

Report to	Governance Committee
Date	21 February 2017
By	Performance and Projects Manager
Title of Report	Quarter 3 Performance Report 2016-17

Recommendation: The Committee is recommended to

- 1) Receive and approve the Quarter 3 performance report**
- 2) Consider if it wishes to make any recommendations to Policy and Programme Committee arising from the report**

1. Summary and Background

- 1.1 The South Downs National Park Authority (SDNPA), as a publicly accountable body, is responsible for monitoring its performance. The Governance Committee has terms of reference which include "... to ensure the robustness of risk management and performance management arrangements; and to agree the internal audit plan and annual report and receive progress and other relevant internal audit reports".
- 1.2 The purpose of this report is to update the Governance Committee on performance during Quarter 3. This report should be read in conjunction with the Budget Monitoring Report elsewhere on this agenda. This report provides comprehensive information about our planning service delivery and to provide an overview of progress with major projects.

2. Performance reporting

- 2.1 The detail about the performance of our Planning Service for this quarter is at **Appendix I**. In general performance is acceptable, however there are two areas where performance is below target levels.
- 2.2 Performance in respect of major, minor and other applications which planning authorities are required to report to Government is at table 1 of Appendix I with 91% (80% 2015/16) of applications dealt with within statutory timescales for determination being. Improvement reported last quarter is being maintained.
- 2.3 For other cases, as set out in table 2 of Appendix I, 74% were issued within time (64% 2015/16) which is a slight improvement on last quarter which was 73%. The summary of tables 1 and 2 shown in table 3 provides an overview of overall workload. Performance remains at the same level as last quarter.
- 2.4 Performance in relation to appeal outcomes continues to require close attention, remaining static at 43% of appeals allowed. As noted at the last meeting it may be appropriate to consider revising the target for appeals dismissed down from 80% to something that appears more realistic. Details are in table 5 at **Appendix I**.
- 2.5 Performance for applications to be validated in 5 working days has continued to improve over the last quarter up to 61% from 57% (32% 2015/16). This is still below the target of 90%. This continues to be related to variations across our Host Authorities. The year to date figure does mask a significant improvement over the last two quarters by the in-house team in particular. The average time to validate across all case types has risen to 86% for the in-house team and is 69% park-wide.
- 2.6 The year-end report will include a summary of progress against the corporate plan which

was approved in September 2016.

3. Projects

- 3.1 The RAG (Red, Amber and Green) status shows if a project is on track or not. The RAG status is entered manually by staff and is based on an assessment including key deliverables and achievement of targets as well as whether the budget is on or off track. The arrows within the coloured sections show the direction of travel from the previous Quarter.

↓	Downward arrow indicates that performance is lower or worse than the previous reporting period
—	A dash indicates no change from the previous reporting period
↑	Upward arrow indicates that performance is higher or better than the previous reporting period

- 3.2 **Appendix 2** sets out detailed information for current projects over £5,000 and for the Local Plan evidence projects. The majority of SDNPA led projects have delivered well and are on track and on budget at the end of the quarter. 1 project was completed during the quarter. This was the LSTF project, an update was provided at the last meeting of this Committee. Of those, all were completed on time and on budget. Of the 17 remaining projects, 5 are amber status, this is 2 less than the previous quarter, as one project completed and one has gone red.
- 3.3 One project has a worse RAG rating than the last meeting. The Food Enterprise Zone (FEZ) has gone red because it is now behind and under budget. This project is effectively on hold until agreement with Defra can be reached about the proposed changes to the content and timescale. The existing memorandum of understanding expires on 31 January 2017.
- 3.4 The following projects have received funding but have not started yet EAFRD, which is revising the project purpose/plan due to the allocation of less funding from C2C than applied for. This project proposes developing a Destination Management Plan to promote a hubs & gateways methodology with four key outputs: information points; operator/ambassador training; itineraries; and a marketing campaign built around the SDNPA's shared identity toolkit. It builds on the SDNPA's Sustainable Tourism Strategy and work already undertaken through the Local Sustainable Transport Fund.
- 3.5 Other projects waiting to start are the Department for Transport (DfT) Access Revenue Fund, which is awaiting publication of DfT decisions made in Dec. 2017 and Steyning Blue Butterfly, which is awaiting funding decision from HLF (due Jan. 2017).

