									Timeframe				Loc	al Plan					
	Service & issue	Output Overall plus scheme	verall plus scheme pocation/ Dicy and evidence	Lead body And any partner / supporting	Cost	Funding	Development in Local Plan which depends on	e.g. concept,	delivery of output Short term < 5 yrs hence	Importance to strategy		de	Risk to elivery of output	Alternatives and/or other					
		description	of need	body(ies)			output	options, detailed design, committed scheme	Medium term - 5 to 10 yrs hence Long term > 10 yrs hence	Critical	Important	Desirable	High	Medium Low	mitigations				
TRA	NSPORT																		
A	Non strategic road network- additional capacity Lewes/Ring mer Works necessary to mitigate congestion and achieve acceptable operating conditions.	Improvement s to A26 / B2192 junction (Earwig Corner).	Objectives and PolicyCore Strategy Spatial Policies 2, 3 & 5 and Core Policy 13EvidenceLewes Town Transport Study 2011Transport Study 2011Transport Position Statement 2011Local Transport Plan 2011 – 2026Interdependency with Schemes B and F	Lead: Developers Partners: ESCC, LDC, SDNPA	£200 – 300k (estimate) for traffic signals	Actual: None Potential: Development contributions ESCC	All development in Ringmer, the North Street Quarter and adjacent Eastgate area in Lewes, and other development in Lewes town centre. Development in Uckfield will also be expected to contribute towards the scheme	Options Developers of sites in Ringmer & Lewes have agreed in principle to develop and fund the junction improvement Initial design work started 2013/14 to assess traffic signals at junction	Short Works are required before development commences - Implementation is critical to allow the development in both Lewes and Ringmer The timing of delivery will depend on the timing of development coming forward both in terms of need and funding.	develo depend output conges ensure	je amou opment i dent upo to redu stion an e reliable y times.	s on ce d	are lim constru- Still su consul	ibject to public Itation ination required ther interrelated	Roundabout – was proposed in the 1990s however was not approved at the time and subsequently dropped. Would require considerable landtake, lighting and would have a potentially detrimental impact on this part of the South Downs National Park				
В	Non strategic road network- additional capacity Lewes Town Necessary to mitigate congestion and increase highway capacity and prevent use of Church Lane / Mayhew Way / Brooks Rd as alternative to Malling Hill.	Improvement s to A26 Malling Hill / Church Lane junction.	Objectives & Policy Core Strategy Spatial Policies 2, 3 & 5 and Core Policy 13 Evidence Lewes Town Transport Study 2011 Transport Position Statement 2011 Local Transport Plan 2011 – 2026 Interdependency with Scheme A and F	Lead: ESCC, Partners: LDC, SDNPA	£150k (estimate) for signals linked with A	Actual: None Potential: Development contributions ESCC	All development in Ringmer, the North Street Quarter and adjacent Eastgate area in Lewes, and other development in Lewes town centre Development in Uckfield will also be expected to contribute towards the scheme	Options Design work started in 2013/14 to assess traffic signals at junction	Short Works are required before development commences - Implementation is critical to allow the development in both Lewes and Ringmer The timing of delivery will depend on the timing of development coming forward both in terms of need and funding.	A large amount of development is dependent upon output to reduce congestion and ensure reliable journey times.		elopment is endent upon ut to reduce jestion and ire reliable		development is dependent upon output to reduce congestion and ensure reliable		development is dependent upon output to reduce congestion and ensure reliable		ibject to public ltation ination required ther interrelated nes ,	None identified

									Timeframe		Local Plan						
	Service & issue	Output Overall plus scheme	ne Justification	Lead body And any partner /	Cost	Funding	Development in Local Plan which depends on	e.g. concept,	delivery of output Short term < 5 yrs hence	Importa to strat		de	Risk to livery of output	Alternatives			
		location/ description	of need	supporting body(ies)			output	options, detailed design, committed scheme	Medium term - 5 to 10 yrs hence Long term > 10 yrs hence	Critical Important	Desirable	High	Medium Low	and/or other mitigations			
С	Non strategic road network- additional capacity Lewes Town To address capacity constraints	Improvement s to A277/A275 junction (Prison Crossroads).	Objectives and Policy Core Strategy Spatial Policy 2 and Core Policy 13 Evidence Lewes Town Transport Study 2011 Transport Position Statement 2011 Local Transport Plan 2011 – 2026	Lead: ESCC Partners: LDC, SDNPA	Not known at this stage	Actual: None Potential: Development contributions ESCC	All development in Lewes would be supported but not dependent. To be considered as part of the overall assessment of the sites when planning applications are submitted	Concept Forecast background traffic growth will result in traffic volume exceeding junction capacity at both the AM and PM peak	Short/Medium	Some improvements have been made to improve right turning onto A275 and Winterbourne Hill from the A277 in order to improve junction capacity and traffic flow through the junction		to cons highwa	d options due straints on ay boundary ljacent land	None identified			
D	Non strategic road network - additional capacity Lewes Town Necessary to mitigate traffic congestion and increase highway capacity Improve conditions for non- motorised users.	Town Centre traffic management - Improvements to the traffic management and one-way system in the town centre, including the junction of Phoenix Causeway and Eastgate Street.	Objectives and Policy Core Strategy Spatial Policy 3 and Core Policies 9 & 13 Evidence Lewes Town Transport Study 2011 Transport Position Statement 2011 Local Transport Plan 2011 - 2026 North Street Quarter Transport Modelling, 2013	Lead: Developer Partners: ESCC, LDC, SDNPA	£2-3m (estimate)	Actual: None Potential: Development contributions ESCC	Development at the North Street Quarter and adjacent Eastgate area, Lewes.	Concept Developer required to develop proposals as part of planning application Initial consultation on masterplan undertaken by developer during 2013. This included preliminary ideas for a shared space approach on Phoenix Causeway near development entrance. Further consideration needs to_be giv n en to the overall extent of the scheme in Lewes town centre <u>which</u> is being discuss <u>with SDNPA</u>	Short Identified from modelling outputs and in Lewes Town Transport Study	Should be implemented of developme North Street (and adjacent	nt at Quarter	the sco schem wider i	required on ope of the e and the mpacts on the ort network in	None identified			

