

Planning Committee Update Sheet

Agenda Item	Page No	Para	Update	Source/Reason		
6	18	3.2	'...Development needs have continued to grow so further site allocations are needed <del>to</del> for the plan period to 2042.'	Typographical error		
6	18	3.4	'For clarity, it is proposed that the Local Development Scheme (tenth revision) is amended by <u>changing any wording of 'Local Plan Review' to 'new Local Plan'</u> and by inserting a new paragraph <u>following 2.2</u> that says:'	Additional wording for completeness, and correction of typographical error.		
6	21	6.3	The Integrated Impact Assessment and Habitats Regulations Assessment are documents that are prepared iteratively through the plan making process. The latest versions of these, which support the publication of the Proposed Submission Local Plan, will ready for the National Park Authority Meeting on 20 May. Further updates to these documents may be required (a) prior to submission of the Local Plan for examination (for example, to address any new evidence, or representations received following publication) and (b) to accompany a Main Modifications Consultation (modifications recommended by the inspector to make the plan legally compliant and sound).	Explanation / clarification about this paragraph.		
6	23	6.9	<u>Updates to the Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Site Selection Paper include a revised assessment of land at Novington Sandpit, which is now rejected, and clarification that Three Corner Piece is considered suitable for one permanent pitch.</u>	Clarification on updates to this evidence paper		
6	26	Addition to Implication Table	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><u>Does the proposal seek to further National Park Purposes as required under Section 11A(2) duty of the National Parks and Access to</u></td> <td><u>Yes, the Proposed Submission South Downs Local Plan seeks to address this legal duty.</u></td> </tr> </table>	<u>Does the proposal seek to further National Park Purposes as required under Section 11A(2) duty of the National Parks and Access to</u>	<u>Yes, the Proposed Submission South Downs Local Plan seeks to address this legal duty.</u>	Omission
<u>Does the proposal seek to further National Park Purposes as required under Section 11A(2) duty of the National Parks and Access to</u>	<u>Yes, the Proposed Submission South Downs Local Plan seeks to address this legal duty.</u>					

			<u>the Countryside Act 1949 as amended by Section 245 of the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023?</u>	
6	308	SDH10	(2) Delete last bullet point relating to Novington Sandpit. Please also note that reference should have been 'SDA66'.	Consistency with deletion of allocation SDA66
6	308	SDH10	(2) fourth bullet point – change SDA64 to SDA63	Correction
6	310	SDH10	Supporting text – change <b>37</b> gypsy and traveller pitches to <b>22</b> .	Consistency with deletion of allocation SDA66
6	378	Chapter 5 Introduction	Amend first line “This chapter sets out the site allocations that contribute to the housing supply <del>set-out</del> in policy SDH1, <b><u>as well as other uses such as employment, retail and gypsy and traveller pitches.</u></b> ”	Correction as allocations also include non-housing uses.
6	574-576	SDA66	Delete introduction to Plumpton and East Chiltington and proposed allocation SDA66 at Novington Sandpit	Evidence has been submitted that the site is undeliverable due to a covenant.
6		Appendix 3	Additional page – an additional Policies Map at Binsted to reflect recently built out development. See attached Map.	Omission
6	732	Appendix 3	Settlement policy boundary to be added to SDA32 in consultation with East Hampshire DC officers by NPA meeting.	Omission
6	749	Appendix 3	Settlement policy boundary to be added to SDA49 by NPA meeting.	Omission

6	755-756	Appendix 3	Settlement policy boundaries to be added to the two Keymer sites – SDA54 and SDA55 – in consultation with Mid Sussex DC officers by NPA meeting.	Omission
6	765	Appendix 3	Settlement policy boundary to be added to SDA65 by NPA meeting.	Omission
9	821	5.1	<p>Two further representations have been received, stating they are ‘neutral’ on the application but raise the following concerns:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• drainage and water run-off from the site, especially as Reservoir Lane has no drains / gutters and the water flows down Tilmore Road, and</li> <li>• lack of suitable site access during and after construction, vehicles parked on Reservoir Land are likely to obstruct access, including for emergency vehicles.</li> </ul> <p><b>Officer response:</b> As set out in Sections 3 and 7 of the report, drainage and highway matters are not for consideration for this application. The principle of the drainage strategy has been agreed, and final details have been secured through conditions attached to the outline permission (SDNP/21/03545/OUT). The same is true for the highway matters, including a condition securing the details of a construction environmental management plan (which in turn requires details for construction workers site access and parking).</p>	Further representations
10	837	1	<p><b>Recommendation</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That planning permission be granted subject to the conditions set out at paragraph 8.1 of the report and any amendments or other conditions required to address technical matters, and subject to the completion of a Section 106 Agreement, the final form of which is delegated to the Director of Placemaking, to secure a Sustainable Travel Plan.</li> <li>2. That authority be delegated to the Director of Placemaking to refuse the application with appropriate reasons if the Section 106 Agreement is not completed or sufficient progress has not been</li> </ol>	To secure Sustainable Travel Plan.

