



Summary of Representations made on the Regulation 16 Submission version of the Lavant Neighbourhood Development Plan

1. This document provides a summary of the representations submitted in accordance with Regulation 16 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 to the Lavant Neighbourhood Development Plan (LNDP). This document is produced in compliance with the Neighbourhood Plan (Referendum) Regulations 2012.
2. The South Downs National Park Authority (SDNPA) published the LNDP for consultation from 10 January to 21 February 2017 in accordance with Part 5 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. Representations were submitted during the publicity period by 26 respondents. The representations were received from statutory consultees, developers, their agents, and other organisations.
3. Paper copies of the representations can be viewed on request at the South Downs Centre, North Street, Midhurst, West Sussex, GU29 9DH.
4. Set out below is a summary of the issues raised in the representations. The South Downs National Park Authority Representation can be seen in full on our website by following this [link](#)

Name / Organisation	Date received	Method of submission	Summary of representation
Chichester District Council	17/02/17	Email	<p>Multiple minor amendments sought to policy wording.</p> <p>Object to amendment to settlement boundary for Chichester to include proposed housing site at Maddoxwood House.</p> <p>Addition should be made to Local Gap policy area.</p> <p>Affordable housing policy should be amended to be in line with national and local strategic policy.</p> <p>Object to inclusion of the Amphitheatre next to Centurion Way as a Local Green Space.</p> <p>Site allocation at Pook Lane should not be restricted to 2 bedroom units only.</p> <p>Object to allocation of Eastmead Industrial Estate.</p> <p>Object to allocation of Maddoxwood House.</p>
Highways England	07/02/2017	Email	Having examined the document and taken account of any other material considerations, Highways England does not offer any comments on the document.

Name / Organisation	Date received	Method of submission	Summary of representation
Jill Claridge	19/01/2017	Email	Support the NDP. Village should retain village character and viewpoints should be maintained. Three distinct identities of East, Mid and West Lavant should be maintained.
Lucy Thomson	19/01/2017	Email	Provision should also be made for horse riders.
Penny Lack	07/02/2017	Letter	Allocation for Eastmead Industrial Estate should provide adequate parking. Measures should be put in place to address pollution and flooding. Concern raised about potential impacts of future business uses.
Reg Evans	06/02/2017	Email	Support the NDP, the level of development proposed and protection local open spaces.
Rob Tetley	07/01/2017	Email	Error on map highlighted. Local Gap policy area should be extended.
Southern Water	30/01/2017	Email	Request amendment to Policy LNDP23 (Eastmead Industrial Estate) to state that development will need to provide a connection to the nearest point of adequate capacity in the sewerage network. Also, the site layout should take into consideration the need to access existing sewerage infrastructure onsite. Amendment also sought to Policy LND24 (Maddoxwood House) to state that a connection to the nearest point of adequate capacity in the sewerage network will be made, as advised by the service provider.
Jenny Quest	19/02/2017	Email	Support for the NDP
Jean Sherrington	18/02/2017	Email	Concern raised about traffic on Pook Lane. Footpath sought at Pook Lane allocation.
Pam Priscott	18/02/2017	Email	Support for the NDP.
Derek Kingaby	17/02/2017	Email	Preparation of the LNDP has failed to take sufficient account of government guidance on ensuring effective involvement of the public throughout the various stages of plan making. Specifically, decisions on the content of the LNDP have precluded further discussion of the Relief Road alternative. It is considered that a policy to safeguard a relief road route can meet the Basic Conditions for Neighbourhood Plans.
South Downs National Park Authority	09/02/2017	Email	Multiple minor amendments to text recommended. Settlement boundary should be extended to include proposed site allocations. Local Gap policy area should be extended to include land to the rear of Lavant Primary School. Policy LNDP7 (New dwelling size and affordable housing) should include details of what an appropriate range of dwelling size would be. Greater flexibility should be included in the car parking standards (Policy LND19). No objection to allocation LNDP23 (Eastmead Industrial Estate) although amendments to policy text are sought.
Gill Tetley	18/01/2017	Online	Query why land included in the Neighbourhood Plan as Lavant CE Primary School.