4. Governance Committee and P&P Committee Considerations

- 4.1 An update on the [LSTF project](#) was reported at the Governance Committee in November 2016. Approval for the [DfT Access Revenue Fund](#) was reported to the P&P Committee in November 2016. The Steyning Blue Butterfly approval was reported to P&P Committee in December 2016 [Steyning Blue Butterfly approval](#).

5. Other Implications

Implication	
Will further decisions be required by another committee/full authority?	No
Does the proposal raise any Resource implications?	There may be some resource implications dependant on what the DfT approve from the Access Revenue Fund. If not all projects are approved there will be a reduced requirement for funds from SDNPA.
How does the proposal represent Value for Money?	Implications of this report in itself do not raise an issue of value for money. However the projects that the organisation has funded are assessed for value for money when they are approved. Where appropriate, this is part of the project approval reports received by the Policy and Programme Committee. Projects are evaluated individually for value for money when they finish, they are

	reported regularly to this Committee.
Are there any Social Value implications arising from the proposal?	No
Has due regard has been taken of the South Downs National Park Authority's equality duty as contained within the Equality Act 2010?	Yes – as the subject matter of the report is an update on projects and funding no specific issues arise. Consideration of equalities issues are considered as part of the development of a project.
Are there any Human Rights implications arising from the proposal?	No
Are there any Crime & Disorder implications arising from the proposal?	No
Are there any Health & Safety implications arising from the proposal?	No
Are there any Sustainability implications based on the 5 principles set out in the SDNPA Sustainability Strategy: 1. Living within environmental limits 2. Ensuring a strong healthy and just society 3. Achieving a sustainable economy 4. Promoting good governance 5. Using sound science responsibly	No – not directly although as part of the process for developing projects and when they are approved sustainability issues are included as part of the process.

6. Risks Associated with the Proposed Decision

- 6.1 Robust corporate planning and monitoring of performance are part of the mitigations for our corporate risk about awareness and favourability with decision makers.

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigation
Unforeseen changes to the level of funding provided by relevant Government departments for future years	Likely	Minor	A robust monitoring and project approval system and regular reporting to relevant Committees on projects and the budget available along with a corporate plan which sets clear priorities to support delivery of Partnership Management Plan outcomes.

ANNE REHILL PERFORMANCE AND PROJECTS MANAGER South Downs National Park Authority

Contact Officer: Anne Rehill Performance and Projects Manager

Tel: 01730 819217

email: anne.rehill@southdowns.gov.uk

- Appendices
1. Planning performance report
 2. Project progress update

SDNPA Consultees	Chief Executive; Director of Countryside and Policy Management; Director of Planning; Chief Finance Officer; Monitoring Officer; Legal Services, Governance and Support Services Manager; Countryside and Policy Managers, Planning and Technical Manager
External Consultees	None
Background Documents	There is a wealth of information about the Secrets of the High Woods Project on the SDNPA website discover/heritage/secrets-of-the-high-woods . Information about the rampion windfarm is on our website rampion-offshore-wind-farm

Table I - Planning performance for major, minor and other application decisions for Q1, Q2 & Q3 (1st April 2016 to 1st January 2017)										
National data as reported to DCLG in statutory returns										
Q1,Q2,Q3 2016/17 PS2/CPS2 SDNPA Performance	Majors	In time (including EOT)	Minors	In time (including EOT)	Others	In time (including EOT)	Total	In time (including EOT)	Total % in time	% in time in year 2015/16
SDNPA										
Development Management Team	21	18	64	54	144	134	229	206	90	80%
Minerals and Waste Team	12	12	3	3	0	0	15	15	100	89%
SDNPA Sub-total	33	30	67	57	144	134	244	221	91	80%
HOST AUTHORITIES										
Adur & Worthing	0	0	5	2	3	2	8	4	50	71%
Chichester	6	5	111	99	472	439	589	543	92	71%
East Hampshire	1	1	116	102	335	307	452	410	91	82%
Horsham	0	0	16	9	38	35	54	44	81	77%
Lewes	1	1	54	46	289	275	344	322	94	90%
Mid-Sussex	0	0	18	14	30	27	48	41	85	80%
Winchester	3	3	59	53	214	202	276	258	93	87%
Host Authority Sub-total	11	10	379	325	1381	1287	1771	1622	92	80%
Total	44	40	446	382	1525	1421	2015	1843	91	80%