			<b>made within 6 months of the 12 February Planning Committee Meeting.</b>	
10	842	4.4	Further Consultation response received from West Sussex Council Highways in relation to updated Transport Statement – No objection.	Late consultation response received.
10	842	4.5	<p>Consultation response received from West Sussex County Council Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) dated 29 January 2026. This notes that; “<i>The principles of the proposed development and surface water drainage strategy is acceptable and the LLFA is encouraged by the use of open and above ground SuDS features and the restriction of flow to Greenfield Runoff rates</i>”. The LLFA note however that they maintain an objection because they still need further information regarding;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Detailed surface water drainage plans and drawings including proposed green roof and rainwater harvesting</li> <li>2) Calculations for the 100% AEP event should be submitted to ensure surcharging does not occur</li> <li>3) Further assurance that ponding will not occur at the new parking area. Evidence required to show that any flooding that occurs at this part of the site will remain on site.</li> <li>4) General maintenance and management of the surface water drainage features</li> </ol> <p><b>Officer response:</b> It is considered that the Authority has before it enough information to make an informed decision regarding surface water management and that the additional information requested by the LLFA can be secured via the already drafted pre-commencement <b>condition 11 which is amended on page 10 below and by additional condition 25 as set out on page 7 below.</b></p>	Late consultation response
10	843	4.8	Late objection received from the SDNPA Landscape Officer. This notes that; “ <i>The recent submission of additional drawings has not addressed my previous</i>	

			<p><i>comments; this advice largely remains relevant”</i> The officer goes on within their consultation feedback to expand upon their concerns regarding the current scheme. These can be summarised as;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Surface water drainage scheme is not in accordance with policy or follow the principles of the CIRA SUD’s manual management train.</li> <li>• The massing and proximity of the proposed extension to the pub does not demonstrate a sensitive approach.</li> <li>• The current mix of materials is not of this site and gentrifies the site away from its deeply rural character and sense of place.</li> <li>• The amount of lighting is no proportionate to the development.</li> <li>• The car park represents a doubling of the existing. Although the officer supports use of a grass based system above the soil. Hedges and trees will mitigate this and this planting is supported.</li> <li>• There are no enhancements of the existing public right of way.</li> <li>• If consent is granted the Landscape officer suggests conditions – see below</li> </ul> <p><b>Officers response;</b> The issues raised by the landscape officer are considered in the main to have been addressed within the committee report as issued. In relation to the comments regarding the Public Right of Way, the proposals retain the existing route and a permissive path agreement is sought via condition 24 (as amended).</p> <p>Additional conditions and/or amendments to the existing conditions arising from the Landscape Officers comments are set out below:</p> <p>Condition 7 Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)</p> <p>xvii. <b>Plan to manage soils on site including the retention, storage and re-use of all soil and spoils</b> arising from site clearance and demolition work. <b>The Plan shall seek to divert waste from landfill (e.g. recycling); and Plan this shall include details on</b></p>	<p>Late consultation response – alterations to conditions and or/new conditions in <b>bold</b></p> <p><b>Updated to include soil management plan</b></p>
--	--	--	--	--



