

South Downs National Park

Settlement Facilities Assessment

Covering Report

September 2015

Co	ontents	Page
1.0	Introduction	5
2.0	Process	5
3.0	Methodology	6

Appendix A – Settlement Facility Availability Scores

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This Study forms part of the evidence base for the South Downs Local Plan. Its purpose is to assist in identifying the role and function of settlements based on the number and type of facilities and services they provide.
- 1.2 The Local Plan Options Consultation Document, published in 2014, applied a settlement strategy which was based primarily on the findings of the Settlement Hierarchy Study. This was published in 2013 and looked at the services and facilities available within the settlements of the South Downs National Park, and uses the availability of these services to apply a score to each settlement. The settlements were then ranked by score and divided into five tiers. It is important to recognise that settlements within the National Park are part of a wider hierarchy of settlements, which includes settlements outside of the National Park.
- 1.3 Feedback on the Options Consultation was overwhelmingly against taking such a prescriptive approach to development based primarily on set levels of services and facilities. Therefore, the emerging development strategy seeks to take a holistic and flexible approach to development in the National Park pursuant to its Purposes, recognising the interdependencies that exist between, for example, a series of hamlets / small groups of development, a small village and a larger village. The tiered approach to the Settlement Hierarchy was also questioned in responses to the Options Consultation. It was suggested that this approach was inappropriate given the range of settlement size and function. The list of settlements in Appendix I is sorted by total score (as set out in more detail below) and then by alphabetical order. The settlements are not divided into separate tiers.
- 1.4 This report will update the findings of the original Settlement Hierarchy Study (2013) and is presented as the Settlement Facilities Assessment. This was informed by consultation responses and feedback from Parish Councils received late 2014 and from information collected early 2015. However, the nature and number of facilities is subject to constant change. The NPA will endeavour to update the study regularly in order to inform the Local Plan process.

2.0 Process

- 2.1 To establish the availability of services in settlements reference has been made to existing local authority settlement hierarchy studies to create the base list of settlements and the available services. However, there are variations in the information available, the methodologies applied and how up-to-date the information is.
- 2.2 Firstly, all the settlements included in the original Settlement Hierarchy Study have been reviewed and compared against the settlements included in previous local authority settlement hierarchy studies. This review has resulted in the removal of a number of places listed in the previous Settlement Hierarchy Study which were very small and

represented a collection of buildings (e.g. a farm or a very small collection of houses), rather than a town, village or hamlet.

- 2.3 Secondly, there has been a review of the methodology. This review has resulted in a reduced number of facilities included in the study and also a change in the way these facilities are scored. This was also influenced by feedback received from parish and town councils and comments made during the Local Plan Options Consultation in 2014. The overall aim of the Settlement Facilities Assessment is to identify the relative sustainability of settlements, therefore it is considered appropriate to include facilities that people visit or need on a regular basis or require for day to day essentials.
- 2.4 Finally, an audit of facilities has taken place, which updates the findings of the original Settlement Hierarchy Study (2013).

3.0 Methodology

- 3.1 The number of facilities and services are identified within and in close proximity to a settlement and a score is applied. This is not a simple tally of the number of facilities but is based on a straight forward scoring system outlined below.
- 3.2 The inclusion of particular services and facilities has been based on those which are commonly used on a regular basis. Therefore, the emphasis in the settlement score is on facilities which are considered to be the most necessary. It does not include facilities, which may be of considerable value to the community and contribute to community life, but are not considered as being as essential to daily life.
- 3.3 The points attributed to each service/facility have been devised to enable differentiation in the relative sustainability of settlements.

Scoring of Services/Facilities

Education

Nursery/Pre-Schools:

Including all nursery and pre-school provision.

0= None

I = One or more

Infant, Primary and Junior Schools

Not including private/fee paying schools.

0 = No Infant/Primary or Junior School

I = Either an Infant or Junior school

2 = An Infant and Junior School or a Primary School

Secondary Schools and FE/6th Form Colleges

Not including private/fee paying schools.