Table 2 - All other workload cases for Q1, Q2 & Q3 (in addition to the workload included in Table 1)

Q1,Q2,Q3 2016/17 Other Cases SDNPA Performance	Other statutory cases	In time (including EOT)	% in time	Pre- application enquiries	Do I need Planning Permission	Total	% in time in year 2015/16
SDNPA							
Development Management Team	166	114	69	64	51	281	68%
Minerals and Waste Team	6	5	83	2	0	8	75%
SDNPA Sub-total	172	119	69	66	51	289	68%
HOST AUTHORITIES							
Adur & Worthing	4	1	25	2	2	8	67%
Chichester	453	369	81	149	113	715	71%
East Hampshire	200	171	86	141	115	456	80%
Horsham	31	20	65	12	6	49	37%
Lewes	261	197	75	134	31	426	58%
Mid-Sussex	31	19	61	6	7	44	74%
Winchester	202	108	53	59	31	292	44%
Host Authority Sub-total	1182	885	75	503	305	1990	64%
Total	1354	1004	74	569	356	2279	64%

Table 3 - Overall workload performance for Q1,Q2 & Q3 (1st April 2016 to 1st January 2017)

Q1,Q2,Q3 2016/17 Other Cases SDNPA Performance	Total decisions made	Total decisions with formal time limits	Number of those decisions made in time	% of those decisions made in time	% in year 2015/16
SDNPA					
Development Management Team	510	395	320	81	76%
Minerals and Waste Team	23	21	20	95	82%
SDNPA Sub-total	533	416	340	82	77%
HOST AUTHORITIES					
Adur & Worthing	16	12	5	42	70%
Chichester	1304	1042	912	88	71%
East Hampshire	908	652	581	89	81%
Horsham	103	85	64	75	59%
Lewes	770	605	519	86	75%
Mid-Sussex	92	79	60	76	79%
Winchester	568	478	366	77	70%
Host Authority Sub-total	3761	2953	2507	85	74%
Total	4294	3369	2847	85	74%

Table 4 - Planning appeal decisions received in Q1,Q2 & Q3 (1st April 2016 to 1st January 2017)

Q1,Q2,Q3 2016/17 Other Cases SDNPA Performance	Total appeals decisions	Number allowed	Number dismissed	Number of split decisions	% Appeals dismissed	% Appeals allowed inc. split decisions	% Allowed in year 2015/16
SDNPA							
Development Management Team	6	2	3	1	50	50	45%
Minerals and Waste Team	4	1	3	0	75	25	100%
SDNPA Sub-total	10	3	6	1	60	40	46%
HOST AUTHORITIES							
Adur & Worthing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Chichester	19	7	12	0	63	37	41%
East Hampshire	12	5	7	0	58	42	35%
Horsham	4	2	2	0	50	50	50%
Lewes	6	4	2	0	33	67	53%
Mid-Sussex	0	0	0	0	0	0	25%
Winchester	6	2	4	0	67	33	10%
Host Authority Sub-total	47	20	27	0	57	43	37%
Total	57	23	33	1	58	42	38%

Table 5 - SDNPA Planning Appeals Allowed in Q3 (1st October 2016 to 1st January 2017)