									Timeframe				Loc	cal Plan	1				
	Service & issue	Output Overall plus scheme location/	Justification Policy and evidence	Lead body And any partner /CostDevelopment in Local Plan which depends one.g. (Cost Funding	e.g. concept,	delivery of output Short term < 5 yrs hence		nporta o strate		d	Risk to elivery output	of	Alternatives				
		description	of need	body(ies)			output	options, detailed design, committed scheme	 5 to 10 yrs hence Long term > 10 yrs hence 	- 5 to 10 yrs hence Long term > 10 yrs hence	 5 to 10 yrs hence Long term > 10 yrs hence 	hence Long term >	Critical	Important	Desirable	High	Medium	Low	and/or other mitigations
E	Pedestrian and cycle infrastructur e improvemen ts Lewes Town Strategic pedestrian and cycle links in Lewes to ensure connectivity and accessibility of new development s into existing networks, communities and employment locations.	Accessibility improvement s	Objectives and Policy Core Strategy Spatial Policy 2 and Core Policies 9 & 13 Evidence Local Transport Plan 2011 – 2026 Local Sustainable Transport Fund bid, February 2012	Lead: ESCC Partners: LDC, SDNPA	Regional Route 90 £150,000 (estimate) Other routes Not known - Further work needed to establish costs	Regional Route90Actual:DevelopmentContributions£32,000 heldLocalSustainableTransport Fund(ESCC)Other walkingand cyclingroutesActual:Developmentcontributions£39,905 held	All development in Lewes would be supported but not dependent	Regional Route 90Detailed designPreliminary design and consutation completed Sept 2013Detailed design 2013/14 & 14/15Construction 2015Other routes ConceptFurther progress dependent on planning conditions and Section 106 agreements for	Varies At the time of construction of each strategic development site.	sustai	sure that nable ac led at ev	cess				None identified			
F	Cycle network Lewes Improved connectivity and accessibility	Completion of the Ringmer to Lewes cycle route	Objectives & Policy Core Strategy Spatial Policies 2 & 5 Core Policies 9 & 13 Evidence Local Transport Plan 2011 – 2026 Linking Communities Bid, 2013	Lead: ESCC	Estimate £600,000	Development Contributions ESCC Actual: Development contributions £40,000 held Linking Communities Fund (via SDNPA) - £420,000 ESCC - £140,000 Potential: Development contributions ESCC	All development in Ringmer All development in Lewes will benefit Interdependency with Scheme A and B	Detailed Design Preliminary Design completed Consultation held Summer 2013 Detailed design 2013/14 & 14/15 Construction – 2014/15	Short	develo	e amour opment i ident upo ne.	s		ubject to litation	✓ public	None identified			