0= None

I = One or more secondary school

I = A FE/6th form college

2 = A secondary school and a FE/6th form college

Source:

- Ordnance Survey Point of Interest Data
- Local knowledge (including consultation with parish and town councils)
- General internet searches
- Hampshire County Council (www3.hants.gov.uk/education/schools/schooldetails.htm);
- West Sussex County Council (<u>www.westsussex.gov.uk/learning.aspx</u>) and
- East Sussex County Council
 (www.eastsussex.gov.uk/childrenandfamilies/childcare/parentsandcarers/finding/default.ht
 m and www.eastsussex.gov.uk/educationandlearning/schools/schoolsearch/search.aspx)

Retail

Convenience Stores and Supermarkets

Convenience shops sell goods such as food, newspapers and drinks which tend to be purchased regularly. A small convenience shop is defined as having a retail floorspace of 280sqm or less and is not subject to restricted opening hours under the Sunday Trading Act (1994).

A Supermarket is classified as having a minimum retail floorspace of 280sqm and is subject to restricted opening hours under the Sunday Trading Act (1994).

0 = None

I = I-4 convenience shops

2 = A supermarket or 5 or more convenience shops

Source:

- Ordnance Survey Point of Interest Data
- Local knowledge (including consultation with parish and town councils)
- General internet searches

Transport

Accessibility by Public Transport

Based on travel time to certain destinations using public transport and includes walking time from the centre of settlements to where someone may access public transport.

0 = Total journey time of over 60 minutes

I = Total journey time of 31-60 minutes

2 = Total journey time of 0-30 minutes

Train Station

0 = None

2 = A train station

Source:

- Transport Study Accession Results
- National Rail
- Ordnance Survey Point of Interest Data
- Local knowledge (including consultation with parish and town councils)
- General internet searches

Health Facilities

Including Doctors or Pharmacies.

0 = no facilities

I = I facility

2 = 2 or more

Source:

- National Rail
- Ordnance Survey Point of Interest Data
- Local knowledge (including consultation with parish and town councils)
- General internet searches

Other Facilities

Including Libraries, Post Office and Bank/Building Society.

0 = no facilities

I = I facility

2 = 2 or more

Half a point will be given where these facilities are provided on a temporary or part time basis, for example a mobile library or 'pop-up' post office service.

Source:

- Local knowledge (including consultation with parish and town councils)
- General internet searches
- Ordnance Survey Point of Interest Data
- Royal Mail website
- County Councils

Proximity Scoring

Facilities and services, which are not within the settlement, but are within Ikm walking distance from the centre of the settlement, will be given half a point. This includes services and facilities, which are located outside the National Park. Where possible, this has taken into account potential barriers to accessing a facility outside of a settlement.

Table I – Summary of Scoring

Туре	Services/Facilities	Scoring	Maximum Score Available
Education	Nursery/Pre-School	0= None	1.5
	·	I= One or more	
	Infant, Primary or Junior	0 = No Infant/Primary or Junior School	2.5
	School	I = Either an Infant or Junior school	
		2 = An Infant and Junior School or a Primary School	
	Secondary School and FE/6 th	0= None	2.5
	Form College	I = One or more	
		2 = A secondary school and a FE/6 th form college	
Retail	Convenience	0 = None	2.5
		I = I-4 shops	
		2 = A supermarket or 5 or more shops	
Transport	Public Transport	0 = Total journey time of over 60 minutes	2
•	·	I = Total journey time of 31-60 minutes	
		2 = Total journey time of 0-30 minutes	
	Train Station	0 = None	2.5
		2 = A train station	
Health	Doctor or Pharmacy	0 = no facilities	2.5
	,	I = I facility	
		2 = 2 or more	
Other Facilities	Library, Post Office or	0 = no facilities	2.5
	Bank/Building Society	0.5 = mobile or part time facility	
	,	I = I facility	
		2 = 2 or more	
		Total Score Available	18.5