App no:	Authority	Description of development	Appeal Method	Decision date	Comments from SDNPA team or relevant host authority
SDNP/15/00102/REF	Chichester	Change of use of land to mixed agricultural and equestrian (dressage) use, retention of: barn in reconfigured form, horse walker, wash down area, manure ramp, hay store, access track, parking and manoeuvring areas, exercise track and landscaping of the site.	Public inquiry	14-Nov-16	Much debate in the appeal centred around whether the site was a historic assart. The inspector concluded, on balance of probabilities that it was not. In terms of landscape impact the inspector felt that the development along the western boundary had reduced by 88m since the previous appeal decision. It was now accepted that there was room for screening planting along the boundary. Concluded that the development did not detract from the setting in the landscape.
SDNP/16/00042/REF	Horsham	Proposed new dwelling along with new vehicular access	Written rep	05-Dec-16	The Inspector considered that the development would not harm the character and appearance of the area by reason of overdevelopment
SDNP/16/00045/REF	Chichester	Erection of detached double garage with ancillary non-habitable accommodation over.	Fast Track Appeal	09-Dec-16	The proposal was for a new garage on a prominent site in Milland forward of the existing building line. Previous applications had been refused and a previous appeal on the site dismissed for a garage. The proposal was considered to represent a form of development harmful to the character and appearance of the area due to its prominent siting and was considered contrary to the recent Milland Neighbourhood Plan.

Table 5 - SDNPA Planning Appeals Allowed in Q3 (1st October 2016 to 1st January 2017)

App no:	Authority	Description of development	Appeal Method	Decision date	Comments from SDNPA team or relevant host authority
SDNP/16/00021/REF	Chichester	Demolish fire damage cottage and re-build as existing before fire damage. (Renewal of permission reference LM/09/03061/FUL)	Written rep	10-Oct-16	The proposal was for the replacement of a fire damaged building that had previously been used as staff accommodation. The application proposed a replacement building which was essentially a new dwelling in the countryside and was therefore contrary to policy. The Inspector considered the proposal was acceptable but imposed a ancillary occupancy condition.
SDNP/16/00059/REF	Chichester	Single storey side extension.	Fast Track Appeal	29-Nov-16	The proposal was for a significant glazed extension to a dwelling that was considered to be an undesignated heritage asset. It was sited in a raised position within the Graffham Conservation Area. The extension was considered to have a harmful impact on a part of the building that contributed to its heritage significance. The Inspector considered that the character of the building would be retained and the impact on the CA would be minimal. It should be noted that the Inspector failed to give consideration to the purposes of designation of the NP.
SDNP/16/00049/REFHOU	East Hampshire	Loft conversion to include alterations to roof with four dormer windows to front and three dormer windows, and balcony to rear	Fast Track Appeal	09-Dec-16	Difference of opinion - Inspector considered the dormers did not detract from the character and appearance of the building and that there was no conflict with the rural extension policy.

Table 5 - SDNPA Planning Appeals Allowed in Q3 (1st October 2016 to 1st January 2017)

App no:	Authority	Description of development	Appeal Method	Decision date	Comments from SDNPA team or relevant host authority
SDNP/16/00041/REF	Horsham	Change of use of redundant chapel to 2 bed dwelling. Erection of first floor extension, demolition of rear extension and erection of 2 storey rear extension. provision of 2 off street parking spaces.	Written rep	02-Dec-16	The Inspector considered that the proposal would maintain the architectural character of the chapel and the living environment for future occupiers would be satisfactory.
SDNP/16/00038/REFHOU	SDNPA (Arun)	Proposed Hard Standing & Driveway	Fast Track Appeal	31-Oct-16	This appeal related to concerns about the loss of greenspace in the Conservation Area by the introduction of the hardstanding and driveway. The Inspector concluded that the driveway would only occupy a relatively small area and would not be a dominant feature.

Table 5 - SDNPA Planning Appeals Allowed in Q3 (1st October 2016 to 1st January 2017)

App no:	Authority	Description of development	Appeal Method	Decision date	Comments from SDNPA team or relevant host authority
SDNP/16/00047/REFHOU	Winchester	Extend the existing cottage at ground to provide a new kitchen to meet current building standards and at first floor to include a new master bedroom and en-suite bathroom.	Written rep	03-Oct-16	Proposed extension comprises more than a 25% increase in floor area. As a result the proposal would result in the loss of a more affordable dwelling in the countryside, contrary to policy CE.23. The Inspector argued that the dwelling is on a large plot of land which is likely to exaggerate its current value. Therefore, despite its fairly small existing floor area, it is less likely to be as affordable as other similar sized houses on more average sized plots. Instead, it is more likely to have a value similar to slightly larger, relatively less affordable dwellings. Inspector concluded that the proposal would not cause unacceptable harm. Also gave some additional weight to the social benefit that would arise from the proposal creating an improved living environment for the occupiers, particularly in terms of safer stairway access to the first floor and better associated circulation space.

