

Report to	Policy & Resources Committee
Date	27 September 2018
By	Head of Governance
Title of Report (Note)	Corporate Risk Register

Recommendation: The Committee is recommended to:

1) Note the Corporate Risk Register as at September 2018

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Policy and Resources Committee has terms of reference which include "... to ensure the robustness of risk management and performance management arrangements; and to agree the Internal Audit Plan and Annual Report and receive progress and other relevant internal audit reports."
- 1.2 The Corporate Risk Register is reported to each meeting of the Committee. From June 2016 the corporate risk register has been monitored by the organisation's Operational Management Team on a monthly basis and issues escalated to SMT as required.

2. Policy Context.

- 2.1 Corporate Governance comprises the arrangements put in place to ensure that the intended outcomes for stakeholders are defined and achieved. It includes the systems and processes, and cultures and values, by which public bodies are directed and controlled and through which they account to and engage with their partners, communities and citizens.
- 2.2 Risk management is a key aspect of corporate governance and is one of the 7 principles in the 'Delivering Good Governance in Local Government Framework (2016)' developed by Cipfa and SOLACE¹ to help public bodies make open, transparent and better informed decisions that take full account of risk and opportunities.

3. Issues for consideration

- 3.1 **Appendix 2** shows the risk register in a graphical way which allows Members to see, at a glance, the likelihood and impact of risks.
- 3.2 Changes to the risks included on the register are set out in the register at **Appendix 2**.
- 3.3 The following significant changes have been made to the risk register since it was last presented to Committee:
 - 3.3.1 Following the recent member workshop and decision by Planning Committee in relation to the process for allocating CiL funding Risk 3 (CIL) has been removed from the corporate risk register and placed on the planning directorate risk register, where it will continue to be monitored.

¹ Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy and Society of Local Authority Chief Executives & Senior Managers

3.3.2 A new Risk – Risk 21 –Delivery and Reputation has been formulated to replace risks 9 (Delivery of Partnership Management Plan) and 12 (Awareness and Favourability) , which were very similar. This new combined risk better captures the issues and mitigations in place to address them.

3.4 Updates to mitigations and actions, where identified, across all risks are documented in **Appendix 2** to this report.

4. Options & cost implications

4.1 Members are asked to consider and comment upon the risk register.

4.2 Management of risk is a key aspect of the organisations governance and is undertaken with existing corporate budgets.

5. Next steps

5.1 Further updates on the Corporate Risk Register will be brought to future meetings of the Committee.

6. Other Implications

Implication	Yes/No
Will further decisions be required by another committee/full authority?	No
Does the proposal raise any Resource implications?	There are no additional resource requirements arising directly from this report. Any additional resources required for the delivery of identified mitigations will be subject to the Authority's usual decision making requirements.
How does the proposal represent Value for Money?	Effective risk management contributes to the efficient running of the organisation.
Are there any Social Value implications arising from the proposal?	No
Has due regard been taken of the South Downs National Park Authority's equality duty as contained within the Equality Act 2010?	There are no equalities implications arising from this report. Actions and mitigations are subject to an EQIA where this is appropriate.
Are there any Human Rights implications arising from the proposal?	There are no implications arising from this report.
Are there any Crime & Disorder implications arising from the proposal?	There are no implications arising from this report.
Are there any Health & Safety implications arising from the proposal?	There are no implications arising from this report.
Are there any Data Protection implications?	There are none
Are there any Sustainability implications based on the 5 principles set out in the SDNPA Sustainability Strategy?	Effective risk management contributes to the principle of promoting good governance

7. Risks Associated with the Proposed Decision

7.1 There are no direct risks arising from this report. The report outlines the current major risks facing the Authority and how they will be mitigated.

ROBIN PARR
HEAD OF GOVERNANCE
South Downs National Park Authority

Contact Officer: Robin Parr, Head of Governance
Tel: 01730 819207
email: robin.parr@southdowns.gov.uk
Appendices: 1. Explanatory Information
2. Corporate Risk Register
SDNPA Consultees: Chief Executive Officer, Director of Countryside Policy & Management, Director of Planning, Chief Finance Officer, Monitoring Officer
Background Documents: Previous Committee reports

Agenda Item 12 Report PR40/18 Appendix I

Explanatory Information for Risk Register:

Description	Likelihood of Occurrence
Almost Certain (5)	The event is expected to occur in most circumstances.
Likely (4)	There is a strong possibility the event will occur.
Possible (3)	The event might occur at some time
Unlikely (2)	Not expected, but a slight possibility
Rare (1)	Highly unlikely. It could happen but probably never will

Category	Example Descriptor of Impact
Insignificant (1)	Basic first aid required, less than £100 financial impact, reputation remains intact.
Minor (2)	Short term injury to 1 or 2 people, minor localised disruption lasting less than 24 hours, between £100-£1000, minimal reputation impact.
Moderate (3)	Semi-permanent disability, affects between 3-50 people, high potential for complaints, financial burden between £1,000 and £10,000, litigation possible.
Major (4)	Causing death serious injury or permanent disability. Service closure for up to 1 week, significant financial burden, national adverse publicity, litigation expected.
Catastrophic (5)	Multiple deaths, Financial burden over £100,000, international adverse publicity, widespread displacement of people (over 500), complaints and litigation certain.

SDNPA Risk Appetite Statement:

The Authority seeks to operate within a relatively high overall risk range. The Authority's lowest risk appetite relates to safety including employee health and safety, with a higher risk appetite towards those activities directly connected with the Authority's Purposes and Duty. This means that the Authority accepts that risk is ever present and whilst it will always take steps to ensure risk is mitigated, as far as is possible, it is willing to accept risk as part of its day to day business and will consider all options that are likely to deliver the required outcomes.