			<p>vi. Provision for the identification, agreement and implementation of contingencies and/or remedial actions where the results from monitoring show that the conservation aims and objectives of the HMMP are not being met.</p> <p>The created and/or enhanced habitat specified in the approved HMMP shall thereafter be managed, maintained and monitored in accordance with the approved HMMP.</p> <p>Reason: To ensure the development delivers a biodiversity net gain on site <b>and includes measures to ensure appropriate land management</b> in accordance with Schedule 7A of the Town and Country Planning Act, Policy SD9 of the South Downs Local Plan (2014-33) and the SDNPA Biodiversity Technical Advice Note</p> <p>Condition 24. Prior to the occupation of the development the applicant shall enter into a permissive path agreement with the Local Authority to secure <b>additional route/routes through the field to the east of the pub building</b> as indicated drawing number 222.51.11000.SITE <b>and taking into account any final surface water drainage scheme as approved under condition 11 and 25</b>, for a minimum period of 20 years.</p> <p><b>Condition 25 Drainage and surface water management plan</b></p> <p><b>Prior to development above slab level, a maintenance and management plan for the entire drainage system and surface water attenuation features shall be submitted to and approved in writing the Local Planning Authority to ensure the designed system takes into account design standards of those responsible for maintenance. The management plan shall include the following:</b></p> <p>i. <b>Details of who will be responsible for managing all aspects of the surface water drainage system, including piped drains, and evidence that the appropriate authority is satisfied with the submitted details;</b></p>	<p><b>Updated to take into account other conditions</b></p> <p><b>Additional condition to secure separately drainage and surface water management</b></p>
--	--	--	--	---

			<p><b>ii. Evidence that the responsibility arrangements will remain in place throughout the lifetime of the development.</b></p> <p><b>All works shall be undertaken in full accordance with the agreed details and implemented throughout the lifetime of the development.</b></p> <p><b>Reason: To ensure satisfactory provision of surface water drainage and ensure surface water runoff from the development is managed safely whilst achieving maximum water quality,</b></p>	
10	843	4.15	<p>Additional response received from Graffham Parish Council; This highlights that voting between councillors was evenly split in terms of support or object. Therefore, the Parish Council's position is registered as neutral. The response concludes; <i>"Notwithstanding the split position, the Parish Council collectively highlights the importance of ensuring that adequate foul drainage, water supply and electricity provision can be secured. This part of the village is known to experience infrastructure constraints, including frequent power outages, and councillors wish to be assured that the proposal would not exacerbate existing issues for residents or the wider environment.</i></p> <p><i>The Parish Council considers that, if planning permission is granted, the acceptability of the development will depend on robust, precise and enforceable planning conditions to control its scale, intensity and long-term impacts".</i></p>	Updated response from Graffham Parish Council.
10	844	5.1	<p>9 additional objections have been received since the writing of the committee report. These are available online. In terms of new matters raised these objections reiterate concerns already raised but also cite the limited sewerage system in Graffham and the adverse impact that the proposed development may have upon it. In this respect members should note the imposition of <b>condition 10</b> relating to foul drainage.</p>	Additional reps

10	855	7.57	<p>In terms of car parking spaces, SDNPA parking guidance <del>notes that sixteen eight spaces would be required for the lodge accommodation. and 68</del> <b>67</b> would be required in respect of the expanded pub and restaurant <b>and lodges altogether. The SDNPA parking guidance for hotel accommodation is based 1 space per bedroom. For food and drink premises it is based upon 1 space per 5sqm of public area (therefore excluding back of house facilities, kitchens, toilets etc) and 2 spaces per bar. Therefore, on the basis of the floorspace proposed 40 spaces are required for the expanded pub/restaurant area and 11 for the private dining area. Compliance with the guidance is based on excluding the bar and lounge area from the calculations as these parts will be limited to lodge residents whose parking is already accounted for. Condition 27 is recommended to secure this.</b></p>	<p>Correction and additions for clarity in <b>bold</b></p>
10	856	7.59	<p>The applicant has undertaken an <del>accumulation exercise with regards to calculating trip generation exercise. and predicting parking levels.</del> The submitted TS has assessed the 16 holiday lodges <b>and a restaurant/pub operating with 80 seats and has also assessed the 16 holiday lodges and a restaurant/pub operating with 128 seats in terms of trip generation and concludes that these numbers can be accommodated by the surrounding rural road network. The applicant has also undertaken a parking accumulation exercise which demonstrates that 65 spaces would be sufficient.</b></p> <p><b>Notwithstanding this exercise it should also be noted that, in any event, the parking provided broadly accords with the SDNPA parking guidance and the level proposed is considered acceptable considering the site and surroundings and officers requirements that the parking impact upon the landscape be minimised as much as possible.</b> <del>has been undertaken with regards to parking provision, for both 80 covers and 128 covers within the restaurant/pub. This concludes that the highest parking requirement would be 52 spaces between 7pm and 8pm on the basis of 128 covers.</del></p>	<p>Additions and deletions to aid clarity.</p>

