## SDNPA Planning Committee – 8 February 2018

## Update Sheet



Agenda Item	Page No	Para	Update	Source/ Reason
7	22	4	After paragraph 4.10 insert: The South Downs National Park Authority has sought independent advice from Bourne Rural Planning Consultancy in assessing the need for new farm buildings and infrastructure, resulting from the change of use of the main farmstead and to support the expansion of the existing farming business. The Agricultural Consultant has assessed the applicant's supporting evidence, visited the site, and has submitted a detailed report available to view via the following link: <u>http://planningpublicaccess.southdowns.gov.uk/online-</u> <u>applications/files/2114F870038FDBADAD0BCE3A4294F6A4/pdf/SDNP_16_06023_FUL-</u> <u>AGRICULTURAL_APPRAISAL-936433.pdf</u> The main findings of the report are as follows: • The rationale behind the agricultural proposals relates to the whole yard re-development and is not based	Update
			<ul> <li>solely on the requirements of the agricultural activity currently in operation.</li> <li>There is justification for the provision of additional, appropriate agricultural facilities at Great Barn Farm, independent of the current plans for the re-development of the historic yard area.</li> <li>Even without the proposals for conversion of the existing historic buildings, a strong agricultural case could be made to demonstrate that it would be reasonable to provide new barns in order to provide sufficient housing for the in-wintering of all cattle; and meet the requirements of modern-day agricultural to accommodate the large machinery used on farms today.</li> <li>The buildings are appropriately designed to meet their proposed uses.</li> <li>The proposed siting for the agricultural buildings appears reasonable when taking into account the restrictions associated with both the proximity of the proposed Retreat and the overhead power lines.</li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>The existing grain storage facility is appropriate to meet the current requirements with some flexibility but does not provide for any significant, additional storage opportunities over and above that required.</li> <li>It is considered that the proposed hay/straw building is of an appropriate size and design to meet the needs of the holding. The proposed hay/straw barn would provide storage area of 540m<sup>2</sup> and existing straw barn would continue to provide storage of 200m<sup>2</sup>.</li> <li>The provision of a machinery shed of 540m<sup>2</sup> does not appear disproportionate in relation to the scale of the arable and livestock activity in operation.</li> <li>The proposed buildings support the needs of an agricultural activity and are essential to the countryside location in accordance with Horsham Policy DC 1.</li> </ul>	

			After Condition 26 insert additional condition 27 as follows:	Update
7	41	10.1	Upon the substantial completion of the agricultural livestock building and prior to its first use, works shall have commenced for the redevelopment of the ecumenical retreat, unless a timetable for a later date of commencement has been submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority, after which the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved timetable.	
			Reason: To ensure the timely delivery of the ecumenical retreat upon the completion of replacement agricultural development, in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Purposes of the South Downs National Park Authority.	
7	41	10.2	Remove the first line of listed building conditions 1 to 5 of SDNP/16/06024/LIS: No <del>development (notwithstanding the construction of new agricultural buildings)</del> shall commence until [] To be replaced with the following: No works shall commence until []	Amendment
8	47	Recommendation 2	Deleted	To allow for correct administrative processes.
8	47	Recommendation 3 and any reference to dates within the report	Replace dates with 9 February to the 23 March 2018	Incorrect dates
8	47	Recommendation 4	, amend the policy if necessary, agree and publish the Final Decision Statement.	Clarification
8	49	4.6 (replace first sentence)	There is no legal requirement to consult on the proposed Decision Statement, which the Examiner advises should include Site H8. However, the Government Guidance on Neighbourhood Planning says that Local Planning Authorities should constructively engage with the community throughout the process including when considering the recommendations of the independent examiner of a neighbourhood development plan or Order proposal.	Further detail.
8	50	Section 7, table, 2 <sup>nd</sup> row	Replace 'Housing and Communities Local Government (HCLG)' with 'Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government (MHCLG).	New departmental title.
8	51	Section 7, table, 7 <sup>th</sup> row, Strategic Environmental Assessment (second para)	The modifications recommended as a result of the Examiner's report, outlined in Appendix 2, have been considered and any resultant updates to the Strategic Environment Assessment have been made. This includes an assessment of Site H8. The updated SEA has been published and is available to comment on alongside the consultation on the Decision Statement.	