¹ Including a half points for proximity scoring

Settlement Facilities Assessment

Appendix A Settlement Facilities Availability Scores

									Educa	ation									Retail				Publ	lic Trans	port		C	ommunity	Facilitie	s - Heal	th			Other Fa	acilities			1
														Furtl			_					Publ														/Building		
			Nurser	ry/Pre-S	chool	Infa	ant	Jun	nior F	Primary	_	Seco	ndary	Educa	tion		Conver	nience	Supern	narket		Trans	port	Tı	rain Statio	on	Doc	tors	Pharn	nacy		Libi	rary	Post Office	: S	ociety		
	County	Result of Settlement Review June 2014	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Within Settlement Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Travel Time	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Within Settlement	Count Within Sertlement	Within Ikm	Score	TOTAL SCORE
Lewes	East Sussex	Retain in Study	13	-	1	0		0	6	_	2	+		ı	_	2	10		3	_	2	<15	2	1		2	4		4		2	3		1	7		2	15
Petersfield Midhurst	Hampshire West Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	6 I	_	I I	0		0	2		2	H		0	-	1	8		3 I	-	2	<15 16-30	2	0		0	0		2		2	<u> </u>		1	8	+	2	14
Liss	Hampshire	Retain in Study	I		I	Ţ		Ţ			2	0		0		0	2		0		I	16-30	2	I		2	2		I		2	0.5		ı	0		1.5	11.5
Easebourne	West Sussex East Sussex	Retain in Study	2	0.5	1.5	0		0	1		2	0	0.5	0	-	0.5	1	0.5	0		1.5 I	16-30 16-30	2	0		0	I		1		2	0.5		0 0.5	0		0.5	9.5
Ditchling Twyford	Hampshire	Retain in Study Retain in Study	I	-	1	0		0		+	2	0		0	_	0	'		0	-	-	16-30	2	0	0.5	0.5	1		1		2	0.5		1	0	+	1.5 	9.5
Petworth	West Sussex	Retain in Study	1		1	0		0	1		2	0		0		0	ı		0		1	46-60	1	0		0	I		1		2	I		ı	2		2	9
Alfriston	East Sussex West Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	2	_	1	0		0	1		0	0		0	-	0	1		0			16-30	2	0		0	I		0		2	0.5 0.5		1	0		1.5	7.5 7.5
Fernhurst Greatham	Hampshire	Retain in Study	I	-	1	0		0	0 I	+	2	0		0	_	0	'		0	-	-	<15 16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		1	0	+	1.5	7.5
Rogate	West Sussex	Retain in Study	1		1	0		0	1		2	0		0		0	2		0		1	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		ı	0		1.5	7.5
West Meon	Hampshire	Retain in Study	1	_	ı	0		0	1	_	2	0		0	_	0	2		0		-	31-45	1	0	0.5	0	1		0		1	0.5		0.5	0		1	7
Amberley East Meon	West Sussex Hampshire	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0	\dashv	0	0		0	I	+	2	0		0	-	0	1		0	-	ı	16-30 16-30	2	0	0.5	0.5	0	-+	0		0	0.5		1	0	+	1.5	6.5
Findon (inc. North End &		Retain in Study	0	T	0	0		0			2	0		0		0	ı		0		1	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		1	0	1	1.5	6.5
Nepcote) Milland	West Sussex	Retain in Study			1	0		0	 		2	0		0	\rightarrow	0	_		0	\dashv	1	31-45	1	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		1	0	+-	1.5	6.5
South Harting	West Sussex	Retain in Study	i		ı	0		0	1	+	2	0		0		0	i		0		i	31-45	i	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		1	0	+	1.5	6.5
Buriton	Hampshire	Retain in Study	- 1		ı	0		0	1		2	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0.5	0		ı	6
Cheriton	Hampshire West Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	!	_	1	0		0	1	+	2	0		0	_	0	1		0	_		31-45 46-60	-	0	\vdash	0	0		0		0	0.5 0.5		0.5	0		- 1	6
Compton Droxford	Hampshire	Retain in Study	<u> </u>		ı	0		I	 	+	I	0		0		0	i		0		i	>60	0	0		0	I		I		2	0.5		l I	0	+	ı	6
Graffham	West Sussex	Retain in Study	I		I	0		0	- 1		2	0		0		0	ı		0		I	46-60	ı	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0.5	0		I	6
Selborne Firle	Hampshire East Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0	-	0	0		0	<u> </u>		2	0		0	-	0	0		0	-	-	16-30 16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		1	0		1.5	6 5.5
Hambledon	Hampshire	Retain in Study	ı	0.5	1.5	0		0	1	+	2	0		0		0	0		0		0	46-60	I	0		0	I		0		I	0.5		0	0	+	0	5.5
Mid Lavant	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0	I.		2	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		ı	0		1.5	5.5
Sheet Stedham	Hampshire West Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	2	_	1	0		0		+	2	0		0	_	0	0	0.5	0	_	0.5	<15 16-30	2	0	\vdash	0	0		0		0	0.5		0	0		0.5	5.5 5.5
Chawton	Hampshire	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0	i	-	2	0		0		0	0		0		0	<15	2	0		0	0	0.5	0	0.5	ı	0.5		0	0	+	0.5	5
Froxfield Green	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	I		1	0		0	1		2	0		0		0	I		0		_	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0.5	0		_	5
Glynde	East Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	1		2	0		0		0	0		1	0		1	5
Kingston near Lewes	East Sussex Hampshire	Retain in Study Retain in Study	3	_	I	0		0		-	2	0		0	_	0	0		0		0	<15 16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0	0	+	0	5
West Dean	West Sussex	Retain in Study			0	0		0	1		2	0		I		1	0		0		0	31-45	1	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0	0		0.5	4.5
Bury	West Sussex	Retain in Study	I	\rightarrow	ı	0		0	1		2	0		0		0	0		0	\rightarrow	0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		ı	0		1.5	4.5
Clapham East Dean	West Sussex East Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	-	0	0		0	-	0	46-60 16-30	2	0		0	0 I		0		0 I	0.5 0.5		0 I	0	+	0.5 1.5	4.5 4.5
Itchen Abbas	Hampshire	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0	I		2	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0	0		0.5	4.5
Owslebury	Hampshire West Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	-	0	0		0		0	31-45 16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0.5 0.5		1	0	+	1.5	4.5
Singleton Stroud	Hampshire	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0	1		2	0		0		0	0	0.5	0		0.5	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0	0	+	0	4.5
Blackmoor	Hampshire	Retain in Study	I		I	0		0	- 1		2	0		0		0	0		0		0	46-60	ı	0		0	0		0		0	0		0	0		0	4
Rodmell	East Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0	-	0	0		0		_	2	0		0	-	0	0		0	-	0	16-30 16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0	0		0	4
West Ashling Plumpton	West Sussex East Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0	0		0.5	0		I		I	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0	0		0	3.5
Blendworth	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0	0.5	0		0.5	16-30	2	0		0	0	0.5	0	0.5	_	0		0	0		0	3.5
Fittleworth	West Sussex	Retain in Study	I	二	ı	0		0	1		2	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0	0		0.5	3.5
Funtington Martyr Worthy	West Sussex Hampshire	Retain in Study Retain in Study	1		I I	0		0	0	_	0	0	-	0		0	0		0		0	16-30 16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0.5 0.5		0	0	+	0.5	3.5
Northchapel	West Sussex	Retain in Study			ı	0		0	0		0	0		0	_	0	ı		0	_ 1	ı	>60	0	0		0	0	+	0		0	0.5		ı	0		1.5	3.5
Slindon & Slindon Common	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0	1		2	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45	I	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0	0		0.5	3.5