Name / Organisation	Date received	Method of submission	Summary of representation
John Slipper	16/02/2017	Online	Loss of industrial site will damage the sustainability of the area. An alternative site was favored in public engagement event.
Cynthia Blades	20/02/2017	Email	Support for Neighbourhood Plan. In particular support for LNDP23 Eastmead Industrial Estate which should be integrated with Gaston Way, provide landscaping and better parking for new and existing residents. Redevelopment at LNDP21 Pook Lane should be for a maximum of 15 units and no higher than 2 storeys.
Adrian Blades	20/02/2017	Email	Support for Neighbourhood Plan and policy LNDP23 Eastmead Industrial Estate in particular. Development should integrate with local estate roads and provide a roundabout on the A286.
Seaward Properties	20/02/2017	Email	Support the allocation of Maddoxwood (LNDP24). Seek amendments to Policy LNDP7 New Dwelling Size and Affordable Housing and Policy LNDP10 Off-Road Parking in Residential Developments
Morgan Saunders	21/02/2017	Online	Support for the Neighbourhood Plan.
Historic England	21/02/2017	Email	Support for Policy LNDP5 (High Quality Design), Policy LNDP14 (Landscape Character and Key Views) and Policy LNDP17 (Conserving and Enhancing local Heritage Assets). Amendment is sought to Policy LNDP17 to bring the policy in line with national guidance. Amendments are sought to Policy LNDP21 (Land Adjacent to Pook Lane) to address potential impacts of development on the scheduled ancient monument and any associated archaeological remains.
Environment Agency	21/02/2017	Email	Policy LNDP21 (Land adjacent to Pook Lane) should make reference to development being directed to the area at lowest probability of flooding. A Sequential Test needs to be undertaken for Policy LND22 (Church Farm Barns). Policy LNDP23 (Eastmead Industrial Estate) should make reference to development being directed to the area at lowest probability of flooding and that the site is located in a sensitive groundwater area. Policy LND25 (Small Scale Housing Site) should make reference to the sequential approach to flood risk.
West Sussex County Council	21/02/2017	Email	The County Council previously made comments on Policy LND4 (Delivering New Homes) intended to ensure the cumulative impacts of development on the transport network, specifically the A286 junction with Pook Lane, were adequately understood. These comments were not addressed in the submission version of the NDP, however it is considered that the cumulative impacts of development can be assessed before planning permission is granted or through further work undertaken for the evidence base for the South Downs Local Plan. Additional wording is sought for the site allocations (LNDP21, LNDP22, LNDP23 and LNDP24) to ensure minerals and waste issues are properly considered when development proposals come forward. It is noted that national guidance differs slightly from the wording of Policy LNDP15 (Floodplain and reducing

Name / Organisation	Date received	Method of submission	Summary of representation
			flood risk).
Mark Struckett	21/02/2017	Online	<p>Object to the spatial strategy, NDP should plan for 20 homes only in line with the emerging South Downs Local Plan.</p> <p>The Local Biodiversity Area should be extended to cover land between Mid and East Lavant.</p> <p>Key View 9 (View over the Amphitheatre) should be extended north.</p> <p>The floodplain should be extended south of Pook Lane.</p> <p>Should the parking standards reduce for a large house (4 bedrooms or more).</p> <p>LNDP 22 (Church Farm Barns) Views from West should also be considered.</p> <p>Object to allocation of LNDP21 (Land adjacent to Pook Lane)</p>
Sunley Estates Ltd	21/02/2017	Online	Support for allocation of LNDP21 (Land adjacent to Pook Lane).
Rachel Struckett	21/02/2017	Email	<p>Object to scale of development being proposed in the Lavant NDP.</p> <p>Object to the allocation of LNDP21 (Land adjacent to Pook Lane) due to impact on scheduled monument and flooding. Also concerned about potential impacts of replacement football pitch.</p>
Oakford Homes	21/02/2017	Email	<p>Support the allocation of Eastmead Industrial Estate. Amendment sought to Policy LNDP7 (New Dwelling Sizes & Affordable Housing) to reflect national policy on financial contributions for affordable housing.</p> <p>Concerns raised about Policy LNDP19 (Off-road Parking in Residential Development) and the quantum of parking required.</p>