									Timeframe							
	Service & issue	Output Overall plus scheme	Justification Policy and evidence	n partner / Cost Funding depends of		Development in Local Plan which depends on	nent in which s on e.g. concept,		ort term < to stra yrs hence		Importance to strategy		Risk to elivery output	of	Alternatives and/or other	
		location/ description	of need	supporting body(ies)			output	options, detailed design, committed scheme	Medium term - 5 to 10 yrs hence Long term > 10 yrs hence	Critical	Important	Desirable	High	Medium	Low	and/or other mitigations
G	Public transport interchange	Lewes railway station transport	Objective and Policy Core Strategy Spatial Policy 2 and Core	Lead: ESCC	£400k – estimated for Station Forecourt	Actual: ESCC (Local Sustainable Transport Fund_	All development in Lewes town and adjacent settlements.	Detailed Design Preliminary designs developed	Short Improving the area around		~				~	
	Lewes Town Improved transport interchange facility at the railway station with improved facilities for pedestrians, cyclists and people travelling by bus or taxi.	interchange	Policies 4, 5, 9 & 13 Evidence Local Transport Plan 2011 – 2026 Local Sustainable Transport Fund bid, February 2012		(£100,000) and Station Road/Fish er Street improvem ents (£300,000)	Station Forecourt & Station Road improvements) Potential: ESCC, Developmentper contributions (Fisher Street improvements)		in 2013/14. Consultation held Sept 2013 Detailed design 2013/14 & 14/15 Implementation 2014/15 – Station Forecourt & Station Road improvements <u>2015/16 – Fisher</u> <u>Street</u> improvements	and the effective functioning of the station will make a more efficient and attractive interchange, supporting local economic growth			Station Forecourt is not highway and therefore scheme layout will require Network Rail and Train Operating Company approval				
M	Walking and cycling infrastructur e Lewes District wide Improved connectivity and sustainable accessibility	Accessibility improvement s Provision of footpath and cycle facilities within development sites and suitable links to existing footpaths and cycle routes providing links to the town centres and neighbouring areas and facilities.	Objectives and Policy Core Strategy Spatial Policy 2 Core Policies 9 & 13 Evidence Local Transport Plan 2011 – 2026	Lead: ESCC Partners: LDC, SDNPA Sustrans, local cycle groups	known - further	Actual®: Development Contributions £351,000 held and further £131,100 agreed Potential: ESCC, LDC, Development contributions	All new development in Lewes district	Concept Further progress dependent on planning conditions and Section 106 agreements for individual sites	Varies At the time of construction of each strategic development site.	sustain	ure that hable acce ed at ever ic site				✓	

								Timeframe			Lo	cal Plan			
Service & issue	Output Overall plus scheme location/	Justification Policy and evidence	Lead body And any partner /	Cost	Funding	Development in Local Plan which depends on	e.g. concept, options, detailed	delivery of output Short term < 5 yrs hence	Impor to str		d	Risk to lelivery output		Alternatives	
	description	of need	supporting body(ies)			output	design, committed scheme	hence Long term > 10 yrs hence	- 5 to 10 yrs hence	Critical	Desirable	High	Medium	And/or othe mitigations	mitigations
Lewes District wide	Bus services - New and improved provision Provision or support of new or improved bus services serving new development - Accessibility improvements - Novision of new or improved, DDA compliant bus infrastructure and waiting facilities on current or new routes serving new development, including Real Time Passenger Information (RTPI) at key stops	Objectives and PolicyCore Strategy Spatial Policy 2 Core Policies 9 & 13EvidenceLocal Transport Plan 2011 – 2026Linked, in part, to increased frequency in bus services on A259 identified at Scheme I	Lead: ESCC Accessibilit y improvemen ts Bus operators, LDC, SDNPA	Bus services: Approx £120,000 p/a per bus Bus facilities – RTPI Approx £310,000 in Lewes town Approx £250,000- £300,000 along the A259	RTPIActualLocalSustainableTransport Fund monies available to upgrade and expand RTPI in the DistrictDevelopment contributions £12,500 held and further £36,300 agreedPotentialDevelopment contributionsOther bus services and facilitiesActual: Development contributions £50,000 (bus facilities); £215,000 (bus services) agreedPotential: Development contributions £50,000 (bus facilities); £215,000 (bus services) agreedPotential: Development contributions £50,000 (bus facilities); £215,000 (bus services) agreed	Bus services - All development at significant development locations Bus facilities - All development in Lewes district	Concept Real Time Passenger Information Design Trapeze appointed to Autumn 2013 install upgrade/extension to RTPI in County inc Brighton – Lewes – Uckfield – Tunbrdige Wells corridor and A259 corridor Implementation 2014 and 2015 Other bus services and facilities Further progress dependent on planning conditions and Section 106 agreements for individual sites		To ensure a travel option available at development	ustainable s are all				Encourage sustainable travel and improve management of the current network.	

									Timeframe					al Plan		
	Servie	e scheme	Justification Policy and evidence	Lead body And any partner / supporting body(ies)	Cost	Funding	Development in Local Plan which depends on output	e.g. concept, options, detailed design, committed scheme	delivery of output Short term < 5 yrs hence	Importance to strategy			Risk to delivery of output			Alternatives
	O Comm	location/ description	of need						Medium term - 5 to 10 yrs hence Long term > 10 yrs hence	Critical	Important	Desirable	High	Medium	Low	and/or other mitigations
(Commu Transpo Lewes District To provid additiona capacity improved accessib	rt improvements More bus services serving groups with access difficulties where commercial services are not appropriate or	Core Policy 13	Lead: Community Transport operators Partners: ESCC & community groups	Not known - further work is required to establish costs	Actual: None Potential: Development contributions ESCC (£171,000 across the county in 2012/13)	Development would be supported but is not dependent.	Concept Further progress dependent on planning conditions and Section 106 agreements for individual sites	Ongoing	To ensure access to essential services for all			enable set up financi	bility of e fundin services and beca ally viabl dium an	<u>s to be</u> ome le in	None identified