									E	ducation										Retail				Pub	olic Trans	port		Co	ommunity	Facilitie	es - Heal	th			Othe	r Facilitie	es			
			Numan	om/Duo Ca	shool	ln6	ant		nior	Duima			Seco	adam.	Furt			Comu		Ss.	aulrat		Pul Tran	blic	_	unin Statis		Dose	hawa	Dhaw			Lib		Post Of		Bank/Bu	_		
		¥	Nurse	ery/Pre-So	CHOOL	in:	ant	Jui	lior	Prima	ary	_	Seco	ndary	Educ	ation		Conv	enience	Super	market		Iran	sport	, F	rain Statio	on	Doct H	tors	Pharn 2	пасу		Libi	rary	Post O	nice	Socie	ty		ш
	County	Result of Settlemer Review June 2014	Count Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Score	Travel Time	Score	Count Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Within Settlemer	Within Ikm	Score	TOTAL SCOR
Coldwaltham	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		1	-	2	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		1		0	\rightarrow	<u> </u>	3
Corhampton Duncton	Hampshire West Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		2	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60 46-60	0 I	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	$\overline{}$	0	3
Falmer	East Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		ı		Ī	3
Liss Forest	Hampshire	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	<15	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		I		0		ı	3
Lower Upham	Hampshire	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	ı		0		ı	46-60	1	0		0	0		0		0	0		ı		0		1	3
Poynings Pyecombe	West Sussex West Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0	-	0	0		0	-	0	\dashv	0	0		0		0	0 I		0		0 I	16-30 <15	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0	-	0	\rightarrow	0	3
Southease	East Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45	I	ı		2	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	3
Washington	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		I		2	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45	- 1	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	3
Westmeston	East Sussex	Retain in Study	1		1	0		0	 	0		0	0		0		0	0	_	0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	-	0	3
Easton Langrish	Hampshire Hampshire	Retain in Study Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30 16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0		0		0.5	2.5
Wilmington Abbots Worthy	East Sussex Hampshire	Retain in Study Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	0-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0		0		0.5	2.5
Ashton	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	2
Berwick	East Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	2
Binsted	Hampshire East Sussex	Retain in Study Very Small	0	\vdash	0	0		0	-	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60 <15	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	\rightarrow	0	2
Bishopstone		Settlement - to review following scoring																						2				-												
Bucks Horn Oak	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	_		0		-	46-60	ı	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	2
Cocking	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0	-	0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45 31-45	1	0		0	0		0		0	0		ı		0	\rightarrow	1	2
Eartham	West Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring			ı	0		0		0			0		0		0	0		0		0		ı	0		0	0		0		0			0		0		0	2
East Ashling East Lavant	West Sussex West Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study		+	0	0		0	+ +	0	\dashv	0	0		0	-	0	0	-	0		0		2	0	\vdash	0	0		0		0	0		0	+	0	\dashv	0	2
East Lavington	West Sussex		Ť		•	v				- +			۲Ť		v	ı	0.5	Ť		Ť			.0-30		Ť			Ť		-					-	_	-	\dashv		2
East Worldham	Hampshire	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	2
Friston	East Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	2
Hampers Green Henley	West Sussex West Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0	+	0	0		0	+	0		0	0		0		0	0	-	0		0	46-60 16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0	-+	0	\rightarrow	0	2
Henley Hill Brow	Hampshire	Retain in Study Retain in Study	_		0	0		0		0	\dashv	0	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0	-+	0	\dashv	0	2
Houghton	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	2
Iford	East Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0	$\perp \top$	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0	一丁	0		0	0		0		0	\Box	0	2
Itchen Stoke	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	2
Jevington	East Sussex	Retain in Study	1	\sqcup	1	0		0	\vdash	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		1	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	2
Kingsley Green	West Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	<15	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	2
Lodsworth	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	I		0		I	46-60	- 1	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	2