Table 6 - Validation performance for Q1,Q2 & Q3 (1st April to 1st January 2017)
PS2 and CPS cases only

Authority	Total cases validated	Validated in 5 working days	% validated within 5 working days	% in year 2015/16
SDNPA				
Major application cases	59	39	66	16%
Recovered Planning Service	198	119	60	17%
Minerals and Waste Team	10	2	20	29%
SDNPA Sub-total	267	160	60	17%
HOST AUTHORITIES				
Adur & Worthing	12	10	83	2%
Chichester	664	418	63	27%
East Hampshire	458	301	66	45%
Horsham	68	38	56	38%
Lewes	348	175	50	14%
Mid-Sussex	47	44	94	71%
Winchester	262	150	57	51%
Host Authority Sub-total	1859	1136	61	34%
Total	2126	1296	61	32%



A Thriving Living Landscape

SDNPA led projects

Title	Period	RAG	Progress Status	Cost Status	SDNPA spend to date	SDNPA £	Total Project Cost	Actual Start Date	Actual End Date	Updater	Comment
Secrets of the High Woods Overview	Q3 16/17	⊖	Behind	On Budget	The current Spend to date is £657,035 The Total project cost is currently forecast at £759,914		790000	01 Oct 2013		Abigail Rice	This project is on budget and behind time, although on track to complete within the revised grant period of June 2017 (approved by HLF Dec. 2016). This has been a productive and positive period of project delivery including; the launch of the project book attended by 80 individuals; 43 total touring exhibition days at Worthing Museum and Queen Elizabeth Country Park, of which 31 days were stewarded by a group of 16 volunteers; 3 community talks were given to a total audience of 184 individuals; 4 family archaeology finds workshop were held attended by 47 individuals ranging from 4 years to adult; 24 staff attended the in-house training on cultural heritage; a new infographic for cultural heritage was produced; learning resources, which form part of the legacy for the project, are currently being developed by heritage learning consultants Past Participants (due Jan.2017); work is in progress to produce 6 self-guided walk routes, which will be made available on the View Ranger app and include information on findings from the project appropriate to each route; and for one of the final phases of the project archaeological and woodland experts Landward Research Ltd have been commissioned to share the results of the project with the landowners and forestry managers and to create guidelines to help them manage these newly discovered resources that will be launched at an event on 2nd March 2017.
WADM Gateway project, Interpretation project overview	Q3 16/17	⊖	On Time	On Budget	0	50000	480000	01 Jul 2016		Anne Bone	The interpretation work is progressing well and the National Park text is prepared and images are being researched. Opening of the new facility is planned for Spring 2017 although an exact date will not be announced for some time.
Historic landscape of Hampshire-overview	Dec 16	⊖	Behind	On Budget	28500	29000	29000	01 Apr 2014		Anne Bone	Draft of written report received and being reviewed by internal project team. GIS data to be received in next month and loaded up for testing; progress is now timely again. Evaluation report being prepared and identifies learning opportunities.

A Thriving Living Landscape



SDNPA led projects

Title	Period	RAG	Progress Status	Cost Status	SDNPA spend to date	SDNPA £	Total Project Cost	Actual Start Date	Actual End Date	Updater	Comment
Chalk Grassland survey-Overview	Q3 16/17	Project Complete				21750	54250	01 Nov 2013	30 Jun 2016	Abigail Rice	PROJECT COMPLETE to time and in budget. This is a park-wide survey, in partnership with Natural England and Sussex Biodiversity Record work and contributes towards the evidence base for the PMP and delivery framework. Final report received.
Chalk streams mapping study-Overview	Q3 16/17	⊖	Behind	Under Budget	6000	10000	10000	01 Mar 2014		Jeremy Burgess	Working with HBIC to complete survey work in 2017. (Time delay due to the death of the original contractor Dr Nigel Holmes.)
Heathlands Reunited Stage 2 General Project Overview	Q3 16/17	⊖	On Track	On Budget	Total project expenditure £95,000.	150000	1400000	01 Jun 2016		Bruce Middleton	Within the last three months the Project team have been re- adjusting some of the maintenance works on the ground as well as activities with the partners. Works have gone ahead already on Woolmer Forest, Heyshott Common, Ambersham Common, Black Down, Iping Common, Stedham Common, Broxhead Common, Weavers Down and Lynchmere Common . Also we have had 40 new volunteers so far sign up to join us and our partners to work on the heaths. This next quarter there will be more works happening on the ground and a number of planned activities.



