

[REDACTED]

From: Chris Paterson
Sent: 27 March 2017 12:54
To: 'Robert Bryan'
Subject: FW: Policy 2 Protected Gaps

Robert

Liss have asked that I pass on the message below. The SDNPA and other representations have picked this matter up in their comments and suggested amendments to resolve, so I expect this will be a modification in your report, but to be certain it is picked up Liss have asked that I pass this on

Kind regards
chris

Chris Paterson
Communities Lead
South Downs National Park Authority

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: 26 March 2017 22:50
To: Chris Paterson
[REDACTED]
Subject: Policy 2 Protected Gaps

Dear Chris,

I have been alerted by reading the representations on the plan to a problem with the formatting of Policy Liss 2 on Protected Gaps, which I think you should draw to the attention of the Examiner.

The current format runs all the points together into a single list of points. In fact, the policy should be in two paragraphs. The first paragraph includes points a) to e). Paragraph 2 should start with the words "Development will only be permitted...." which have been put under f) in error, and the points g) to i) should be renumbered a) to c) and included within Paragraph 2.

This is the structure of the policy in previous versions. No change in meaning is intended by restoring the formatting.

Best wishes

Roger Hargreaves