									Ed	ucation										Retail					lic Trans	port		Co	mmunity	Facilitie	s - Healt	h			Othe	er Facilit				
			Nurse	ry/Pre-S	School	Infa	ant	Jun	ior	Primary	,		Secon	ndary	Furt Educa			Conve	nience	Super	market		Pul Trans		Tr	ain Statio	on	Doct	ors	Pharn	пасу		Libr	ary	Post O	Office	Bank/B Soci	_		
	County	Result of Settlement Review June 2014	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Travel Time	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	TOTAL SCORE
Offham	East Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	2
Piddinghoe	East Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	16-30	2	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	2
Terwick Common Trotton	West Sussex West Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0	-	0	0		0		0	<15 16-30	2	0		0	0	+	0	-	0	0		0		0		0	2
Upper Farringdon	Hampshire	Retain in Study	ı		ı	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45	ı	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	2
West Liss Finchdean	Hampshire Hampshire	Retain in Study Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45 31-45	1	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0		0		0 0.5	1.5
Hawkley	Hampshire	Retain in Study	1		1	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0		0		0.5	1.5
Stoughton Alciston	West Sussex East Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0	\vdash	0	0		0		0		0	0		0	-+	0	0		0		0	31-45 31-60	I	0	\vdash	0	0	-	0	\dashv	0	0.5	+	0	-	0		0.5	1.5 I
Bramdean	Hampshire	Retain in Study	Ī		ı	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	i
Burpham & Wepham	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0	\Box	0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0	-	0		0	ı	\Box	0		0		ı	
Byworth Chalton	West Sussex Hampshire	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0	\vdash	0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	46-60 46-60	I	0		0	0		0		0	0	+	0		0		0	l I
Chithurst	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45	ı	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	i
Clayton	West Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45	ı	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	l
Cocking Causeway	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45	- 1	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	<u> </u>
Crossbush Dumpford	West Sussex West Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45 46-60	I	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	_
East Harting	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45	- 1	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	ı
East Tisted	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		I		0		ı	l
Elsted & Treyford Elsted Marsh	West Sussex West Sussex	Retain in Study Very Small	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45 31-45	1	0		0	0		0	-	0	0		0		0		0	
		Settlement - to review following scoring								0			Ů		·			-						·			Ů					Ů					Ü		Ů	·
Heyshott Green Hinton Ampner	West Sussex Hampshire	Retain in Study Very Small	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45 46-60	I	0		0	0		0	-	0	0		0		0		0	<u> </u>
,		Settlement - to review following scoring																																						
Iping Lower Farringdon	West Sussex Hampshire	Retain in Study Retain in Study		\vdash	0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45 31-45	I	0	\vdash	0	0		0		0	0	+	0	-	0		0	l I
Lurgashall	West Sussex	Retain in Study			0	0		0		0	_	0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0	1	0	0		I		0		ı	i
Meonstoke	Hampshire	Retain in Study	1		ı	0		0		0	_	0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0	1	0		0	0		0		0		0	<u> </u>
New Cheriton Nyewood	Hampshire West Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0	\vdash	0	0		0		0		0	0		0	-+	0	0		0		0	31-45 46-60	I	0	\vdash	0	0	+	0	\dashv	0	0	\rightarrow	0	+	0		0	l I
Ovington	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45	Ī	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	i
Selham	West Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0			46-60	I	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	ı
Stanmer	East Sussex	Retain in Study			0	0		0		0	_	0	0		0		0	0		0			31-45	- 1	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	
Telscombe	East Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		1	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	
Tichborne	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0			31-45	1	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	ı
Tillington	West Sussex	Retain in Study		\vdash	0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		1	0		0	0		0		0	0	\rightarrow	0		0		0	- 1
Upham	Hampshire	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	U		0		0	0	L	0		0	46-60	I	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	I