A Thriving Living Landscape

Partnership Led Projects

Title	Period	RAG	Progress Status	Cost Status	SDNPA spend to date	SDNPA £	Total Project Cost	Actual Start Date	Actual End Date	Updater	Comment
Stanmer Park People and Places stage 1 - project overview	Q3 16/17	Project Complete				15000	291000	01 Jan 2015	30 Jun 2016	Anne Bone	PROJECT COMPLETE on time and in budget. End of stage 1 evaluation report completed. Work is now on target for submission of Stage 2 application to Heritage Lottery Fund late August 2016. Report to Policy and Programme Committee July 21st 2016, agreement for £53,000 SDNPA match funding specifically for the construction of the green drive. HLF decision expected December 2016.
Strategic stones study- Overview	Q3 16/17	⊖	On Track	On Budget	10000	20000	105500	01 Mar 2014		Anne Bone	Progress is on time and in budget, monthly progress reports are accurate summaries of progress. East Hampshire area of National Park has been surveyed by local geologist and data has been gathered from British Geological Survey. Hampshire Atlas being prepared for publication.

A Thriving Living Landscape



SDNPA Led Projects

Title	Period	RAG	Progress Status	Cost Status	SDNPA spend to date	SDNPA £	Total Project Cost	Actual Start Date	Actual End Date	Updater	Comment
Rampion Stage 2-overall progress	Q3 16/17	⊖	On Track	On Budget	0	0	116000	01 Sep 2015		Chris Fairbrother	Cable route construction is 80% complete, with 50% of the cable pulling finished. The delays are mainly due to testing the ducting prior to topsoil re-instatement. Unlikely that top soil re-instatement will begin before March.
Cluster Development & Implementation	Q3 16/17	⊖	On Track	On Budget	5000	5000	26000	01 Oct 2015		Nigel James	Steering group meetings attended for all six farm cluster groups. Help and support provided to the facilitator of the Rother Farmland Cluster who is submitting an application to Natural England for Countryside Stewardship Facilitation Funding (final round).



People Connected to Places

Partnership Led Projects

Title	Period	RAG	Progress Status	Cost Status	SDNPA spend to date	SDNPA £	Total Project Cost	Actual Start Date	Actual End Date	Updater	Comment
LSTF 15-16 - project overview	Dec 16	Project Complete				20000	495000	01 Apr 2015	30 Dec 2016	Colin Carre	PROJECT COMPLETE, behind time and on budget.
Alphabet Book - project overview	Q3 16/17	🟢	On Time	On Budget	14100	14100	76600	01 Apr 2015		Amanda Elmes	Two book launches organised for March.