10	856	7.64	...condition 16 limits the hours of use. Condition <b>17</b> limits the number of covers..	Typo
10	857	8.1	<p><b>It is recommended:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. That planning permission be granted subject to the conditions set out at paragraph 8.1 of the report and any amendments or other conditions required to address technical matters, and subject to the completion of a Section 106 Agreement, the final form of which is delegated to the Director of Placemaking, to secure a Sustainable Travel Plan.</b></li> <li><b>2. That authority be delegated to the Director of Placemaking to refuse the application with appropriate reasons if the Section 106 Agreement is not completed or sufficient progress has not been made within 6 months of the 12 February Planning Committee Meeting.</b></li> </ol>	Updated to secure Travel Plan
10	861	<b>Amended Condition 11</b>	<p>Notwithstanding the details already submitted no development shall commence until an updated Flood risk and Drainage Assessment, including details of the proposed means of surface water run off disposal in accordance with Part H3 of Building Regulations hierarchy as well as acceptable discharge points, rates and volumes, has been submitted to the Authority and approved in writing. <b>For the avoidance of doubt these details shall include surface water drainage plans at detailed design level including proposed green roof and rainwater harvesting, calculations for the 100% AEP event, confirmation that the proposed new parking area will not result in ponding at this location and/or evidence that any flooding arising from the new parking area will remain on site.</b></p>	<b>Amended in bold</b>

10	864	Additional Condition 25	<p><b>Condition 25 Drainage and surface water management plan</b></p> <p>Prior to development above slab level, a maintenance and management plan for the entire drainage system and surface water attenuation features shall be submitted to and approved in writing the Local Planning Authority to ensure the designed system takes into account design standards of those responsible for maintenance. The management plan shall include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Details of who will be responsible for managing all aspects of the surface water drainage system, including piped drains, and evidence that the appropriate authority is satisfied with the submitted details;</li> <li>ii. Evidence that the responsibility arrangements will remain in place throughout the lifetime of the development.</li> </ul> <p>All works shall be undertaken in full accordance with the agreed details and implemented throughout the lifetime of the development.</p> <p><b>Reason:</b> To ensure satisfactory provision of surface water drainage and ensure surface water runoff from the development is managed safely whilst achieving maximum water quality,</p>	Additional Condition
10	864	Additional Condition 26	<p>With the exception of the lighting scheme to be agreed under condition 13 no other external lighting shall be installed within the site unless otherwise agreed with the Authority.</p> <p><b>Reason:</b> To enable the Local Planning Authority to control the development in detail in the interests of night time amenity, tranquillity and protect and conserve the International Dark night Skies.</p>	Additional Condition

10	864	<b>Additional Condition 27</b>	<p><b>For the avoidance of doubt, the bar and lounge area shown on 222.51.20201.GA Rev 03 and shaded green is solely for the use by guests staying at the lodge accommodation only and for no other guests or purposes.</b></p> <p><b><u>Reason:</u> To enable the Local Planning Authority to regulate and control the development of land in the interests of the character and appearance of the area and amenity of neighbours.</b></p>	<b>Additional Condition</b>
12	891	<b>Recommendation</b>	<p>Following discussion with Legal Services, to ensure clarity of what is being secured, a minor amendment to 1(i) is recommended as follows (amendment in bold):</p> <p>“Securing the delivery of Biodiversity Net Gain onsite <del>and offsite</del> and Habitat Management and Monitoring Plan (as well as the associated monitoring fee) and purchase of Off-site Biodiversity Net Gain units/statutory credits;”</p> <p>The recommendation remains otherwise unchanged.</p>	Clarification
12	896	4.7	<p>The Local Highways Authority (LHA) has provided further comments, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p><i>The applicant has provided updated drawings, email correspondence and engaged in a meeting to seek to address these concerns. These do not address the unresolved matters concerning the Durford Road/Penns Place/Harrier Way junction or the internal layout. The Highway Authority recommendation remains as set out in the consultation response dated 27 January 2026.</i></p> <p><b>Officer response:</b> The drawings and correspondence referred to above were taken into consideration in the officer’s assessment of the application (see paragraphs 7.15 – 7.26). The most recent comments of the LHA therefore do not change the officer’s recommendation.</p>	Consultation Response

