH]
 - iii. the identified settlements and town centres [HPC]
 - iv. the redevelopment of previously developed land outside settlements? [SSL]

5. Matter 3 - Core Policies - SD1-3

Participants:

- 243 Martin Hendry[MH]
- 244 CPRE Hampshire
- 245 CPRE Sussex
- 249 National Farmers Union (NFU) South East
- 254 DMH Stallard for Sigma Planning obo Rydon Homes
- 263 GVA obo Defence Infrastructure Organisation & Whitehill Bordon
- 307 Sussex Wildlife Trust obo Sussex, Hampshire & IOW Trusts
- 312 Coldwaltham Meadow Conservation
- 372 David Pain [DP]
- 397 Claremont Planning obo EPV (East Sussex) Ltd.
- 529 Selborne Parish Council [SBC]
- Regeneration Company
- 569 HMPC Ltd. obo The Goodwood Estate Company Ltd. [GEC]

Do the three Core Policies of the Plan make appropriately justified and effective provision respectively for:

- a. **Policy SD1 Sustainable Development** with respect in particular to:
 - i. the exceptions permitted by criterion 4 of the Policy [SBC]
 - ii. consistency with national policy?
- b. **Policy SD2 Ecosystem Services** with respect in particular to:
 - i. the requirement of the Policy for all proposals to be supported by a statement on its impact upon ecosystem services? [GEC]

- c. **Policy SD3** *Major Development* with respect in particular to:
 - i. whether part 1 of the policy provides a justified and effective basis for an objective identification of proposals considered to comprise major development [MH]
 - ii. whether part 2 of the policy makes appropriate exceptions for permitting major developments in the SDNP
 - iii. whether part 3 of the policy sets appropriate requirements and constraints for the control of major development exceptionally permitted within the SDNP
 - iv. whether the policy should refer to major events, as distinct from permanent development? [DP]