		j						Educat	ion									Retail				Pub	lic Trans	port		C	ommunit	y Facilities	s - Healt	h			Oth	er Facili	ties			
			N (D						•				Furt			_		_			Pub		_					DI.					D 44	200	Bank/B	_		
		t	Nursery/Pre-	School	ln	nfant	Junio	or Pr	imary	_	Seco	ndary	Educa	ition		Conver	nience	Superm	narket		Trans	sport	اا د	ain Statio	n	Doc	tors	Pharm	асу		Libi	rary	Post 0	Office	Soci	ety		ш
	County	Result of Settlemen Review June 2014	Count Within Settlement Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlemen	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm Count Within Settlemen	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlemen	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlemen	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlemen	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlemen	Within Ikm	Score	Travel Time	Score	Count Within Settlemen	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlemen	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlemen	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlemen	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlemen	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlemen	Within Ikm	Score	TOTAL SCORE
Upperton	West Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	46-60	_	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	I
West Dean	East Sussex	Retain in Study	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45	I	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	I
West Lavington	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0	0	0		0	0		0	_		0		0	0		0		0	31-45	_	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	I
West Marden	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	31-45	1	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	
Winton East Dean	East Sussex West Sussex	Retain in Study Retain in Study	0	0	0		0	0	-	0	0		0		0	0	-	0		0	46-60 >60	0	0		0	0		0	-	0	0.5		0		0	-	0.5	0.5
Exton	Hampshire	Retain in Study	0	0	0		0	0	+	0	0		0		0	0	-	0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0		0		0.5	0.5
Heyshott	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0	0	0	1 1	0	0		0	0		0	- 1	0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0		0		0.5	0.5
Kilmeston	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0		0		0.5	0.5
Patching	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0	0	0		0	0	0.5	0.5	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0.5
Soberton	Hampshire	Retain in Study	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0		0		0.5	0.5
Warnford Avington	Hampshire Hampshire	Retain in Study Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60 >60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0.5		0		0		0.5	0.5
Beauworth	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Bepton	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Bignor	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0	0	0		0	0	-	0	0		0	-	0	0		0	\rightarrow	0	>60 >60 ??	0	0		0	0		0	-	0	0		0		0	-	0	0
Blacknest	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0	0			Ů			0			0		0	0				0		0			0	-				0			·		0		0	0
Charlton	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Dean East Chiltington	Hampshire East Sussex	Retain in Study Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60 >60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
East Marden Forestside	West Sussex West Sussex	Retain in Study Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60 >60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Fulking	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0	0	0		0	0		0			0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Kingsmead	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0	0	0		0	0		0			0		0	0		0		0			0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Lickfold	West Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Lynchmere	West Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Madehurst	West Sussex	Retain in Study		0						0					0					0		0			0					0							0	0

