Corporate Risk Register V2

#### February 2018

## Corporate Risk Register

arrows = anticipated direction of travel for risk =Inherent Risk (Risk before any action is taken)





01. Health and safety

Owner: Vicky Paterson

Accident or incident involving staff, Description volunteers, visitors, members or the of Impact public resulting in serious injury or death at an SDNPA facility or event. Breach of statutory duties, litigation

and cost against the authority. 1. Services of external Health and Safety consultant retained, 2, H&S strategy and responsibilities agreed 3 Health and Safety elements included in induction programme for staff and volunteers 4. H&S committee operating and receiving regular accident reporting 5. Health and Safety policy in place 6.All area offices regularly audited 7. Annual report to P&R Committee with

recomendations 8. Members and SMT trained and briefed on H&S responsibilities 9 . All risk assessments reviewed and updated.10 additional heath and safety related training provided via elearning - fire safety and health and safety delivered as mandatory courses; 11 IOSH training completed by all H&S reps 12 Lone working policy agreed by OMT A H&S audit will be undertaken in

early 2018 to inform future provision

of H&S contract and advice. IOSH training completed by reps and Mandatory H&S training delivered via

02. Finance and budgets

Trevor Beattie

Budgets insufficient or budgets become insufficient due to reduction in Defra grant or in year requirement for

Description savings; failure to match resources of Impact and workloads across the


organisation; Mgt Plan suffers and SDNPA lacks capacity to support other


work. Resources not available to deliver on all priorities

1. Monthly budget monitoring undertaken by managers and OMT, enables identification of areas of potential overspend and compensating savings 2. Effective work prioritisation process 3. Rebalancing resources and priorities monitored through the corporate plan 4. Joint LP and NPMP

timeline produced 5. Income

Mitigations: Generation project 6. Effective planning and approach to the Budget setting process and organisational restructure in 2016/17. 7. Budget announcements and four year settlement fro m Defra. 8 . 2017/18 budget setting process to consider scenarios including potential removal of four year settlement 9. value based budget exercise in 2018/19 planned.





03. CIL

Owner: Tim Slaney

> Insufficient resources, systems and procedures are in place to effectively collect, distribute and monitor CIL funds. Results in a failure to deliver projects contained with the IDP due to insufficient funds collected. Poor management of the distribution of funds leads to challenge

Updates:

Description of Impact of Risk:

Over decision making and a failure to deliver park purposes and duties. Reputational damage is suffered resulting from delays in determining applications and relationship with parishes, developers and communities negatively impacted as projects not delivered upon and community expectations fail to be met. Potential for Government to review CIL may result in further changes to requirements and regulation.


Collection of CiL managed in house and responsibility for collection spread across the planning team. Major Planning Projects Officer and Development Management Offer roles Mitigations: have specific focus on monitoring and collection of CIL. Exacom module (Uniform) in

Work to develop appropriate processes for the allocation and distribution of CIL is underway.

place to calculate CIL.

Infrastructure Projects are currently being reviewed and prioritised for funding in 2018/19. The final decision on the projects to

be funded will be taken by Planning committee



06. Local Plan

Owner: Tim Slaney

Failure to produce Local Plan within reasonable timeframe and with robust evidence makes the National Park Authority vulnerable to appeals of planning decisions. Issues arising

of Risk:

Description from the Habitats regulation of Impact Assessment (HRA) relating to Nitrogen deposition following quashing of policies SP1 and SP2 Lewes Joint Core Strategy in so far as they relate to the National Park in March 2017 may impact upon evidence base and timescales


Clear milestones established and communicated. Link with mgt plan, particularly the evidence base. Clarity of spend on the information required Mitigations: for the evidence base. Week by week analysis of progress against revised

> commissioned to address HRA issue Working closely with AECOM and DCLG on this matter

project plan. Further work

Consultation draft of plan approved by NPA in July 2017. Local Authority sessions on the Local Plan being

delivered . HRA evidence received.



Impact

February 2018 Corporate Risk Register V2

## Corporate Risk Register

#### 07. Development Management

Owner: Tim Slaney

Lack of capacity to manage development management function effectively results in unwanted

developments not enforced against, Description lack of consistency, delayed decision of Impact making or an increase in planning appeals/inquiries. Significant


additional costs to the Authority incurred and reputational damage and loss of confidence in the planning functions of the authority.

DM team now at nearly full strength Mitigations: only 0.6 post in Minerals and Waste

remaining vacant

Risks 7 & 11 have been merged. Updates: Score updated to reflect views of

commitee and reassessment of risk



### 09. Partnership Management Plan

Owner: Andrew Lee


The objectives and activities in the NPMP are not delivered due to lack of Description alignment with business plans of other

of Impact organisations, lack of resources from of Risk: partners, our resources to deliver the plan are reduced and focussed on core statutory activities

1. Close engagement with partners 2. Meetings with key partners, in particular the South Downs Partnership to build support for the NPMP 3. Income Generation Strategy 4. Public Affairs Strategy . timing of PMP review is aligned with partners

Mitigations: business planning 6. involve SDP in review of PMP and State of the Park report. Increased project fund available due to Defra Settlement Task and Finish Group established to take forward PMP review and prioritisation exercise and report to committee/NPA. Budget scenarios

being. developed Updates: Mitigations updated




### 12. Awareness and Favourability

Probability: 4 Impact:

Public affairs strategy being developed, wider communications strategy, close engagement with and expectation management of partners Project management approval process, robust project governance, Reporting to P&P and Governance Committee, Project evaluation and lessons learnt process, strong

Mitigations: financial planning, proactive communications with partners, public and members about projects. Four year settlement helps forward plan activity and provide consistency and increase project pots. Risks arising from Brexit identified and under continual review. Mitigations in place partnership approach to addressing risks developed



### 13. Partner expectations

Andrew Lee

Expectations on the Authority are Description unrealistic as public sector and other partners reduce activity and/or cut back resources in the National Park. Which results in a reduction in capacity


to deliver PMP outcomes. PMP under review. Review process has built in partner engagement Strong continuous dialogue with relevant partners, clarity around our legal obligations and requirements, ongoing communications work around managing public expectations.

Involving partners in the review of the PMP, particularly as we move into Mitigations: Brexit negotiations. Natural England to align its South Downs work programme with the PMP Encourage private

investment into the National Park eg: PES and carbon trading. Advance preparations to address risks arsing from Brexit including Future of farming work and liaison with partners and central government See also mitigations for the Brexit risk. Mitigations updated. Five-year delivery

plans for eight areas of work being developed with Walking & Cycling, Landscape & Biodiversity front runners. Dialogue with CEOs of Water Updates: Companies about increasing

investment into NP catchments and chalk aquifer. Working with NGO "Lawton Group" to encourage closer joint working between five national organisations within this NP



Corporate Risk Register V2 February 2018

## Corporate Risk Register

16.Staffing

Trevor Beattie


Inability to attract/retain key staff Description impacts upon the organisation's of Impact service delivery. High staff turnover of Risk: results in inefficiency across the


organisation


New pay structure and terms and conditions post restructure, Training and development programme, Staff Mitigations: Survey action plan, PDR policy,

Resilience and capacity review by OMT, Internal policies and procedures in place e.g. (family friendly, flexible

Updates: Staff Survey planned for 2018







18. Projects

Owner: Andrew Lee

Project resources not optimised and opportunities to undertake projects are missed. Insufficient skills and capacity in the organisation to effectively

Description of Impact of Risk:


The organisation to electively develop, manage and deliver high quality projects. Opportunities to develop and deliver projects are missed, project resources are not used as effectively as possible, projects bought forward are of insufficient quality to deliver outcomes for the National Park.

Performance and Projects team in place. Prioritisation and approval process in place and audited. Guidance published on intranet, direct professional and expert support during project development and evaluation lessons learnt and performance

reporting to committee. Thematic Mitigations: Boards operating. OMT role in decision making. Role of Strat leads, Rangers and other staff to identify opportunities and support is available to turn these into projects where appropriate.
Project Manager is now in post and is undertaking a review of process and guidance and will recommend improvements where needed.

Updates:

mitigations updated to reflect additional resource in place



Corporate Risk Register V2

# Corporate Risk Register

#### 19. Pensions Fund

Owner: Alan Brough

The Pension fund deficit increases to an extent that significant additional contributions from the revenue budget are required. This diverts spending away from delivery of NP purposes and corporate priorities and has the potential to destabilise the financial position of the authority. Staff structure is negatively impacted as the cost of employment increases,

resulting in fewer staff to deliver outcomes.

outcomes.

3 year actuarial assessments are undertaken and reported through fund managers (WSCC). Relatively early warning of predicted growing or unsustainable pension deficits would therefore be available and would provide time for effective financial planning and risk management at both local and national levels depending on causes, severity and national prevalence. The Pensions scheme is managed regulated nationally through LGPS with ability to unilaterally amend

managed regulated nationally through LGPS with ability to unilaterally amend contributions and benefits paid though the scheme through legislation.

Locally, the West Sussex scheme is merging with other schemes to reduce administrative costs and improve resilience to market fluctuations. Gov't ability to legislate to close or replace the scheme in extreme circumstances but this would be likely to invite legal and/or other challenge. Annual reporting to P&R committee. Also see mitigations related to other financial risks included in the register

new risk added following discussion at P&R committee July 17

Impact

20. Business Continuity Planning and Organisational Resilience

Owner: Trevor Beattie

Lack of organisational business

Description continuity planning and organisational resilience may prevent delivery of key services in the event of a major

incident, or as a result of the loss of key

staff.

BCP in place. Business Critical functions identified and planned for. BCP reviewed and tested annually. IT Disaster Recovery plans in place and tested annually. Key staff roles identified in BCP and communicated. 6 monthly review and annual testing of the plan in place Potential single points of failure in organisation identified and mitigations in place including

documenting of key processes Specific
Mitigations: implications of IT provision addressed
through day to day IT support functions
being provided via outsourced contracts

meaning that user support would not be immediately impacted by the departure or absence of the IT Strategy Manager. IT network and key systems delivered externally via contracts. Network Resilience and continuity issues have also been addressed via the IT contracts. Linked to mitigations of risk

16 related to staffing.

New eastern area office operational.

Updates: Audit of BCP undertaken and results

reported to Committee

