

Site	Survey name & ref	Brighton & Hove Criteria 2013											Sussex LWS Criteria 2017								Panel decision 2013	Panel review 2017	Notes	Recommendation	
		Size	Diversity	Rare/Exc Feature	Naturalness	Fragility	Recorded history	Connectivity	Appreciation	Ecosystem Services	Value for Learning	Management	Overall	CH1	CH2	CH4	CH6	CH7	CH8	CS1					
BH74 North Benfield Valley	14 - North Benfield Valley (October 2010)	y	y	y	n	y	n	y	n	y	n	n	y	y	y	n	n	y	n	y	y		y	The southern tip of the survey, and therefore the mapped site, overlaps with existing LWS BH08 Bridleway East of Benfield Bridge.	Designate as LWS, excluding southern tip to avoid overlap with adjacent LWS.
BH84 The Dyke Trail	16 - The Dyke Trail (October 2010)	y	y	y	y	n	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	n	y	n	y	y		y	Continuous line of semi-natural habitat linking B&H to the scarp slope of the South Downs. Adder hibernation site. Some overlap with adjacent LWS (BH11 Brighton & Hove Golf Course). Recommended that boundary of BH11 should be amended to avoid overlap.	Designate as LWS avoiding overlap with adjacent LWS.	
BH90 Bevendean Farm Slope	92 - Bevendean Farm Slope (October 2011)	y	y	n	n	y	n	n	n	y	n	n	y	y	n	y	n	n	n	y		y	Site supports S41 habitat (chalk grassland) amongst a mosaic of semi-natural habitats and provides an important refuge and stepping stone for wildlife.	Designate as LWS.	
(BH91) 39 Acres	80 - 39 Acres (October 2011)	?	?	y	n	y	n	y	y	y	n	y	y	n	n	n	n	y	y	y	part y/part n	y	The site east of Ditchling Road was rejected as a LWS by the 2013 selection panel but the site west of the road was recommended. It is not clear from the survey data how the site met two of the mandatory B&H criteria (size and diversity), but the presence of the Sussex Scarce Knapweed Broomrape is notable and it is noted that the semi-improved grassland contains a reasonable range of forbs. The eastern part of the site meets Sussex criteria for the presence of notable species, as well as for its importance as a wildlife corridor and potential for expansion.	Combine area west of Ditchling Road with Ditchling Road (west of road) and Ditchling Road SW to form BH91 Ditchling Road LWS.	
(BH91) Ditchling Road	81 - Ditchling Road (November 2011)	y	y	y	n	y	n	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	n	n	n	y	y	y	part y/part n	y	Area west of Ditchling Road relatively rich semi-improved grassland with patches of scrub, supporting ground nesting birds (including the S41 species Skylark). This part of the site qualifies under both B&H and Sussex criteria.	Combine area west of Ditchling Road with 39 Acres (west of Ditchling Road) and Ditchling Road SW to form BH91 Ditchling Road LWS.	
(BH91) Ditchling Road SW	70 - Ditchling Road SW (November 2011)	y	y	n	n	n	n	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	n	n	y	y	n	y		y	Although the habitats on site are not species-rich, they contribute to a wider habitat complex, acting as a wildlife refuge and corridor and providing potential for expansion.	Combine with 39 Acres (west of Ditchling Road) and Ditchling Road (west) to form BH91 Ditchling Road LWS.	
BH94 Falmer Hill	93 - Falmer Hill (November 2011)	y	y	y	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	y	y	n	n	y	n	y	y		y	Site provides a stepping stone within an agricultural landscape.	Designate as LWS.	
BH95 North Bevendean Down	87 - North Bevendean Down (October 2011)	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	y	y	y	y		y	Exceptionally large area of species-rich chalk grassland in the B&H context, with diverse scrub and a dew pond. Supports a number of protected and notable species. Meets B&H and Sussex criteria.	Designate as LWS.	
BH96 Stanmer Park	98 - Stanmer Park South (October 2011); 99 - Stanmer Park North (November 2011); 100 - Stanmer Park East (July 2011)	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	y	n	y	y		y	The site was covered by three surveys, and meets both B&H and Sussex criteria for LWS for both habitats and species. Stanmer Park is already designated as a LNR. However, the proposed boundary of the LWS includes some areas outside the LNR, including chalk grassland banks and urban areas, which merit recognition and protection because of the species and habitats they support. As such, it is recommended that the site is also designated as a LWS.	Designate as LWS.	
BH97 Sweet Hill Scrub	32 - Sweet Hill Scrub (October 2010)	y	y	y	n	y	n	y	y	y	n	y	n	n	y	y	y	y	y	y		y	Significant areas of mature, diverse scrub in the Brighton & Hove context, linked by improved grazing pasture to a small area of relict chalk grassland. Site also supports a number of S41 species.	Designate as LWS.	

Coldean Lane Slopes	83 - Coldean Lane Slopes (no survey)	?	?	?																	y	n	The site was not surveyed therefore insufficient information to assess it against B&H or Sussex criteria.	Do not designate but retain on list of potential LWS for future survey.	
Dyke Road Strip	25 - Dyke Road Strip (October 2010)	y	y	y	n	y	y	y	y	n	n	y										?	n	Species-poor grassland with a calcareous influence and good opportunities for enhancement/restoration. The survey form reports that Skylarks (S41 species) were recorded but it is unknown whether these are breeding.	Do not designate but retain on list of potential LWS for future survey.
Hogtrough Bottom	50 - Hogtrough Bottom (no survey)	?	?	?																	?	?	The site was not surveyed therefore insufficient information to assess it against B&H or Sussex criteria.	Do not designate but retain on list of potential LWS for future survey.	
Bazehill Road Reservoir	124 - Bazehill Road Reservoir (October 2011)	n	n	n																	n	n	Species-poor habitats.	Do not designate.	
Brown Loaf Farm	91 - Brown Loaf Farm (November 2011)	n	n	n																	n	n	Although the site supports relict patches of chalk grassland, this is considered too deteriorated to qualify as species-rich chalk grassland.	Do not designate.	
Scrub East of Woodingdean	123 - Scrub East of Woodingdean (October 2011)	n	n	n	n	n	n	y	n	y	n	n										n	n	The 2013 panel recommended this site as a LWS. However, the reasoning for this decision is unclear as the site does not meet the mandatory B&H criteria. As the site does not meet any of the Sussex criteria, and given its small size, low diversity, and lack of connectivity, the 2017 panel does not consider it merits designation.	Do not designate.
Wild Park	79 - Wild Park (May 2013)	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	y	y	y	n	n	n	The site clearly meets both B& and Sussex criteria for designation. However, the 2013 panel rejected the site as a LWS; the reasons for this decision are not known. The whole site is designated as a LNR and a full management plan exists. The view of the 2017 panel is therefore that the value of the site is already sufficiently recognised and protected.	Do not designate as site sufficiently protected through LNR status.