#### KEY:


[] = Inherent Risk (Risk before any action is taken)

X = Residual Risk (Risk after mitigations put in place)


01. Health	and safety							03. CIL					
	Vicky Paterson Accident or incident involving staff,		5					Owner:	Tim Slaney Insufficient resources, systems and procedures		5		
of Impact	volunteers, visitors, members or the public resulting in serious injury or death at an SDNPA facility or event.		4						are in place to effectively collect, distribute and monitor CIL funds. Results in a failure to deliver projects contained with the IDP due to		4		
UI KISK:	Breach of statutory duties, litigation and cost against the authority.	~	3						insufficient funds collected. Poor management of the distribution of funds leads to challenge on over decision making and a failure to deliver	~	3		
	1. Services of external Health and Safety consultant retained. 2. H&S strategy and responsibilities agreed 3.	Probability	2	-	×			Descripti of Impac of Risk:	t park purposes and duties. Reputational damage is suffered resulting from delays in	Probability	2		
	Health and Safety elements included in induction programme for staff and volunteers 4. H&S committee and	Pro	1						determining applications and relationship with parishes, developers and communities negatively impacted as projects not delivered	ž	1		
	regular accident reporting 5. Health and Safety policy in place 6.All area offices regularly audited by the		-		3	4	5		upon and community expectations fail to be met. Potential for Government to review CIL may result in further changes to requirements		-		
Mitigations:	consultant. 7. Annual report to Governance Committee with		1	2	_	4	5		and regulation. Collection of CiL managed in house and			1	2
	recomendations 8. Members and SMT trained and briefed on H&S responsibilities 9. All risk assessments reviewed and updated. additional heath and safety related				Impact			Mitigatio	responsibility for collection spread across the planning team. Major Planning Projects Officer and Development Management Offer roles have specific focus on monitoring and collection of CIL. Exacom module (Uniform) in place to calculate CIL.				
	training being provided via e-learning - fire safety course rolled out as mandatory training								Work to develop appropriate processes for the allocation and distribution of CIL is underway.				
Updates:	Mitigations updated to reflect new e- learning material being made available . no impact on scores.							Updates:					
02. Finance Owner:	e and budgets Trevor Beattie		5						6. Local Plan wner: Tim Slaney		5		
02. Finance Owner:	e and budgets Trevor Beattie Budgets insufficient or budgets become insufficient due to reduction in Defra grant or in year requirement for		5						wner: Tim Slaney Failure to produce Local Plan within reasonable timeframe and with robust evidence makes the National Park		5		
02. Finance Owner: Description of Impact of Risk:	e and budgets Trevor Beattie Budgets insufficient or budgets become insufficient due to reduction in Defra grant or in year requirement for savings; failure to match resources and workloads across the organisation; Mgt Plan suffers and				×			O	wner: Tim Slaney Failure to produce Local Plan within reasonable timeframe and with robust evidence makes the National Park Authority vulnerable to appeals of planning decisions. Issues arising escription from the Habitats regulation		5		
02. Finance Owner: Description of Impact of Risk:	e and budgets Trevor Beattie Budgets insufficient or budgets become insufficient due to reduction in Defra grant or in year requirement for savings; failure to match resources and workloads across the organisation; Mgt Plan suffers and SDNPA lacks capacity to support other work. Resources not available to deliver on all priorities		4		×			D	wner: Tim Slaney Failure to produce Local Plan within reasonable timeframe and with robust evidence makes the National Park Authority vulnerable to appeals of planning decisions. Issues arising escription from the Habitats regulation f Impact Risk: deposition following quashing of policies SP1 and SP2 Lewes Joint Core		5		
02. Finance Owner: Description of Impact of Risk:	e and budgets Trevor Beattie Budgets insufficient or budgets become insufficient due to reduction in Defra grant or in year requirement for savings; failure to match resources and workloads across the organisation; Mgt Plan suffers and SDNPA lacks capacity to support other work. Resources not available to deliver on all priorities 1. Monthly budget monitoring undertaken by managers and OMT ,		4					D	wner: Tim Slaney Failure to produce Local Plan within reasonable timeframe and with robust evidence makes the National Park Authority vulnerable to appeals of planning decisions. Issues arising escription from the Habitats regulation Timpact Assessment (HRA) relating to Nitrogen deposition following quashing of	bability	5 4 3 2		
02. Finance Owner: Description of Impact of Risk:	e and budgets Trevor Beattie Budgets insufficient or budgets become insufficient due to reduction in Defra grant or in year requirement for savings; failure to match resources and workloads across the organisation; Mgt Plan suffers and SDNPA lacks capacity to support other work. Resources not available to deliver on all priorities 1. Monthly budget monitoring undertaken by managers and OMT, enables identification of areas of potential overspend and compensating savings 2.Effective work prioritisation		4 3 2	2		4	5	D	wner: Tim Slaney Failure to produce Local Plan within reasonable timeframe and with robust evidence makes the National Park Authority vulnerable to appeals of planning decisions. Issues arising escription from the Habitats regulation from the Habitats regulation Assessment (HRA) relating to Nitrogen deposition following quashing of policies SP1 and SP2 Lewes Joint Core Strategy in so far as they relate to the National Park in March 2017 may impact upon evidence base and timescales Clear milestones established and	Probability	5 4 3 2 1		
02. Finance Owner: Description of Impact of Risk: Mitigations:	e and budgets Trevor Beattie Budgets insufficient or budgets become insufficient due to reduction in Defra grant or in year requirement for savings; failure to match resources and workloads across the organisation; Mgt Plan suffers and SDNPA lacks capacity to support other work. Resources not available to deliver on all priorities 1. Monthly budget monitoring undertaken by managers and OMT, enables identification of areas of potential overspend and compensating savings 2.Effective work prioritisation process 3. Rebalancing resources and priorities monitored through the corporate plan 4. Joint LP and NPMP		4 3 2 1	2	*	4	5	D	<ul> <li>wner: Tim Slaney</li> <li>Failure to produce Local Plan within reasonable timeframe and with robust evidence makes the National Park Authority vulnerable to appeals of planning decisions. Issues arising</li> <li>escription from the Habitats regulation</li> <li>Timpact</li> <li>Assessment (HRA) relating to Nitrogen</li> <li>Risk: deposition following quashing of policies SP1 and SP2 Lewes Joint Core Strategy in so far as they relate to the National Park in March 2017 may impact upon evidence base and timescales</li> <li>Clear milestones established and communicated. Link with mgt plan, particularly the evidence base. Clarity of spend on the information required</li> </ul>		1	1	
02. Finance Owner: Description of Impact of Risk: Mitigations:	e and budgets Trevor Beattie Budgets insufficient or budgets become insufficient due to reduction in Defra grant or in year requirement for savings; failure to match resources and workloads across the organisation; Mgt Plan suffers and SDNPA lacks capacity to support other work. Resources not available to deliver on all priorities 1. Monthly budget monitoring undertaken by managers and OMT, enables identification of areas of potential overspend and compensating savings 2.Effective work prioritisation process 3. Rebalancing resources and priorities monitored through the corporate plan 4. Joint LP and NPMP timeline produced 5. Income Generation project 6.Effective planning and approach to the Budget		4 3 2 1	2	3	4	5	D of of	wner:Tim SlaneyFailure to produce Local Plan within reasonable timeframe and with robust evidence makes the National Park Authority vulnerable to appeals of planning decisions. Issues arisingescriptionfrom the Habitats regulationTimpactAssessment (HRA) relating to Nitrogen deposition following quashing of policies SP1 and SP2 Lewes Joint Core Strategy in so far as they relate to the National Park in March 2017 may impact upon evidence base and timescalesClear milestones established and communicated. Link with mgt plan, particularly the evidence base. Clarity of spend on the information required for the evidence base. Week by week analysis of progress against revised project plan. Further work		1	1	
02. Finance Owner: Description of Impact of Risk: Mitigations:	e and budgets Trevor Beattie Budgets insufficient or budgets become insufficient due to reduction in Defra grant or in year requirement for savings; failure to match resources and workloads across the organisation; Mgt Plan suffers and SDNPA lacks capacity to support other work. Resources not available to deliver on all priorities 1. Monthly budget monitoring undertaken by managers and OMT, enables identification of areas of potential overspend and compensating savings 2.Effective work prioritisation process 3. Rebalancing resources and priorities monitored through the corporate plan 4. Joint LP and NPMP timeline produced 5. Income Generation project 6.Effective		4 3 2 1	2	3	4	5	D of of	wner:Tim SlaneyFailure to produce Local Plan within reasonable timeframe and with robust evidence makes the National Park Authority vulnerable to appeals of planning decisions. Issues arisingescriptionfrom the Habitats regulationTimpactAssessment (HRA) relating to Nitrogen deposition following quashing of policies SP1 and SP2 Lewes Joint Core Strategy in so far as they relate to the National Park in March 2017 may impact upon evidence base and timescalesClear milestones established and communicated. Link with mgt plan, particularly the evidence base. Clarity of spend on the information required for the evidence base. Week by week analysis of progress against revised		1	1	2