12	896	4.9	<p>The Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) has provided further comments, which are summarised as follows:</p> <p><i>Significant concern regarding the area of flooding in the centre of the site; shown on flood map for surface water, but groundwater monitoring indicates this could also be groundwater flooding. There is a risk that the building and associated construction activities could impact on the groundwater...this is technically beyond our remit and we may not be able to provide specific advice in relation to this element Further details required of the size of the wetlands and swales proposed as well as potential changes to overland flows and the impact this would have on other receptors. Given the concerns regarding groundwater and overall flood risk, we do not consider that the flood risk assessment is sufficiently detailed and would recommend that these issues are properly addressed before planning permission is granted.</i></p> <p><b>Officer response:</b> Matters of flood risk and impact on the water environment have been covered in the Officer Report, notably 7.34 – 7.36. Following receipt of the most recent comments from the LLFA, the applicant has since provided further information to justify the approach taken, which will be covered in the Officer’s presentation. This information includes the changes in levels in relation to the water table, demonstrating how this will not be impacted by the development. One of the recommended conditions has also been amended to make specific reference to protection of groundwater (see below). The information submitted as part of the application alongside the additional clarification is acceptable and the scheme is considered to be compliant with policies SD17 and SD49. As a result, the recommendation remains unchanged.</p>	Consultation Response
12	898	5.4	<p>Further comments from the Friends of Rother Valley Way (FRVW) have been received. FRVW maintain their objection and provide more detail of the potential routes they consider may be achievable for the immediate section of the Petersfield to Petworth safeguarded route. Further comments are also provided regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pedestrian access to the unadopted part of Durford Road;</li> </ul>	Further Representation

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cycling access to the unadopted part of Durford Road;</li> <li>• Conformity with Planning Policies.</li> </ul> <p><b>Officer response:</b> The safeguarded route, pedestrian and cycle safety have been covered in the officer report. The proposed development is considered to provide appropriate safeguarding of the future walking and cycling route. The applicant has provided a suitable resolution to pedestrian and cycle safety and in accordance with the relevant local plan policy. There are opportunities to improve sustainable travel options secured as part of the SI06 Agreement.</p>	
12	906	Section 9 (Condition 2)	<p>Updated Plans received which ensure site plan inset matches the most up-to-date site plan considered as part of the Officer's Assessment.</p> <p>A surface water and water table briefing note, accompanied by a further technical drawing showing the ground levels and water table have also been submitted. This will be discussed in the Officer's Presentation.</p>	Updated Plans
12	906	Section 9 Updated Conditions	<p>The conditions referencing the Ecological Impact Assessment prepared by EcoLogic have been updated to reflect the correct revision; dated <b>December 2025 (Rev 5)</b>. These are conditions 3, 7, 8, 10 and 22 under the existing numbering in the Officer Report.</p>	Typo
12	908	Section 9 Conditions 7 and 8	<p>The following additional wording has been added to the opening sentence of both the Hard and Soft Landscaping conditions (addition in bold):</p> <p>"Notwithstanding the details shown on the approved plans, no development shall be commenced, <b>except for demolition and site clearance works</b> until details..."</p>	Additional Wording
12	911	Section 9 Condition 12	<p>The trigger for the Post-Construction Stage Sustainable Construction Report has been updated from 'prior to occupation' to 'within six months of occupation', to prevent any delays to occupation outside of the developer's control . The condition has been amended as follows (amendment in bold):</p>	Amended Condition