Towards a Sustainable Future

SDNPA Led Projects

Title	Period	RAG	Progress Status	Cost Status	SDNPA spend to date	SDNPA £	Total Project Cost	Actual Start Date	Actual End Date	Updater	Comment
Food Enterprise Zone - Project Overview	Q3 16/17	⬇️	Behind	Under Budget	20736	50000	50000	01 Apr 2015		David Doxford	Project was paused while awaiting Defra's response to proposed changes to content and timescale. Still to reach an agreement with Defra. Existing MoU expires 31st Jan 2017.
Green Infrastructure Framework	Q3 16/17	⚠️	Behind	On Budget	9375	11375	11375	01 Jul 2014		Veronica Craddock	Officer successfully appointed; work has started to ensure the Framework is signed off by Sept. 17.
Affordable Housing	Q3 16/17	⬆️	On Time	Draft budget proposed awaiting approval.				31 Dec 2016		Claire Mattingley	Members working group reviewing research to inform the development of a business case outlining the position of SDNPA going forward. To present at policy and programme committee in May, and NPA July 2017. Allocated financial resources to fund specialist advice and other works required. Landowners conference on 3rd Feb. aim to raise awareness and grow landowner engagement with the process.
Local Plan Sustainability Appraisal-Overview	Dec 16	⬆️	On Track	On Budget	18358	25747	25474	01 Jan 2014		Sarah Nelson	The preparation of the Local Plan is continuing. In April work will pause to allow work on the sustainability appraisal to commence following which any amendments to the Local Plan will be made.



Towards a Sustainable Future

Partnership Led Projects

Title	Period	RAG	Progress Status	Cost Status	SDNPA spend to	SDNPA £	Total Project Cost	Actual Start Date	Actual End Date	Updater	Comment
South Downs Food and Drink Portal-Overview	Q3 16/17		Project Complete			24750	49500	20 May 2014	30 Apr 2016	Abigail Rice	<p>PROJECT COMPLETE, on time and in budget. End of project evaluation completed and presented to Governance Committee 05/07/2016.</p> <p>The Southdownfood.org portal has created a means of connecting the food and drink business community which is often working alone in isolated rural locations. It is a promotional, signposting, and educational tool for consumers seeking local food either as a resident or visitor to the NP. In addition it is a resource for retailers and hospitality businesses, to make it easier to source locally produced food and drink. A comprehensive 'What's On' calendar has created a resource for event organisers, visitors and residents for food and drink related events and attractions. It is also a resource and mechanism for data collection including economic impact data in the future.</p> <p>To ensure future sustainability of the site advertising and sponsorship opportunities have been created throughout the site, over £900 of sponsorship from businesses has already been achieved.</p>
Broadband Rural Pilot Coast 2 Capital LEP - general project overview	Q3 16/17	⊖	Behind					01 Jan 2015		David Doxford	The third iteration of the scheme, A Voucher Scheme, has only produced 4 applications to date amounting to £21k against a planned spend of £130k. Three of the four applications are in SDNP. Review meeting planned for late Jan to look at accessing follow-on funding.



Towards a Sustainable Future

Partnership Led Projects

Title	Period	RAG	Progress Status	Cost Status	SDNPA spend to date	SDNPA £	Total Project Cost	Actual Start Date	Actual End Date	Updater	Comment
Brighton CHAMP - general project overview	Q3 16/17	🟢	On Track	On Budget	25000	100000	311000	01 Jul 2015		Jeremy Burgess	Project manager in post and work programme being updated.
Fieldfare LEADER-outreach support	Q3 16/17	🟢	On Track	On Budget	5000	5000	348000	01 Jan 2016		David Doxford	Progress delayed by Brexit but now reopened. Has now approved 6 grants. Four of these are in SDNP with a total grant value of £53,495.40
Rural West Sussex Partnership-Overview	Q3 16/17	🟢	On Track	On Budget	37500	60000	160000	01 Apr 2014		David Doxford	SDNPA £10k funding makes up part of the total RWSP partnership funding package of £60k per annum (SDNPA £10k, WSCC £25k, MSDC £5k, ADC £5k, HDC £5k and C2C LEP £5k). The partnership received some specific additional funding from WSCC to support the administration of the rural broadband project.
Sediment and Mitigation actions for River Rother-Overview	Q3 16/17	🟢	On Track	On Budget	31403	31403	60037	01 Nov 2013		Jeremy Burgess	The investigation study is currently being written up and should report by the end of 2016. This has been slightly delayed as the study required additional sampling in flood flow conditions.

Strategic Fund



The following projects have received allocated funding from the Strategic Fund 2016-17 but are yet to start delivery.

1. EAFRD, current revision of project purpose/plan due to the allocation of less funding from C2C than applied for.
2. Access Fund, publication of DfT decisions made in Dec. 2017
3. Steyning Blue Butterfly, awaiting funding decision from HLF (due Jan. 2017)