Agenda Item 9 Report PR11/17 Appendix 2 September 2017







Impact




☐ Inherent器 Residual


Impact












Impact

18. Projects		19. Pensions Fund
<ul> <li>Andrew Lee</li> <li>Projects</li> <li>Andrew Lee</li> <li>Project resources not optimised and opportunities to undertake projects are missed. Insufficient skills and capacity in the organisation to effectively develop, manage and deliver high quality projects. Opportunities to develop and deliver projects are missed, project resources are not used as effectively as possible, projects bought forward are of insufficient quality to deliver outcomes for the National Park.</li> <li>Performance and Projects team in place. Prioritisation and approval process in place and audited. Guidance published on intranet, direct professional and expert support during project development and evaluation</li> </ul>	Allinged The second se	19. Pensions FundOwner:Alan BroughThe Pension fund deficit increases to an extent that significant additional contributions from the revenue budget are required. This diverts spending away from delivery of NP purposes and corporate priorities and has the potential to destabilise the financial position of the authority. Staff structure is negatively impacted as the cost of employment increases, resulting in fewer staff to deliver outcomes.3 year actuarial assessments are undertaken and reported through fund managers (WSCC). Relatively early warning of predicted growing or unsustainable pension deficits would therefore be available and would
Mitigations: lessons learnt and performance reporting to committee. Thematic Boards operating. OMT role in decision making. Role of Strat leads, Rangers and other staff to identify opportunities and support is available to turn these into projects where appropriate. Updates:		Mitigations: Mi

#### Agenda Item 9 Report PR11/17 Appendix 2<sub>2</sub> September 2017



Impact

Updates: new risk added following discussion at P&R committee July 17