										Education	n									Retail				Pul	blic Trans	nort		ر ا	`ommuni	ty Faciliti	ies . Heal	lth			Ot	her Facilit	ties			
						1				Luucatio	<i>,</i> ,,				Furt	her				recan			Pul	blic		port		_	Jonnani	ty i aciiiti	ics - i icai					iei i aciii	Bank/Buil	Iding		
			Nue	om/Pro	-School	In	fant	1	nior	Dei	nary		Seco	ndamı	Educa			Conv	enience	Supar	market		Trans		T.	rain Stati	on	Doc	ctors	Phar	macy		Lib	rary	Post	Office	Societ	_		
		1	Nurs	ery/Fre	-3011001	- "	iant I	Ju	lior	T	liary		Seco	luary	Educa	ation		Conve	lilence	Super	Пагкес		Trans	sport		Taili Stati	OII	1 000	liors	Filar	Пасу		LID	агу	FOSL	Jilice	Societ	,		
	County	Result of Settlement Review June 2014	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Travel Time	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Count Within Settlement	Within Ikm	Score	TOTAL SCORE
Marley Heights	West Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
North Stoke	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0	<u> </u>	0		0		0	0
Redford	West Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Steep Marsh	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Stopham	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Streat	East Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Sutton	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0	1	0		0		0	0
Upper Norwood	West Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Walderton	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Watersfield	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0	<u> </u>	0	ldot	0		0	0
Wepham	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0	ļ	0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0	 '	0	igspace	0		0	0
West Burton	West Sussex	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
West Tisted	Hampshire	Very Small Settlement - to review following scoring	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0
Woolbeding	West Sussex	Retain in Study	0		0	0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0	>60	0	0		0	0		0		0	0		0		0		0	0