			<p><b>Within six months of first occupation</b> of the development hereby permitted, detailed information in the form of a Post Construction Stage Sustainable Construction Report including the post-construction stage BREEAM NC certification and associated assessment report and post-construction stage SBEM calculations, proving that the development has achieved a minimum of 'Excellent' BREEAM NC standard, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.</p> <p>The development shall be occupied in accordance with the approved details and retained thereafter.</p>	
12	911	Section 9 Contaminated Land Conditions	<p>Conditions 13 and 14, which require the submission of Phase I and Phase II Contamination Reports have been removed, as these reports have been provided as part of the application submission.</p> <p>Condition 15 has been updated to provide additional wording to secure specific measures to protect groundwater. The updated condition is provided below, in full (amendments in bold):</p> <p><b>Prior to the commencement of development, including site clearance works, demolition and ground works, a site remediation scheme (to include any measures to protect groundwater) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority</b></p> <p>The Remediation Scheme shall detail how the remediation will be undertaken, what methods will be used, what is to be achieved and a Verification Report setting out any requirements for longer term or on-going monitoring and maintenance arrangements.</p> <p>A competent person shall be nominated by the developer to oversee the implementation of the Remediation Scheme.</p> <p>Thereafter the approved Remediation Scheme shall be fully implemented in full accordance with the approved details.</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> In the interests of amenity and to protect the health and future occupiers of the site from any possible effects of land contamination.</p>	Amended Conditions

12	906-914	Section 9 Condition Numbers	As a result of the removal of the conditions above, all condition numbering subsequent to the removed conditions have been updated and any individual conditions which cross-reference to conditions affected by the renumbering have been updated.	Updated Conditions
13	921	Application description	Applicant has requested to amend application description as follows (in bold): “Change of use of agricultural land to permanent use as a seasonal campsite with no.18 pitches between May and <del>October</del> <b>September</b> each year.” <b>Officer response:</b> A reduced camping period by one month is acceptable insofar as it lessens the extent of the use, notwithstanding the previous considerations of its acceptability of continuing until the end of October.	Update
13	925	Section 4	<u>Additional consultee responses received, as follows:</u> <b>Highways Authority:</b> Response received, no further comments. <b>Lead Local Flood Authority:</b> Response received, no further comments. <b>Coastal Partners (flood risk):</b> No tidal flood risk, no further comment. <b>County Minerals and Waste:</b> Response received, no comment.	Update
13	926	Section 5	<u>Two third-party representations received, raising objections as followed:</u> (1) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conflict with National Park principles</li> <li>• Failure to justify this location</li> <li>• Lack of community benefit</li> <li>• Harm to residential amenity</li> <li>• Incompatible land use with neighbouring properties</li> </ul>	Update

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Risk of community division</li> </ul> <p><u>(2)</u></p> <p><u>Objection having considered the committee report:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recent rainfall brought into focus water management and biodiversity.</li> <li>• Drainage compromised by extensive hard-standing; additional scalplings and rubble recently appeared since committee meeting, reinforcing concerns about ongoing unauthorised works.</li> <li>• Site historically a drowned flower meadow, but water-management features (eg. sluices) now removed.</li> <li>• Combined works above, plus unauthorised ponds and increased rainfall, have intensified downstream flooding and habitat/biodiversity loss.</li> <li>• Further proposed hard-standing will worsen above situation.</li> <li>• Pitches outside flood zone does not address water-management issues.</li> <li>• Imported brick rubble has introduced Japanese Knotweed.</li> <li>• Legal agreement securing the Campsite Management Plan (CMP) justified due to previous planning breaches.</li> <li>• Environmental Health’s position relies upon CMP adherence; doubtful.</li> <li>• Committee report references post breach “negotiation;” undermines confidence in enforcement; breaches should not be negotiable.</li> <li>• Loss of agricultural land; temporary use via permitted development would avoid this and prevent expansion of non-agricultural activities.</li> </ul> <p><b>Officer response:</b> Similar comments are made in previous representations and the addendum and main reports seek to address issues raised. Regarding contended works, this would be a separate enforcement matter. Regarding heavy rainfall and biodiversity, consultees have not objected on these grounds.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

			A S106 legal agreement to secure the Campsite Management Plan is addressed in the addendum report.	
13	931	Condition 3	<p><u>Update to condition in response to Applicant's request to shorten the camping period.</u></p> <p>The site shall only be used as a seasonal campsite between 1 May to <del>31 October</del> <b>30 September</b> (inclusive) each year. The use shall be limited to 18 tent pitches as identified on approved plan W2113-02E, and up to a maximum of 6 persons per pitch. Occupation by caravans, motorhomes or campervans on the site is prohibited. No sleeping in vehicles anywhere on the site is permitted. Outside of 1 May to <del>31 October</del> <b>30 September</b> the site shall remain in agricultural use.</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> To enable the Local Planning Authority to regulate and control the development of land.</p>	Update

Binsted Settlement Boundary Extension

